



ETHNOLOGY OF ANCIENT BHĀRATA

BY RAM CHANDRA JAIN

Director,

Institute of Bhāratalogical Research, Sriganganagar (Rajasthan State)

THE

CHOWKHAMBA SANSKRIT SERIES OFFICE

VARANASI-1 (India)

1970

© The Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series Office Gopal Mandır Lane,

P. O. Chowkhamba, Post Box 8,
Varanasi-1 (India)

1970
Phone: 63145

First Edition 1970 Price Rs. 30-00

Also can be had of THE CHOWKHAMBA VIDYABHAWAN

Publishers and Oriental Book-Seilers

Chowk, Post Box 69, Varanasi-1 (India)
Phone: 63076

DEDICATED TO

THE SRAMANIC AND THE BRAHMIC RSIS

WHO GAVE US
THE RGVEDA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ix

xvii

PREFACE

INTRODUCTION ...

The world Ethnological Problem, Ethnological Problem of Bhärata, Two Antagoniste but Basic Races in the Reveda, The Brahma and the Austric, Austric Interpretation of the Purānas, Basic Origins, Evolution of Ethnology, Ahi Problem, Ahis are Austrics, Decçan Ethnology, Northern Ethnology, Brahma Ethnology, Varme Ethnology, Austriatics, The Purpose.	
CHAPTER I : THE PROBLEM	
1. INTRODUCTION. 2. THE TESTS: Neo-Bhārattya Tradition, First Test of Reveduc Evidence, Second Test of Yajūa, Third Test of Restoration of Twisted History, Fourth Test of Unravalling	3
of Jumps, Fifth Test of Ummaking of Transferences and Transpositions, Sixth Test of Severance of Mythology and History, Seventh Test of Acceptability of Common Traditions.	5
CHAPTER II . THE IKŞVĀKU RACE.	
1 THE ORIGINAL RACE. Neo-Bhāratiya Tradition, Jama Tradition, Buddhist Tradition, Vedic Evidence, Prā- chya Iksvākus, Pūru Versus Ikķvāku, Ikṣvākus are not Dravi- dians, Iksvākus are Proto Australoids, Ikṣvāku Domain.	17
 THE BHARATAS: Bharata Problem, Bharatas in the Rgveda, Bharatas are not Trtsus, Bharatas-People of Bhāratavarṣa, Bharata-son of Rṣabha, Rṣabha-Father of Ikṣvāku Race. 	25
CHAPTER III : THE AHI SUB-RACE	
1. THE ORIGIN.: Ahi Problem, Kasyapa—the Progenitor of Ahis, Marichi in Jaina Tradition, Origin of Matriarchy,	
Ikşvāku Lineage.	34
 THE AHI PEOPLE. : Purpose of Indra, Ahi-Hā, Mountaneous People, Peaceful People. 	37
3. THE VRTRAS. : Human Vrtras, Vrtra Region, Matri-	40
4. THE RAKŞASAS.: Human people, Rakşohā, Violent	40
annihilation of Rakşas, Mılitary power, Human Rakşas, Defence power.	43
5. THE PANIS. : Human Panis, Economic Power, Annihilation of Pani power, Ayajnics, Slaving of Vala.	47

7. THE DASYUS. : Total War on Dasyus, Dasyu-

8. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AHIS SUB-RACE.: Functional Division of Ahi Sub-Race, Iran-Far-Western Bhārata, Immigration of Mediterraneans circa 2800 B.C., Ahi = Nagnas, Sisnadevas, Avaidic spiritual Lords, Prāķri

CHAPTER IV: THE PANCHAJĀTĀH

a THE DIDLE . The Bearle Distingues Ann Mahuen

70

People, Matriarchy.

Bhāratīya People.

1. FIVE PEOPLES.

Language.

Yayatı, Fabrıcatıon of Genealogy, Purukutsa and Trasadasyu, Ayantıcs, Püru-İkşvāku contacts, Ahıs and Ahısthala, Aikş- vāka Ahıs.
3. THE YADUS.: Sea-faring Yadus and Turvasas, Archaeological Evidence, Constituents of Dāsarājāa Confederacy, Ahi Yadus, Origin of the Yadus, Aiksvāka-Ahi Yadus 81
4. THE TURVAŚAS.: The People, Ikşvāku-Ahi Turvaśas. 86 5. THE ANUS. The People, Origin of Anus, Eastern Apavas—A Fabrication. 88
6. THE DRUHYUS Anus and Druhyus, Origin of Druhyus, Aiksvāka-Ahi Lineage. 90
CHAPTER V THE IKSVÄKU-AHIS OF WESTERN BHÄRATA
1 THE KUŚIKAS. Viśvāmitra, Conversion of Viśvāmitras, Historical Importance of Sunhasepa Legend, Iksvāku Ahi Kušikas. 93
2. THE PANCHA-DASYU-KUSIKAS. Post-Harappan Cultures, Emmigration of Non-Cooperating Bhāratiyans, Pancha-Dasyus, Their Territories. 97
3. THE PANCHA-ĀNAVAS: Five Peoples of North-West, Pakthas, Bhalānas Alinas Visanins, Sivas, Pancha-Ānavas. 102 4. SOME OTHER ĀNAVAS Ambasthas, Kekayas,
Sṛṇjayas, Madrakas. 105
5, SOME FAR-WESTERN AHIS : Iranian Ahis, Kambo-
Jas, Gandhāras, Pārāvatas, Bālhīkas, Mūjavantas, Mahavṛasa. 108 6. SOME WESTERN AHIS 'Harryūpīya, Vrchīvants, Yavyavati is Parujai, Vaikarpas, Krīvis, Dirghatamas-Leader of Krīvis, Matsyas, Salvas, Simyus, Ajas: Sigrus: Yakṣas, Kaŋwas, Dark-Pre-Aryans, Their Habitation, Yajfia Becomes
a Peaceful Weapon, Bharadvājas, Atris.

CHAPTER VI: THE PRACHYA IKŞVAKUS.

- THE PANCHALAS: Prāchya Bhārata, Federation of Five Peoples, Pāṇchālas are Iksvāku-Ahis.
- THE MADHYADEŠA IKŅVĀKUS: Region of Pure Ikņvākus, Kośalas, Kāšīs, Videhans, Magadhans.
- THE PANCHA-PRĀCHYAS: Pañcha-Prāchyas, Their five Territories, Pañcha-Prāchyas were Ikṣvākus, Mahāvīra Nāgaputra.
- 4. THE MINOR PRĀCHYAS: Kuru-Pānchāla Alliance, Sūrasenakas, Brahmarṣi-deśa, Sūrasenakas-not in Brahmarṣideśa, Satvants, Chedis, Matsyagandhā Legend, Vegetarian Yajūas, Eastern Migration of Chedis, Vafas.

CHAPTER VII: THE BRAHMA ETHNOLOGY

- 1. THE DEVAS: Characteristic of Devas, Historical Interpretation of Henotheism Theory. 147
- 2 THE ASURAS: Triumph of Śramanic Culture, Origin of Asura, Asuras are Pürva-Devas, Deva-Asuras, Schism, Fall of Asura, Final Cleavage, Linguists on Asura, Devasura-Samgrāma—A Myth, Non-Āryanisation of Āryan Asuras.
- THE ANGIRASAS: Angurasa scientists, Angurasas and Agni, Military Expeditions of Angurasas, Angurasas as Asura Warriors, Beginning of Ritualisation of Yajña, 163
- 4. THE ATHARVANAS: Irānāryan people, Agnı People, Atharvana Vıvasvān: Manu Atharvana, Manu-Prophet of Yajna, Ritualisation, Age of M..nu. 16
- THE VASISTHAS: Vasisthas of Trtsu Tribe, Vasistha and Dāśarājna War, Vasistha-Viśvāmitra Rivalry, Pańchāgnis. 175

CHAPTER VIII: TWO EARLIEST MIXED TRIBES

1. INTRODUCTION

 THE BHRGUS: Archaeology and the Rgveda, Āryans in West Asia, Interneeine Āryan Conflicts, The Aurvas, Beginning of Brahmfryan Invasions, Naval Battles, The Jukar People, Jukar People Became Bhrgus, Mohenjodaro Conquered prior to Harappa, Origin of Bhrgus, Chronology, Bhrgu-Agn, Hybrid Bhrgus.

3. THE KURUS: PBru Purukutsa, Trasadasyu—The Levirate Son of Purukutsa from Indra, Kuruśravana, Levirate son of Trasadasyu from Miträtithi, Identity of Vedic Kuruśravana with Puranic Kuru-Samvarpa Unfounded, Historicity of Pariksti and Janamejaya, Traditional Transferences and Transpositions, Ahisthala, Upamäśravas Transferred as Janamejaya.

206

180

CHAPTER IX: THE ORIGIN OF VARNIC ETHNOLOGY

1. THE PROBLEM.

226

- THE ORIGIN OF BRÄHMANA CLASS: Unitary Brahma, Convert Brahmas, Origin of Brähmana, Origin of Brähmana Class.
- 3. THEORIGINOF KSATRIYA CLASS: Social Impasse, Rgvedic Kṣatra, Non-Āryan Kṣatriyas, Meaning of Kṣatra, The Great Coalescence, Borrowing of Sramaņic Culture, Ritualisation of Yajfa, Homodeitheism, Ascendancy of Darkskinned Brāhmaṇas, Yajfas-Agent, Origin of Kṣatriya Class, Brāhmana and Kṣatriya Gotras.
- 4. THE ORIGIN OF THE VAISYA CLASS: Vis, Vaisya, Arya and Sūdra Sec tions, Subjection of Visāh, Origin of Vaisya Class.
- 5. ONIGIN OF THE ŚŪDRAS AND THE ANTĀḤ.: Etymology of Śūdra, Ārya-Śūdra Dichotomy, Brāhmanised Sūdras.
- 6. EXAMINATION OF DR. AMBEDKAR'S THESIS ON THE ORIGIN OF THE SŪDRAS AND THE ANTĂH CLASSES: Ambedkar's Thesis, Myth of Āryan Supremacy, Āryan Origin of Sūdras Uñounded, Original Masters, Sūdra-Varna, Brāhmana-Sūdra Feuds, Upanayana: A Late Phase, Crucible Uncrucibled, Antāh-Broken Men of Brāhmanic History, Sull a Burning Problem.
- 7. ORIGIN AND GROWTH OF JÄTIVÄDA (CASTE-SYSTEM): Essential Features, Varpa and Jäti, From Varpa to Jäti, Varna Dharma = Jätiväda, History of Growth of Jätiväda, Causes of Growth, Annihilation of Caste Ideology. 269

CHAPTER X: THE DRAVIDAS

Dravida Problem, Drāvid, Iron-using Megaithcians, Mediterraneans, Mythe Atliquity of Dravidas, The Austress and the Dravidians, Myth of Palaco-Mediterraneans, Dilusion of the Linguists, Megaithicians - Dravidians, Military conquest of Deccan, Parsiu and Parasirama, History of Dravidian Conquest of Deccan, Dravidavarta, Dravidavarta, Oravidavarta, Oravidavarta, Oravidavarta, Oravidavarta, Dravidavarta, Dra

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

PREFACE

The whole universe, the unknown and the known, moves in an ordered progress. The whole Nature, the living and the anti-living, moves in a dialectical orderliness. The whole world, the human and the physical, moves in accordance with the inherent laws of nature. The human society, yet known since C. 4000 B. C., has also moved in accordance with the laws of dialectical society. The interpretations at the historical existence of the human society, so far, have failed to present the ture historical reality as they have not been made in accordance with the real laws of nature and society.

Before the advent of the age of Science, the history of the human society and its components was a futile exercise in feudal eulogies. The laws of the newly discovered natural sciences reacted in the sociological sphere and resulted in the origin and advancement of the scientific outlook. They exercised a healthy influence on the literary field and the social scientists, like their compatriots, the natural scientists, developed a realist perspective, though within the limits set by the limitations of science. The result was the emergence of the science of Orientalism with a historical and critical method. Its limitation was the their ruling universal scientific belief, under the magic influence of the great Darwin, that the man and the human society have advanced from primitivity to civility.

But this state of knowledge was negatived by the fresher and fuller discoveries in the fields of archaeology, linguistics, anthoropology, geography, geology and the allied sciences and the truth became revealed that civility had also preceded the primitivity and thus arose the dilemma, from primitivity to civility or from civility to primitivity or what?

The origin and growth of the Aryan problem in the eighteenth century A. D. further aggravated the clusiveness of this dilemma and the starkness of this challenge. No serious

scholar today denies the existence of the Aryan problem. Almost all the orientalists agree that the Aryan political hegemony over the world became supreme by the beginning of the first millenium B. C. The military and the political conquest of the semi-barbarian Aryan hordes of history was an epochal one. It substituted one set of values by an other set. The archeologists of India, Iraq, Egypt and Greece are unamimous on the point that the Aryans completely annihilated a culture and civilization far superior, far advanced and far humane than their own. These materialists of the mountains subjugated the spiritualists of the plains. This was an epochal universal phenomena from Greece to Bhárata.

If we concede that the Arvanism forced its mastery over the pre-Arvanism which I call Sramanism, then we have to further advance our scientific attitude. The reality emerges in quite a new form. There had been certain parts of the world where the human society advanced from primitivity to civility. In other parts, it denigrated from civility to primitivity. The Arvan world represented the former and the Sramanic World the latter. The political supremacy of the former over the latter resulted in cultural coalescences, the dialectical developments of which have brought into existence the human society as we find it today. The advent of Arvanism marks the end of an outgoing epoch and the beginning of a new one. If we re-interpret the historical existence of the human society and its constituents within defined and undefined national boundaries through this newly emerged reality, we would be nearer truth. For the discovery of this truth, the historical and critical method has become obsolete. We have to re-interpret the history of the culture and civilization of the human society from the dialectical, chronological and historical perspective with the organic critical method. I have fully discussed this new perspective and this new method in my research work, "The Most Ancient Arvan Society."

We today need the re-interpretation of the history of the culture and the civilization of the human society as a whole as well as of its national constituents. Bharata had been, is and shall be the epi-centre of the culture and civilization of the human society. We, hence, need this re-interpretation of the Bhāratīja culture and civilization first and most. We have founded the Institute of Bhāratalogical research for this epochal purpose and the published and to-be-published research works of the Institute have been designed, planned and executed in the light of this new science of Bhāratalogy.

The groups of people who made, unmade and remade the Bhāratīva cultural patterns at various determining periods of its history need our first attention. The present research work is an attempt to meet this cultural challenge. The Arvan intruders in Bharata may, for exactness, be epitheted as the Brahmarvans. The foreign Brahmaryan military conquest of Bharata was complete C. 1000 B. C. The new masters of Bharata, under local pressures, were forced to coalesce with the vanguished original masters of Bharata. This coalesced cultural pattern first emerged as the Brahmana which, in due course of time, developed into the Brahmana. The Brahmana ethnological group, thus, comes out as a coalesced creation of the foreign Brahmaryans and the original Bharatiyans. If we keep in view this historical reality, much many problems of Bharata would get automatic solutions. Whatever content in the Bharativa culture is materialistic, that is a foreign import. Whatever is spiritual in it, that is its original possession. It is original possession that accounts for the much appreciated, and much advertised too !, ages-long cultural continuum of the Bharativa heritage.

The ancient Brāhmaṇa literature is a confluence of two mutually opposite cultural streams. The authors who were framanic converts to the Brahma society eulogised spiritualism and deprecated materialism. The case was quite reverse with the original Brahma authors. These two streams are clearly visible in the Vedas, the Brāhmaṇas and the Upaniṣads. The original ethnic groups, in this literature, are deprecated by the Brahma composers while the convert composers eulogise these ethnic groups. These two clear streams very rightly help us in determining the real origin of these ethnic groups.

The ethnic groups of the Pūrus, the Yadus, the Turvaśas, the Anus and the Druhyus, so far declared to be belonging to the Āryan-blood, clearly come out as the non-Āryan pre-Āryan ethnic groups. So is the case with the Ikṣvākus. The emergence of this historical reality would solve several historical problems arising between beginning of the first millenium B. C and the first half of the first millenium A. D. of the Bhāratdya history. The annihilation of the Nāga political power by the Guptas may alone be understood rightly with this historical perspective. This revelation also throws fresh light on the diluted republican institutions of the Mahāvīra and the Buddha era.

If we like to discover one fundamental cause of the continuing degradation of the Bhāratiya culture and civilization, the existence of the Varnic system is that one basic cause. It is, hence, of utmost importance to the Bhāratīya historian to rightly understand the origin and growth of the Varnic system. This work presents quite a new approach to the problem. The Varnic ethnological groups played the most important historical roles in Bhārata and historian perversely understanding this problem would never find the reality of Bhāratīya culture and civilization.

The Dravidian problem, like the Āryan one, is another most vexing problem of the Bhāratiya heritage. The scholars, so far, unanimously hold that the Dravidian ethnic groups preceded the Āryan ones. The one-sided historical and critical method is responsible for this mistake. The Mediterraneans, identified with the Dravidians, came to Bhārata in two waves. We are indebted to the recent archaeological and anthropological researches for getting this truth. The first wave came from the Mediterranean regions, through the west Asian land routes, to Bhārata in the first quarter of the third millenium B. C. and indistinguishably got amalgamated with the pre-existing proto-Australoid ethnic stocks. They developed into the famous Ahi and the Ahi-Ikṣwāku stocks. The famous Paāchājanāḥ of the Bhāratiya history owe much to this ethnic immigration. The second Mediterranean wave came in the

first quarter of the first millenium B. C. They are the famous Dravidians of the Bhāratiya history. They superimposed their hegemony over the original proto-Australoids of the Deccan. The Dravidian problem, here, emerges in a new light. It demolishes the myth of their pre-Āryan immigration to Bhārata. The Dravidians came long after the Brahmāryans set their foot on the Bhāratiya soil. What culture and civilization we ascribe to the assumed pre-Āryan Dravidians really belongs to the post-Āryan Dravidians. This discovery claims to correct several puranc myths and places the continuous flow of the Bhāratiya history in the right perspective.

The scheme of the work illustrates the dialectical, chronological and historical perspective and the organic critical method. The first five chapters, excluding the Introduction, deal with the pre-Aryan Bharatiya ethnic stocks. The seventh chapter deals with the foreign Brahmic ethnology. The eighth chapter deals with the hybrid ethnic stocks. The organically amalgamated ethnology of the Varnic system finds place in the nineth chapter. The latest foreign immigrants. the Dravidas, have been discussed in the last chapter. This brings us to the middle of the first millenium B. C. when we enter the historical period. This work really presents the pre-historic and the proto-historic people who played decisive roles in their respective ages. This work has tried to discover light not only from the literary sources but also from the other sciences which throw relevent lights on the particular subjects. The aides of the sciences of archaeology, anthropology, and the other ones have amply been invoked. This saves this work from the fault of one-sidedness. The pictures of the various ethnic stocks presented here is coherent and organic. They appear as inseparable parts of the flowing Bharatīva history through the ages.

We Indians are very very sentimental, are prone to false ideas and tenaciously stick to them. Any critical scholar, and much more an organic critical scholar, is dubbed as anti-Indian even without enquiry. Sir R. G. Bhandarkar bemoaned this natural failing of the Indian scholars more than fifty

years ago and we can not claim to have learnt anything from his warnings. Rather we have gone down and are persistently and continuously going down in critical scholarship. It is specifically for this failing that the whole mass of the Indian scholars is today entertaining unhistorical and false beliefs. And if any one needs proof of this thesis, he needs only to attend the sessions of the All India Oriental Conference, the Indian History Congress and the several States History Congresses. We could forgive Indological chauvinism led by K. P. Jayaswal during the period of freedom struggle but the continuation of this cultural chauvinism is an unpardonable crime in independent India. We have to re-learn our culture and civilization very rightly so that we may rightly plan over future. This chauvinism is responsible for dismal degradation of research standards. In this stagnating and gruesome atmosphere, the wily eyes may look askance at this work. I do not claim to present anything new. I only follow up the realities discovered by various modern sciences which the modern Indian scholars do not like to do far various reasons, fear from the traditionalists being one amongst the many. We had been complaining against the history books written by the foreigners and hence. the Bharativa Vidva Bhavan planned the writing of a history and culture of the Indian people, as seen through the "Indian" eyes. I have adopted some basic findings of the first volume of this series. It supports the theory of the foreign origin of the Aryans. It places the redation of the Rgveda C. 1000 B. C. The orientalists and the historians shall never rightly understand the culture and civilization of Bharata unless they accept, without any reservation, the great historical event of the foreign Brahmaryan military conquest of Bharata in the fourth quarter of the second millenium B. C. If the scholars follow up these basic historical truths with integrity, they shall have to arrive at the truths discovered in this research work. One-thing this work claims to have achieved. It opens the way for the coming young scholars to holdly and fearlessly follow up the hard truths brought to light by the modern sciences.

We had gone down to the farthest limit in cultural degradation under the successive Brahmic, the Muslim and the British foreign dominations; tribal, feudal and imperialist; and we, today, are witnessing a colossal cultural degradation and stagnation. We need great courage and wisdom to break this stagnation and usher in the era of freedom and equality in culture. We can not achieve this purpose unless we rightly understand our cultural heritage. We shall then wage an incessant war against what is traditional, obscurantist, ritualist and perochial, other names of materialism, in our culture and re-win what is permanent, enduring, freedom and equality, other names of spiritualism, in our culture. We shall, then, win the cultural revolution that would wide open the flood-gates of truth, progress and happiness.

The right re-interpretation of the origins, growth and the historical plays of the various ethnic groups through the incessant flow of the ancient Bhāratiya, history is the purpose of this work. The author humbly claims to have achieved this purpose for his own as well as for the Bhāratiya nations balanced movement forward.

INTRODUCTION

The World Ethnological Problem

The ethnological problem of the ancient world is still shrouded in mystery. The ethnological composition of ancient Egypt, ancient Sumer, Ancient Crete and Argos, ancient South America and ancient Bharata is still only faintly known to the scholars of ancient cultures and civilizations in spite of the more than two centuries of researches in the field. Only a fringe of the problem has been touched so far. The archaeologists have excavated the material relics of these ancient cultures and civilizations for us. They have given us their human remains and the languages of the people. The languages and scripts of Egypt and Sumer, the Hieroglyphics and the Cuneiform respectively, have satisfactorily been deciphered but the linguists have not touched the ethnological aspects of the people speaking these languages. The anthropologists have rendered better service, though limited, by examining their body-structures. The anthropological division of mankind roughly into the the Negroids, the Australoids, the Mediterraneans, the Mangoloids, the Western Brachycephals and the Nordics is more or less a geographical conception. It does indirectly help the ethnological problem but does not solve it. The scripts and the languages of Crete and Bharata have not satisfactorily been deciphered. The pre-Arvan ethnological problem of the ancient world fruitfully deserves better attention than it has so far received from the research scholars

Ethnological Problem of Bharata

The world ethnological problem assumes the most complex form in Bhärata. The linguists, the historians and the indological scholars have touched upon this problem. The earlier savants like Kern, Burgess, Fleet, Abbott, Kirfel,

Pargiter, Lassen, Martin, Maccrindle, Cunningham and some others attempted the problem, though critically, but only from a view of the discovery of the ethnic groups and their geographical habitat. B. C. Law and D. C. Sircar have treated the problem from the factual point of view. The line of research upto now has been to discover a particular ethnic group or tribe, to collect facts scattered here and there regarding that tribe, lump together those facts and give a picture of that tribe and its habitit. But the ethnic problem goes much beyond this simple treatment. The prime necessity is to discover the origin of the particular ethnic group. Its social structure, economic behaviour, political organisation and ideological directions are far more important than has presently been appreciated. The nature, character, tradition and history of the different ethnic groups has moulded the progress of the Bharatiya numanity and they have to be comparatively analysed and scientifically examined. All these factors mould the way of life of a particular ethnic group and that directs the determining trends and periods of history. It is true that the purity of blood is a myth but it is also true that the people of a particular predominant blood move in a specific direction. The might of the blood cannot be under-rated without peril. The blood conditioned by geography and inheritance has proved a mighty force in history. The ethnological problem, hence, has to be subjected and scrutinised according to a scientific plan with a purpose. The scientific plan should prescribe the treatment of the subject in its origin, growth, inheritance, geography, coalescences and materiality to determine the nature, character and ideology of a particular group. We have to be very clear and specific in our purpose also. Varna and caste are the most exercising factors on the Bharattya minds but they have outlived their historicity and have been assigned, like the Indian Princes, to the sanctuaries of the museums. Any one of the Brahmana and the other respective descending Varnas and castes follow the occupations and professions of all the rest of the Varnas and the castes. The Varnas and the castes do not exist in practice though they are still the weapons of

exploitation and aggrandisement. Our purpose today is not to subject the problem of Varna and caste from its utility or disutility, scientific or unscientific and traditional or nontraditional point of view because the problem does not exist in that aspect. The purpose should be the heritage, the ideology that it has introduced in our national life and thinking, The purpose of our research should be to unravel facts, trends and directions sifting them from the huge mass of unearthed material: linguistic, archaeological, anthropological, geographical, geological and otherwise; with the organic, critical and scentific method. A Man is slave to none-else than his ideology The materiality in our ideology is the cause of the ruin of humanity. We have to banish that materiality from the real human thinking. This foreign element in human thinking is introduced by some historical ethnic group, hence the historic importance of the analysis and diagnosis of the ancient ethnology. Our Bharativa ideology, along with that of the rest of humanity, has become perverted and tempered with due to historical causes fashioned by particular ethnic groups. Humanity is incessantly attempting to right its ideology for further progress and that should be the endeavour of every scholar and statesman. The scientific treatment of the subject in this work with this purpose is this my first humble attempt in this field.

Two Antagonistic But Basic Races in the Rgveda

The Rgveda is the first written Aryan record. The Rgveda is the most ancient written word in India. I love the Rgveda most and the Rgveda influences my mind most the Rgveda is the mine of jewels for historians. But the teaching that the Rgveda contains no history pains me. These lovers of the Rgveda actempt to arr off the grounded feet of the Rgveda attempt to arr off the grounded feet of the Rgveda attempt to arr off the grounded feet of the Rgveda is the most important source that throws flood of light on the ethnic problem of Bhārata. The Rgveda is the history of the two mutually opposed ethnic groups, the Aryans and the Bhārataiyans. The former are white-skinned; the later are dark-skinned. The former are

village people, the later are city-people. The former are tribalists, the later are republicans. Both spoke languages unintelligible to each other. The former are devoid of wealth and riches and the later are rich and the wealthy people. The former knew no art and architecture: the latter knew them to the point of perfection. The former are materialists and the later are spiritualists. We may add many more instances to this list. This mutually opposed nature, character and ideology of these two contenders suggests them to be two mutually opposed groups, not the two sections of one ethnic group. If the Aryans and the Dasa-Dasyus (the Bharatiyans) had been of the one and the same ethnic stock; both the sections should have been white-complexioned and black-complexioned; both should have been village and city people; both should have been the poor and the rich people; Indra should have been both the Purandara and the Pura-Pati; also Vrtra and Sambare should have not only been pura-pati but Purandara also as they also broke the Arvan habitats defeating them several times in war; both must have understood the language of each other if they were brothers though warring; both should have worshipped Signadevas and Munis. Both should have been Yaifines and both should have followed the same way of life. The war might have been necessitated owing to the uncontrolled materialistic greed of the one against the other but that could not have been the cause of these fundamental and foundational differences. This clearly suggests that the two Revedic contenders belonged to two mutually opposed ethnic groups having nothing in common; the former ethnic group with military might and materialistic ideology bent upon subjugating the material richness of the latter militarily weak ethnic group. This discussion also silences the jingoistic savants who advocate the theory of Sapta-Sindhu as the original Aryan home. The Rgveda clearly postulates and unequivocably echoes the factum of the foreign invasion and occupation by the Arvans over the western Bharata-land, later to become Brahmavarta. It definitely negates all other theories to the contrary. This Revedic light solves many knotty problems of our ancient ethnology.

The Brahma and the Austric

Archaeological excavations at pre-Arvan Bharatiya sites in Punjab have yielded inscriptions and human skeletal remains. The proto-Brahmi script of Moheniodaro and Harappa has not yet satisfactorily been deciphered hence its help is not available to the ethnological problem. The skeletal remains suggest Australoid ethnic stock with Mediterranean influences. Anthropology has thrown some light on the problem but not yet decisive. The linguistics attracted the earliest oriental scholars but they remained too much engrossed in the Sanskrit language and that also particularly with the Vedic Sanskrit. That gave them only the post-Aryan vision. The Dravidian language and script, next, attracted them. The fundamental differences between the Arvan and the Dravidian language led them to believe that the Dravidian language was pre-Arvan and hence the Dravidian ethnic stock populated the whole of Bharata before the Arvan invasions. The traditions once believed as true always die hard. The oldest Dravidian written record does not go beyond the middle of the first Millenium A. D. and the Dravidi script beyond the second century B. C. Archaeology could not take the Dravidian remains before the third century B. C. Anthropology has not helped the Dravidian problem either. But none of these self-revealing truths have been successful in killing the traditional beliefs even of the comparative, critical scholars. Scholars like Sylvain Levi, John Perzyluski, Jules Bloch and others went into the basic sabstratum of the Aryan languages and advocated the theory that the pre-Arvan and the pre-Dravidian Austric languages could as well provide the substratum to the foreign words in the Arvan languages. The foreign words in Sanskrit can be explained by the Austric language also along with the Dravidian language. This revelation is of great importance for the study of the ethnological problem. If the present day Dravidians, as has been shown in this work. intruded into Bharata in the post-Aryan period, our whole vision changes. Then there are no Dravidians, no Dravidian language and no-Dravidt script in the pre-Arvan Bharata. This thesis revolutionizes our whole outlook. We then have

to fall back upon solely upon the Austric culture, civilization, language, script, traditions and history in the pre-Arvan Bhārata. Bhārata has not yet been studied from the Austric point of view which is an imperative necessity for the oriental scholars and scientists who are dying to unearth the oriental truth in the ages goneby. The Aryan and the Dravidian provide no solution to the Bharattya ethnic problem. The Austric is the master-key to Bharattya ethnology and hence of Bharativa culture and civilization. The Reveda gives us glimpses of pure pre-Bharattva Brahma society, of pure pre-Arvan and pre-Dravidian Bharativa society and of the coalesced Brahmo-Bharativa society. The coalesced culture continues through the Brahmanas, the Upanisads, and the Sütras. The Brahmo-Bhāratīva coalesced culture is a historical development of north India. Then an other factor forces itself. The Dravidians occupy Dravidavarta or the Deccan in the middle of the first millenium B. C. The Dravido-Bhāratīya coalesced society evolves in the Deccan. Both the coalesced societies meet in the third century B. C. and within a century or so both the north Indian and the south Indian coalesced societies evolve a further coalesced society. This coalesced society finds its presentation in the Puranas. The Vedic, the Brahmana, the Upanisadic and the Sütra cultures belong to north India but the Puranic culture-creation keeps the whole Bharata in view. That is the beauty of the Puranas if we only can divest them of the transferences, transpositions and the fabrications of the times. events and personalities, i.e., if we can only unbrahmanise these brahmanised versions of the true events and traditions of pre-Arvan and pre-Dravidian Bharata.

Austric Interpretation of Puranas

The Puranas still exercise the greatest influence on the Brahmana society in spite of Swami Dayanand. Next come the Brahmanas of the magico-ritualistic sacerdotal order. The Vedic and the Upanisadic literature has the least bold on them. The Rgveda has only come to occupy the position of the British monarch in the multiracial commonwealth. Its utility, alongwith those of the Upanisads, has completely ceased. The Puranas are the creations of the

pre-Brahmanic and pre-Dravidian elements of the coalesced society in the Brahmanic commonwealth under the monarchy of the Reveda. This brahmanised and Dravidised Austric Vak betrays its original traditions and historical events. The ethnic material in the Puranas has been studied from the Brahmanic point of view. Though conscions of the fraudulent Brāhmanic version of the Ksatriva or pre-Brahma Purānas. Pargiter, even then, falls prey to the Brahmanic fraud. The ethnic history of Bharata was largely constructed by him from the brahmanised Puranas. Pusalkar betrays a Brahmanic bias. S B. Chowdhari does not recognise the constituents that go to make the Puranas. Our critical researches into the Puranas is very meagre. It has thoroughly been studied from the Brahmanic point of view. It has very little been studied from the Dravidian point of view. It has not at all been studied from the pre-Arvan and the pre-Dravidian Austric point of view. The Austric interpretation of the Puranas is very very essential for the true construction of the Bharattya ethnological history in particular and the Bharativa history in general. The present attempt at the construction of the ethnology of ancient Bharata is generally based upon these foregoing observations.

Basic Origins

I have gone in this work to the basic origins of the ethnic composition of Bhārata since the first foreign invasions on Bhārata in the fourth quarter of the second millenium B.C. It is almost impossible to construct the chronological ethnic history of various regions of Bhārata at the present state of our knowledge. Only the true Austric interpretation of the Purānas, at some future date, may help us in constructing the Northern, the Eastern, the Western, the Far Western, the Central and the Southern Divisions of Bhārata. We can only vaguely assert that the İkşvākus and the Ahis or the Nagnas or the Nāgas populated the whole of Bhārata and far-western Bhārata in the pre-Āryan period. The İkşvākus have been acclaimed as the original masters of the whole of

Bharata unanimously by the Jaina, the Brahmanic and the Buddhistic traditions. This unanimity is continued for the Bharatas also but with a difference. Though the Brahmanic tradition accepts the Iksvaku Bharata giving his name to this land of ours but an other competetor to Bharata is fabricated in certain Puranas to dislodge at some future date this Iksvaku Bharata and this Brahmanic fraud proved fruitful as designed. The Goeblised Dausyanti Bharata is a pure Puranic fabrication. Dausyanti Bharata has been dismissed by all the serious scholars. The Iksvakus and the Bharatas appear to be of the pre-Arvan Austric ethnic stock. The Ahis or the Nagas have unanimously been accepted even by the Brahmanas and the Dravidas belonging to the Austric race. The Austric supremacy of Bharata continued till twelfth century B. C. in western Bharata, till seventh century B. C. in Uttarapradesh, till roughly second century B. C. in Eastern Bharata and till fifth century .B. C. in Deccan. The ethnic history of Bharata after the complete Brahmanisation and Dravidization of Bharata circa second century B.C. is the history of the coalesced Bharatiya ethnology. The nebulous ethnic formations took their roots within this period, i.e. circa 1100 B. C.-200 B. C.

Evolution of Ethnology

The Puränas transmit to us a vast unmanageable list of the ethnic stocks occupying one or the other regions of Bhārata. It is generally believed that the ethnical names were given to geographical units; big or small, according to the bigness or smallness of the ethnic unit. The Bṛṇat-samhitā gives 49 and the Vāyu Purāna 91 ethnic names for northern division of Bhārata. They respectively give 33 and 41 ethnic names for central division of Bhārata. North western division of Bhārata. North western division of Bhārata. North western division of Bhārata. North western division 35 according to the Bṛṇat-Saṃhitā and north-eastern division 35 according to the Bṛṇat-Saṃhitā and the Vāyu Purāṇa. Very few ethnic names for the Deccan have been given. Whatever names have been given belong to the Natorical period. The

Purănas could not give any ethnic names of the Deccan because the Ahi or Naga ethnic stock continually remained in complete mastery of their land till the Dravidian military conquest and the Brahmanas had not by then intruded into the Deccan. Bharata had witnessed the Greek, the Scythian and the Huna foreign military invasions after the Brahmaryan and the Dravidian foreign military invasions and the Puranic lists of these ethnic names include many of these new elements also. These later violent intruders had merged themselves completely in the Hindu society of Bhārata, as the earlier Brāhmaņic and The Dravidian violent intruders had merged themselves in the Austric society of Bharata. From Austric society to Hindu society, is an interesting chapter of Bharatiya history. If we rightly know the nature and character of this transition, we would rightly assess the nature and character of the Hindu society. This gives added significance to the formation of the ethnic groups in this transitional but formative period of the Bharativa history.

Ahi Problem

The Iksvāku and the Bharata problem is a bit easier than the Ahı or the Naga problem. The Ahıs were definitely non-Āryans, The Purānas have made Kasyapa a Brāhmana and gave his fatherhood to the Ahis or the Nagas. The whole brunt of the Revedic wars fell upon the Ahis. Their progenitor Kaśvapa, son of Marichi, has not been accepted by the Brāhmana hierarchy as the progenitor of a true Brahmana race and gotra. He progenated the race of the Danavas and the Daityas. He was associated with the Danava system of matriarchy. Though Kasyapa Mārtcha has been made a Rgvedic Rsi but that is after Dāśarāiña-War coalescence when several Danava and Daitya wise men rose to the ranks of Rsis in the Rgveda. Dānava Kasyapa had sımılarly also been raised to the Rgvedic Rsi-hood and the Puranic Brahmanahood. Kasyapa; father or no father; Ahi or Naga race has not been accepted by any scholar as a Brāhmanic race or an Aryan tribe. Then, who are these Ahis? Are they Dravidians or the Austrics? This is the real problem.

Ahis are Austrics

The Dravidian literature does not know of Kafyapa or the Ahi or the Någa race. The real Dravidian literature belongs to the post-Gupta age and the Någas had been completely annishlated in the Golden Age of the Bråhmanc Gupta era. The linguistic study of the Dravidi suggests that it superimposed itself on the pre-existing Austric language. That is the conclusion arrived at by the Dravidian linguists, archaeologists and the anthropologists. The whole Deccan was populated by the Någas and the Haihayas when the Dravidian hero Parasirama destroyed their power. He subjugated them physically and imposed his Dravidi language culturally. Thus the Någas and the Austric language become inseparable. The conclusion becomes irresistible that the Någas and the Haihayas of the Deccan snoke the Austric language.

Deccan Ethnology

We now enter the knotty problems of our history. There are riddles. The complexity increases It becomes diversified and many-sided. The north increases the complexity: the south helps to solve it. We have brought down our Drāvidic subject till the establishment of the Sātavāhana rule in the third century B. C. we then enter the historical period. The ethnic formations, if any, in Deccan were complete by that time. The Deccan inscriptions have revealed the existence of the Revedic Anu and the Iksvåku tribes. These inscriptions belong to second century A. D The Iksvakus-the servants of the Andhras, on the disintegration of the Satavahana rule, established their power in Andhradesa. The Puranas have mentioned some other ethnic groups of the Deccan. Here the ethnic names are identical with the geographical names. Puranas call Deccan Daksinanatha, the whole region located south of Narmada. The Andhras, the Sabaras, the Pulindas, the Pundras, the Mutibas, the Asmakas, the Mulakas, the Cholas, the Pandyas, the Cheras or Keralas or Keralaputras or Ketalputras, the Kuntalas and the Damilas have been mentioned in the Puranas as the ethnic groups of the Deccan. The first five tribes the Pancha-Kusikas, descended from the

Dasyu-chief Visvāmitra, and have been mentioned earliest in the Astareya Brāhmana of the seventh century B. C. The Asmakas and the Mulakas are of the Iksvaku origin. The Choia, the Pandya and the Chera are geographical names. Their people were so named as they resided in these regions. Their nonassociation with any ethnic groups shows them of later origin. The Kuntalas may be associated with the Iksvākus of the later historical period. The Damilas later came to be identified with the particular eastern region of the Deccan and its residents, the Tamilas. The word Damila, at the beginning, denoted the whole country of Deccan and its population but by and by it came to be displaced by the word Dravida and its connotation came to be limited to the Tamila-land and the Tamila-people. The post-Dravidian Deccan ethnology, thus, appears only an offshoot, a branch of the northern ethnology. The problems and the riddles, hence, have to be solved in relation to the northern ethnology.

Northern Ethnology

The Panchajatah are the most important Revedic ethnic groups. This problem reveals its complexity in the opposite order. They are definitely non-Dravidian. The Puranas do not associate the Purus, the Yadus, the Turvasas, the Anus and the Druhyus with Deccan. The Dravidas do not claim them as their kith and kin. Nobody associates them with the Dravidian ethnology. The problem is are the Panchajatah Austrics or Brahmaryans? Their earliest habitat is the Saraswati region which included the modern states of Afghanistan, Baluchistan, Punjab Sindh, Rajasthan, Saurāstra and a part of Gujerat. The Puranas claim them to be of the Arvan ethnic stock. The Vedic and the Brahmana evidences go counter to the Puranic claims. They are deeply associated in blood and territory with the Iksvāku and the Ahi ethnic stocks. The Hathaya Yadus are the Austric people speaking the Austric language. Their progenitors could not be otherwise. Viśvāmitra, one of the greatest Austric progenitors, descended from Purus, the Aiksvaku-Ahis. The Turvasas always accompany the Yadus. The Anus and the Druhyus also belong to this ethnic stock. The descendands of these ethnic stocks now occupy the above referred regions. If a critical and scientific study of the languages of these regions is made to discover their subtratum and their influences on the language of the Rgweda, I believe, that the Austric culture, civilization, traditions and history will trumphantly reveal themselves with unrivalled glory than we may even presently conceive. The Pafichajiātā people, the Dāsas and the Dasyus of the Rgweda and the Asuras people, the Dāsas and the Austric people speaking the Austric language. All other ethnic stocks of northern Bhārata may be traced to the İkşvāku race or its Ahı sub-race. The Austric people, speaking their Austric language, were the masters of the whole of Bhārata before the Brahmāryan and the Dravidian military conquests.

Brahma Ethnology

I have treated the subject of the Brahmaryan ethnology with some wider view point in order to unmix the later ethnic mixtures and in order to understand their original purer state. The treatment of the Devas and the Asuras, like the treatment given to the Vrtras, the Panis, the Raksasas and others, is of historical significance because the Brahma Devas later in the Satapatha age become the Brahmanas and the Asuras are transmitted as their adversaries, the Ksatriya's and their associates. The Brahma was the one undivided ethnic whole and the historians should not minimise the power and prestige of the united one race, the Brahma race. The Angirasas and the Vasisthas are not separate ethnic stocks. Some specific classified groups were so called for their professions and achievements. They got ethnic names later. They are the only pure Brahmana ethnic groups, if we take the liberty to so call them. The Bhrgus had heralded the origin of the mixed ethnological stocks; the mixture of the two mutually opposed ethnic stocks. So were the Kurus.

Varnic Ethnology

The objection may be raised that some tens of thousands of the Brahmāryan invaders could not subjugate and brāhmanise a land of tens of millions in a few centuries; that

history may understand the political subjugation but the wholesale cultural conversion is undigestible. The history does not afford any parallel to this peculiar phenomenon. The objection at the first sight appears to be invincible but the deeper comprehension of the Brahmarvan diplomacy gives us some light. The Brahmarvans after their political victory in the Dasarajna war did not pursue their military career of conquests further. They adopted the more subtle diplomacy. They chose the strategy of cultural triumph through distribution of political gains, also to their erstwhile adversaries, within the ambit of the Brahma social machine. They, thus, won the wisdom of their adversaries. The large scale conversions of the Bharativa people to the Brahma fold gave new vigour, new power and newer prestige to the conquerers and the coalesced society was the result. The coalescence of the two mutually opposed ethnic races is at the root of the origin and growth of the Varnic ethnology. The Brahma race transforms itself into the Brahmana race. The non-Aryan non-sacrificing Bharativa race known as the Ksatriya race (also comprising within them the socalled Vaisyas and the Sudras of the Brahmanic conception)stood against this Brahmana race. They are the Dasas and the Dasyus of the Rgveda, also, otherwise called the Sudras in the Atharvaveda. The Brahmaryan-Dasa Dasyu dichotomy of the Rgveda became Brāhmana-Kşatrıya dichotomy in the later Vedic literature But far and far more numbers from them had continued to be drawn into the Brahmanic fold. The conquerors' society was growing while the conquereds' society shrinking not only territorially, politically, socially and econonucally but also culturally. The conquered society then was very powerful in all the fields and only cultural penetration could bear fruits in the other fields. The coalesced society in this process assimilated much of the original culture and civilization and the conquerers became one with the conquered in eulogising the land as their own, the Bharatiya culture and civilization as their own and the Bharatiya script and language as their own. This attitude in course of time obliterated all the past memories of the conquerer and the conquered

and the former became more and more vehement in claiming the land of their adoption as their own. They suffered rule of their adversary Kşatrıyas for full one thousand years. i.e. from 1100 B. C. to 150 B. C., when the first Brahmana ruler assumed kingship to himself. The Brahmanas by this time had won the greatest and the farthest cultural integration. The dipolomacy of the cultural conquest proved right. Its most efficient weapon was the Varnic system with Brahma and Yajña at its foundation The history of Bharata in this age; i.e., between circa 1100 B. C. and 150 B. C., is not only the history of the Brahmanisation of Bharata. It is really the history of the Sramanisation of the Brahmana race and the Brahmanisation of the Sramanic people or the victory of the Atyan and the Austric over each other. The assumption in the objection that the minority Brahmanas brahmanised a far greater majority proves to be wrong and one-sided. The minority successively and successfully succeeded in turning itself into a majority for which it had to pay heavily in culture-coms The Brahmanisation of the Sramanic people went side by side the Sramanisation of the Brahma system, The Varnic ethnology is the living example of this cultural assemblage The Varnic ethnology originates over the ruins of the two ethnic stocks and itself becomes the origin of the later Jātivāda or caste-system. The one divides into many and we have the resultant numerous and innumerable ethnic groups, now, warring with each other. When this successful diplomacy intruded into Deccan in the third century B. C., the history repeated itself. Deccan joined the main current of northern Bhārata. The history of Bhārata proceeded more or less as a continuation of this diplomacy throughout its length and breadth after second century B. C. The process of cultural assemblage and assimilation, side by side the destruction and annihilation of the dissenters, continued unabated till the intrusion of the Muslim power in Bharata. The Scythians, the Hunas and a few others came and submerged themselves in the main current. This study of the ancient ethnology of Bharata would help us in correctly assessing the nature and character of the later Muslim and European invasions, by land or by

sea, and in correctly deciphering the cause of the movement of the Indian history as it did.

Austriatics

The Austric, the Brahma and the Dravida are the three oldest main ethnic currents of Bharata. These three ethnic currents had their distinct ideologies and the ways of life. Our national constitution was forged by the actions, reactions and interactions of these three currents. The nature and the character of the evolved culture and civilization of our national life before the Muslim invasion was forged by these forces A distinct break then occurs. The subsequent Muslim and the European invasions complicated the matter. The earlier Jewish, Christian and Iranian immigrations though peaceful still maintained their separate entities. They did not merge themselves in the national life. We have today become a noisy conundrum of multiple ideas and ideologies introduced in our national life by these various ethnic groups. The ideas and ideologies and the ways of life of the European. the Muslim, the Dravidian and the Brahmic ethnic races have amply been studied. The ideas, the ideology and the way of life of the Austric race has very scantily been studied. The scientific rediscovery of the Austric culture, civilization, tradition, history and way of life is the historical necessity of the age. The dialectical and chronological study of the cultural and scientific development of the Bharativa people from the Austric to the British would reveal much more truths and correct many more accumulated untruths. The scientific study of Austriatics, as I would like to name this Science. would also put in proper perspective the researches done. being done and to be done regarding the aforesaid Brahmic. Dravidian and other races.

The Purpose

The disagreers may point us a danger, the danger of the disintegrating forces scaring up. I do not believe in this danger, in this scare. The institutions of the race and the religion since long are dead leaving us the legacy of the

disintegrating forces of casteism, ritualism, regionalism and parochialism. These our disintegrating forces are the results of history. We have to unantiquate and modernise and also to antiquate and unmodernise ourselves. Modernisation means the unhindered fulfilment of the individual in freedom. Antiquitisation means the consignment of the forces of hinderances and obstructions, in the due fulfilment of the individual in freedom, to the oblivion of history. Freedom, peace and unity belong to antiquity but they have to be modernised. Violence, exploitation and disintegration belong to the modern but they have to be antiquated. This we cannot successfully accomplish in Bharata till we rightly understand Austriatics. the culture and civilization of the pre-Aryan people of Bharata. The deviations and perversions in our national thinking and consequential conduct are the gifts of the post-Austric foreign people. The study of Austriatics itself and the later history in Austriatic light would suggest us as to what we have to discard from our ideology and way of life as foreign to our innate making and help us in the reconstruction of our true and real innate way. This our purified national thinking and onduct would alone bring us out of the morass of our present chaos, turmoil, disaster and disintegration. This study of the ethnology of ancient Bharata is a part of the science of Austriatics and hence a force for our national integration. This study reveals to us the making in history of the collective institutions of violence and exploitation and their human bearers. This study needs to be supplemented by organical critical researches in other branches of the Science of Austriatics. This is a gigantic task but gigantic ends can not be achieved without gigantic right efforts.

ETHNOLOGY OF ANCIENT BHĀRATA

CHAPTER I

THE PROBLEM

I. INTRODUCTION The factum of the foreign Brahmärvan invasion of Bhärata

in the later part of the second millenium B. C. has generally been accepted. It has also been admitted that the Bharativans and the Brahmaryans belonged to two different and distinct races clearly distinguishable from each other. It has also been conceded that the victorious Brahmärvans converted en masse their vanquished adversaries to their fold and thus swelled their minority ranks by large numbers. But, unfortunately, so far, the necessary logical deductions resulting from these widely accepted premises have not satisfactorily been worked out. The problem of the composition of the Bharativa race and the Brahmaryan race, like Asura-Maya, is still deluding inspite of the best and the most perseverent works of the great pioneers in the field. F. E. Pargiter carried on monumental researches in this field and in spite of many advanced studies by various scholars in the field subsequent to him; his theories still offer the only fair basis for further research work in the field 1 Pargiter accepted the trustworthiness of the Puranas too literally for constructing the traditional history of India that he, an eminent judge of the Calcutta High Court, did not judicially pursue his own tests to their logical relevent results. He establishes the date of the composition of the Rayeda circa 980 B. C. 2 Dausyanti Bharata, the forty-fourth King in the Dynastic list prepared by him, is said to have fought the Satvants but Satvant appears as sixtyfifth king in the same list after the Dăśarājās war. He has formulated a test, along with several others, that the Brahmanas did not always distinguish between different periods and often misplaced

R. C. Majumdar; The Vedic Age; 1957; Page 26.

F. E. Pargiter; Ancient Indian Historical Tradition; 1962;
 Page 318.

persons chronologically in chapter V of his book. Bharata, according to this test, has to be brought down after the Dāśarājān war. Bharatas are the Brahmāryan opponents in Dāśarājān war. Pargiter should have searched for another Bharata in the Purāṇas. He is the grandson of Priyavrata, a son of Svēyambhuva Manu. The synchronism of Dausyanti Bharata with Kāksivant son of Drighatamas, thus also stands disproved. Next, Dāṣas, Daṣyus and Asuras belonged to a certain race but that has not rightly been appreciated He equates [ksvākus with Dravidinas and Saudyumans with Mundās or Kolarians. Were the Dravidians [kṣvākus and the proto-Australoid Mundās Dāṣas, Daṣyus and Asuras Pargiter has treated them as if thew were the component tribes of the Ailas

These glaring inconsistencies and contradictions necessitate research into this problem afresh. The problem of ancient Indian historical tradition has not been satisfactorily solved. The problem even after the strenuous efforts of many savants in the field is still a burning one The traditional history is a clue to understand the life of the people of that age and their convictions, beliefs and their way of life. The traditional history does not exist in a coherent form. It only eixsts in fragments. The interpretations of the various events of the traditional history is of supreme importance to understand the directions of the applient cultures and civilizations. But these events can not satisfactorily be interpreted till we understand the true picture of the races, tribes and personalities partaking in these events. This necessity prominently throws to us the problem of the ancient ethnology. The ethnology as such, today, is in the melting pot. No pure ethnic group exists. We can not distinguish between different groups of the human society, No pure Brāhmana, Ksatrıya, Vaisya and Sūdra exist. We have all become one. But our ideologies and convictions draw us sometimes to opposite directions. We have, hence, to understand the forces that drive us to these opposite directions. These forces are the resultant growths of the dialectical actions and reactions of different ways though now they have coalesced into one unit. That is of supreme importance

to meet the challenge of ideology. The true understanding of the nature of ancient ethnology would provide us the master-key to interpret the various traditional historical events of pre-Aryan and post-Aryan Bhārata.

The problem has been tackled by Pargiter from the Arvan and non-Aryan standpoint. He equates the Manavas, principally the Iksvakus and their branches, with the non-Arvan Dravidians and Saudyumnas with the non-Aryan Mundas or the proto-Australoids. He, in his researches, was largely influenced by the linguistic researches of G. Grierson. The acceptance of the dicta of Griersonic linguism misdirected Pargiter's researches. His theory fails to explain some glaring events of traditional history. In the decisive Dāśarājāa war, Paurava Sudās of Pargiter's Aila dynasty is opposed by Ailas and Saudvumnas. No Iksvākus figure here. If Pūrus were Iksvākus, as they rightly were, the whole theory of Pargiter topples down. There would be no Arvans then. We may add many more instances to the wrong ethnology enunciated by Pargiter. Pusalkar, on the contrary, deals with the problem on the assumption that all are Arvan. All the races and tribes have descended from the Arvan Manu. The Reveda itself demolishes this assumption. The Rgveda records the history of two hostile races Both the assumptions are faulty and do not solve the problem.

We need a fresh approach to solve this vital problem. The Rgweda holds the key. The Rgweda records the Brahmāryan history of conquest over their adversaries, the Bhāratiyans. If we logically follow the natural consequences of this historical truth the whole truth shall reveal itself to us. For this purpose, new principles have to be discovered; new tests have to be formulated.

2. THE TESTS

Neo-Bharativa tradition.

The Division of the Bhāratīya tradition into Brāhmanic and Kṣatriya appears to be prima facie wrong. The Purāṇas

A. D. Pusalkar; Traditional History from the Earliest Times (in Vedic Age above), Chapter XIV; pages 272-273.

took their present shape in the Gupta period. The Brahmaryan society, by then, had assimilated largely vast sections of the orginal population whom they had earlier conquered. The Puranas depict the culture and civilization of this mixed society. We have to understand the Puranas in a dialectico-chronological way. The Paurānikas believe that the Brāhmana, who may know the four Vedas with the Angas and Upanisads, should not really be (regarded as) having attained proficiency, if he should not thoroughly know the Purana. He should reinforce the Veda with the Itihasa and the Purana. The Veda is afraid of him who is deficient in tradition, (thinking) 'he will do me hurt.'4 The students of the Purana have now to change this guiding principle into quite another guiding principle. It would be like this, "He who has not attained proficiency in the Vedas, the Angas and the Upanisads shall not rightly know the Puranas. He should reinforce the Purana from the Veda, the Brahmanas and the Upanisads. The Purana fears him who knows not the Vedas. He shall not meet the Truth in the Purānas."

When we accept the factum of the foreign Aryan invasion of India, we, obviously, concede that the Aryans had their own tradition and their Bharatiya adversaries, their own tradition. The Aryan (Brahmaryan) tradition on their entry in Bharata was based on the social system of Yajña and Brahma. That may be called the Brahmic tradition. The tradition of their Bharativa adversaries was founded upon the social system of Jana and spirituality. We call it the Sramanic tradition. The Brahmanical and Sramanic traditions coalesced together after the Brahmarvans settled permanently in Brahmavarta and adopted the policy of mass-scale conversions of their adversaries to their fold. The two traditions reacted and interacted upon each other. The Sramanic ideology influenced the Brahmic way so much that, apart from the Brahmic base the Brahma way adopted most of the elements of the Sramanic way. Ritualisation of Brahmic institutions and the Celestialisation of their heroes in the Rayeda start the

^{4.} Pargiter; op. cit., page 1.

process culminating in the Upanisadic spiritual (though perverted) tenets. The Vedic literature contains more of its adversaries than of its own. The foreigners had not history of thier own going to antiquity. The Bharattyans had their history since immemorial antiquity. The Brahma way exercised unlicensed freedom in twisting this history to bring it in consonance with the basic Brahmic ideology. They freely used the art of transmissions and transferences. Roughly we may speak of the Yajnic Brahma way as the Brahmic tradition, with very little of tradition in it and the Jana Sramanic way as the Bharatiya tradition, with very little true tradition allowed to be left in it. The Sramanised Brahma becomes Brahmana. The adoption of the word "N" to form the compound with the word Brahma denotes the victory of asceticism or spiritualism on the materialistic Brahmic way. The follower of the Brahmana way is a Brahmana. The Sramanic influenced Brahmanic way retained its basic institutions of Yajna and Brahma and within this framework assimilated some necessary events. personalities, traditions and beliefs of the Jana Sramanic way, the Bharatiya way. This Brahmanic tradition, of necessity, could be small-statured in comparision to the very tall-statured Bharatlya tradition. Hence the Rsis of the coalescence age felt the necessity of re-writing the ancient Bharatīya history within the Brahmanic framework. They chose to adopt fully and comprehensively the ancient Bharatlya tradition to themselves to complement the small statured Brahmanic tradition. This is the genesis of the hybrid Itihasa and Purana tradition born of the Brahmanic and Bharatiya traditions. The hybrid tradition born of the two opposing coalesced traditions was vastly predominated by the later, hence it naturally glorified the subjects of the later tradition. This new tradition evolved after the Dasaraiña war cannot be termed either the Brahmanic tradition or the Bharattya tradiion, I do not also prefer to call it, like Pargiter, the Kşatriya tradition. We do not find Kaatriyas in the kernel of the Rgyeda. Kşatriya is first mentioned in the Tenth Mandala of the Rgveda composed circa 800 B. C. The pre-Aryan Bharattya race, the Iksvāku race and the important Bhāratiya tribes such as

Purus, Yadus and others, along with important Bhāratiya personalities like Viśvāmitra, Purukutsa and others are not mentioned as Kṣatriyas in the Vedic literature. Kṣatra or Kṣatriya as a race or a tribe is a later development. The coalesced tradition had started to evolve much before the origin of the Kṣatriya tribes. I would prefer to call this coalesced tradition as noo-Bhāratiya tradition owing to preponderance and predominance of the Bhāratiya tradition in it. This neo-Bhāratiya tradition is handed over to us in a jumbled state. The truth has to be sifted from the mass of untruth. We have to understand the basic principles and apply them in this historical process of analysis. We may now formulate the basic principles for the right understanding of the neo-Bhāratiya tradition.

First test of the Rgyedic evidence

Firstly: the actual contacts between the Bharatīvanas and the Brahmarvans took place in the later part of the first millenium B C. The Raveda, thus, affords evidence nearest to reality. The Rayeda was composed circa 1000 B. C. It is not relevent here whether the Rgveda contains certain hymns going a few centuries earlier or not. The relevent factor is the actual statement of a fact in the Rgveda. If anything contradictory to it appears in later literature that should be accepted as an innovation and hence should be generally discarded unless other factors go to corroborate it. The cases of Viśvāmitra 8 and Bhrgu are in point. Viśvāmitra fights the Trtsus in Dăśarājňa war along with Ahis, Dāsas and Asuras. He is the progenitor of Dasvus who ruled over wide parts of Bharata. If any later literature describes him as a Brahmana. that statement should not be accepted. Bhrgus6 also fight against Sudās in Dāśarājāa war. Apart from this single event, they are always depicted as Brahmanas in the pre-Dasaraina and post-Dăśarāiña tradition. The only conclusion here that we may draw is that as certain pre-Aryan and non-Aryan tribes

^{5.} Rgweda 3. 3. 5. 11-12, 7. 2. 16. 5-6-7. 5. 134, 8, 7. 2. 1. 18. 19.

^{6.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 6.

helped Sudas against their own kinsmen, similarly a few of people belonging to the invaders also helped against their own kinsmen. The evidence of the Rgveda should be given utmost credence. The Purance evidence may be permitted to explain the Rgvedic evidence but not to contradict it.

Second test of Yajña

Secondly, the Brahmāryan culture centres round Yajāa. In the Institution of Yajāa. If certain tribes are A-yajātic before the Brahmāryan invasion and later they are found to have adopted the Yajāa way; that may only be explained by the event of their conversion to the Brahmāryan fold. For example, the ten confiderated Jana-leaders comprising of Pūrus, Anus, Druhyus, Sigrus, Yakşus and others have been termed as A-yājātic. I fan of these tribes appear as peforming Yajātas in later literature we should understood that it, after its defeat in the Dāšarājān war, coalesced with the invading tribe or joined their fold and adopted their Yajātic way.

Third test of restoration of twisted history

Thirdly, the Brahmāryans have twisted history. It is only a travesty of truth to state that they lacked historical sense. They had a very short history of their own and that was the history of violence, exploitation, loot, and plunder. That could not be a fit subject for preservation in the new, changed set of circumstances. Their new adherants had brought with them rich and valuable historical records. These historical records could be brahmāryanised only by the twisting of real facts. The untruth gained supreme. The unholy method of Māyā or cover was adopted. The case of Madhu and Dhundhu is in point. Dhundhu s was son of Madhu. Madhu reigned from Madhuvano on the river Yamunā to Saurīsstra and Anarta (Gujerat). His daughter married Haryaśva, a scion of the Ikyvāku race and their son was Yadu. Madhu was a Dailys and Dānava. His son Dhundhu was killed

^{7.} Rgveda 7. 5. 13. 7.

^{8.} Mahabharata (Critical Edition) 3. 193. 16.

^{9.} Pargiter, op. cit., page 122.

by Kuvalāśva, ancestor of Haryaśva, two degrees above near a sand-filled sea. 10 Kuvalāśva had to cross the whole Rajputana desert He found Dhundhu on the sea shore. This was exactly the region which the later Yadus and Turvasas populated on the southern part of the desert about the Rann of Cutch. Dhundhu is also known as a king who abstained from eating meat 11 as were Nahuşa 12 and Yayatı 13 A descendant of Yayatı Püru, Prachinvant had carried on invasions to the east. The Pürus till the final reduction of the Reveda are associated with Sindh-Punjab region only. The incursions of Prachinvant thus appear to be on Ikşavāku territories. Paurava Sudhanyan flourished during the time of Kuvalasva. Pareiter places Dhundhu with Sudhanyan, 14 The historical event now crystalises like this. Madhu was a Püru scion. There might have been some ancestral rivalry between the Püru and the Iksväku houses His son Dhundhu was killed in battle with Kuvalāśva. Dhundhu's sister was given in marriage to Kuvalāśva's descendant Harvaśva. Yadu sprang from Ikşvāku-Pūru blood It will later be noticed that the Purus and the Yadus originally belonged to the Ahi sub-race of the Iksvāku race. This event appears to be the first historical conflict between the then two sections of the Iksvāku race of Bhārata. Matrimonial alliances of the Pūrus and Yadus; Matinārā's daughter Gauri's marriage with Yayanāśya II and Śaśabindu's daughter Bindumati's marriage with Mandhatr, the son of Yuvanaśva II, with the Iksvaku scions brought them again still closer.

Fourth test of unravelling of jumps

Fourthly, we discover certain great jumps in the Püränas. The Brahmäryans had largely converted the Däsas, Dasyus and Raksas to their fold. Their historical tradition could not be literally digested by their new masters. The important

^{10.} Pargiter, op. cit., page 260.

^{11.} Mahābhārata (Gita Press) Anuśāsana Parva, 115. 16.

^{12.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed) 1. 70 24, 28.

^{13.} Mahabharata (Cr. ed) 1. 90. 8-9

^{14.} Pargiter; op. cit., page 145.

personages had to be given new parentages, new events and new traditions through historical jumps. Nahusa affords an example of such great jump. Nahusa is a son of Kasyapa and Kadru. ¹⁶ Nahusa has also been given the parentage of Ayu, son of Pururavas. The Rgyceda knows Pururavas, Ayu and Nahusa but does not know of any relationship between them. The Rgyceda knows Nahusa associated with pre-Aryan people as shown later. The assigning of Ayu's fathershood to Nahusa is an abrupt change. Nasa Nahusa is elevated as Paurava Nahusa But Paurava had earlier belonged to the Ahi subrace. The unravelling of such historical jumps would shed much light in dissipating darkness from real events.

Fifth test of unmaking of transferences and transpositions

Fifthly, the names of perons, places, times and tribes have consciously been intermixed in such a way that the truth remains deposited in deep dark caves. Aiksavāka Travvāruna is the descendant of Trasadasyu in seventh degree, Viśwamara's father Kuśa, leave apart Gadhi, is contemporary of Vasamata, descendant of Trasadasvu in fourth degree. Viśwamatra, son of Kuśika, 18 is contemporary of Sudās and Purukutsa, father of Trasadasyu in the Rgveda The Rgvedic Purukutsa is a Pūru who is also spoken of as an Aiksavāka in Satapatha Brahmana, The synchronism of Trayyaruna, Viśwamitra's father Gadhi, Jamadagni's grandfather Urva and Arjuna's father Krtavirya given by Pargiter appears to be unfounded The Raveda does not know any branch of the Yadus. the Haihavas or the Satvants. Only undivided Yadus are known to the Rgveda. The Puranas have unscrupulously jumbled these names.

Various synchronisms with Sagara are still more interesting. Sagara is made contemporary of Kāšī King Pratardana son of Divodāsa II but Divodāsa also later appears in North Pāńchāla line. Sagara is contemporary of Turvaśa Marutta and one degree lower to Marutta Vaiśāla. Turvaśas populated Punjab while the Vaiśālas populated north Bihar. Paurava

^{15.} Mahabharata (Cr. ed) 1. 31. 9.

^{16.} Rgveda 3. 3. 4. 5.

Duşyanta 18 two degrees lower to Sagara and contemporary of Kakşıvant, son of Dirghatamas. Kakşıvantı? and Dirghatamas' appear in the Ryeda Kakşıvant is contemporary of Kutsa!⁹ who is contemporary of Divodása Atthligva.¹⁰ Divodása is separated from Sagara by twentytwo degrees. Turvasa Marutta's Punjab 18 separated by thousands of miles from Vasála Marutta's Vasálı (North Bihar). The Aht, the İkçväku and the Brahmāryan races have ingeniously been intermixed. This paurānie way has covered the reality and mixed up together the contrary elements which have to be syled from each other.

Dausyanti Bharata is said to have seized the sacrificial horse of Satvants. Satvant son of Madhu is contemporary of Rāma, son of Daśaratha Aśvapati Kaikeya is contemporary of Rāma. Satvants are unknown to the Rgveda Satapatha Brāhmana was composed in the sixth or seventh century B. C. after the composition of the Rgvedic Attareya and Kausitakl Brāhmanas 22 Satvants, bence, come into existence between 1000-700 B. C. Aśvapati Kaikeya is mentioned in Satapathha Brāhmana and Chhāndogay Upanisad. He is contemporary of Uddālaka Āruni of the Upanisadic fame who flourished to the seventh century B C. Here the confusion has been created between two Bharatas, two Aśvapatis Persons of long antiquity have been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with Comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with Comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with Comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up with comparatively persons of later age Sindh-Punjab region has been mixed up wit

¹⁷ Rgveda 1. 5. 1. 1; 1. 18. 6. 2, 3, 4, 1. 16. 7 11.

^{18.} Rgveda 1. 22. 2 6, 8. 2. 4. 10.

^{19.} Rgveda 4. 3. 5 1

^{20.} Rgveda 1. 10. 3 10, 2. 2. 3. 7

^{21.} Śatapatha Brāhmana 13. 5. 4 9, 21.

A. A. Macdonell; A History of Sanskrit Literature, 1958;
 page 202-203

^{23. (1)} Śatapatha Brahmana 10. 6. 1. 2.

⁽²⁾ Chhardogya Upanisad 5. 11. 4.

H. C. Roychowdhari, Political History of Ancient India 1950;
 pages 52, 56.

Pargiter calls it a confusion of different persons of the same name. 25 But really this is no confusion. Pargiter did not pay due attention to reactions and interactions of the two races, the Brahmäryan and the Ahi races, upon each other. Anvas belonged to pre-Āryan Ahi sub-race. Ahis are called Dattyas and Dānavas. When the converted Ānwas twisted their history, Bāll Vairochana became Ānava Bāll and a confusion was sought to be created that two were two different persons But Ānava Bāll and Dānava Bāll are one and the same person. This is a case of the intermixture of two hostile tribes. Truth dawns through the dialectical interpretation of history.

Sixth test of severance of mythology and history.

Sixthly, the mythology has been converted into history and history has been mythologised. Manu and ldå afford the instances of the former, Indra, Brahaspati and other Brahmaryan leaders, the instances of the latter.

The word Manu has been used in the Reveda in the general sense of man or mankind. But it has also been used for a proper person Manu is a new Brahmäryan leader. He does not belong to the hierarchy of old Āryan leaders (Devas). Ramu like other Āryan Devas, is the leader of men. Manu drinks soma and is triumphant over the Dasyus. Ramu drinks soma and is triumphant over the Dasyus. Ramu drinks is manu Manu triumphant over the Dasyus. Ramu film as Manu Manu overcame his noseless enemies. After the Brahmäryan victory in Dasartājā war, Manu rose tstill more prominence. He was the man agency in ritualising the institution of Yajāa. He instituted ritualised Yajāa, Ramu film the institution of Yajāa.

^{25.} Pargiter, op cit; pages 63, 64.

^{26.} Rgveda 1. 20. 6. 9.

^{27.} Rgveda 10. 5. 2. 11

^{28.} Rgveda 9. 5. 7. 5.

^{29.} Rgveda 6. 2 6. 11. 30. Rgveda 4. 3. 5. 1.

^{31.} Rgveda 5. 4. 1- 6

^{31.} Rgveda 5. 4. 1- 6

^{32.} Rgveda 10. 4. 9. 5.

He appointed Agni to be the invoker of gods, the most wise bearer of oblations.38 Manu then, becomes father and progenitor.34 This Manu like Indra and Brhaspati does not appear in association with or as an adversary of any historical personage like Divodāsa, Sudās and Vasistha or Sambara. Viśwamitra and Purukutsa. He is throughout in the Raveda a pure mythical being. This mythical Manu is further celestialised in the Anukramani age circa 500-200 B C.35 Manu becomes the son of Vivasvān Aditya or Samvarna or Apsu. Manu is assigned the revelation of several Rgvedic hymns in the last three Mandals This son of sun is made the mythical father of Iksvāku and his nine brothers, Iksvāku, Nābhāga. Šarvāta and Nabhanedistha are mentioned in the Rgveda but they have not been given the fatherhood of Manu. By the time of the Anukramani tradition, only Sarvata and Näbhänedistha got Manu's fatherhood but the rest still were not regarded as his progeny. Manusmrti does not know nine of his ten sons. It knows only his daugther Ila 36 It knows Manu only as inventor of the sacrificial rites, 37 not the progenitor of various tribes. He is given the fatherhood of various tribes in the Gupta age when some of the Puranas took their present form.

The problem of Manu's son or daughter Ilä is simpler. The Rgyeda does not know of any Ilä, daughter or son of Manu. Ilä of the Rgyeda has Daksa as her father. 38 Ilä is a goddess along with Saraswatt and Mahi. 39 She is the protector of and participator in sacrificial rites. 40 Ilä is Väk 41 Ilä is

^{33.} Rgycda 6 2. 1. 9, 8 3. 7. 24, 8. 3 7. 21, 7. 1. 2. 3, 10. 6. 1 3, 10, 5, 3, 7.

³⁴ Rgveda 1 16 9 2, 87 4. 1

^{35.} A. A. Macdonell; op cit., pages 39, 244, 373.

^{36.} George Buhlar, The Laws of Manu, 1886; page LVIII.

^{37.} George Buhlar, op. cit., page LIX.

³⁸ Rgyeda 3. 2. 15 10

^{39.} Rgveda 1. 4 3. 9, 1. 21. 3. 9, 5. 1. 5. 8

^{40.} Rgveda 2. 1. 3. 8; 3. 1 4. 8, 7 1. 2. 8; 9. 1. 5 8; 1. 24 9. 8; 7. 3. 11. 2

^{41.} Rgveda 3. 1. 7. 5.

Earth. ⁴² Ilä is food, ⁴⁸ productive of descendants. ⁴⁴ Ilä is antik. ⁴⁰ Ilä is attended by brave warriors, inflicting much injury, receiving none. ⁴⁷ Ilä is butterhanded. ⁴⁸ Ilä is attar ⁴⁹ Ilä is mother of the herd, and Urvast, ⁵⁰ Agni is Ilä of hundred winters. ⁵¹ Agni is placed upon the earth in the centre, in the place of Ilä. ⁵² Ilä is born of wood, of attrition and dissipates darkness. Agni is Ilä's bright blazing son. ⁵³ This description of Ilä equates her with the material wealth of the Āryan Gaṇa or Brahma. The Rgweda gives her association with Manu but not as his son or daughter. She is the instructors of Manu. ⁵⁴

The above description of IIa establishes that they have of Solar and Lunar races from them is a pure Brāhmaņic fabrication to cover the truth of the pre-Āryan and non-Āryan origins of the races of their converts who swelled up their ranks. We do not hear of any Solar or Lunar race before the Purāņic age, he the early centuries of the Christian era, The banishment from history of Manu and IIa, the pure myths, as the progenitors of races and tribes would help us in discovering the truths about them

Indra and Brhaspati, the two of the most important Brahmaryan military leaders are real human beings in the Reveda.

^{42.} Rgyeda 3 1. 1. 23; 3. 1. 5. 11, 3. 1. 6. 11; 3. 1. 7. 11, 3 2. 3. 7, 3. 2. 10. 5, 3 2. 11. 5, 4. 5. 5. 8; 5. 5. 6. 5. 6.

^{43.} Rgveda 5. 4. 9. 2; 6. 1. 10. 7, 7 i. 3. 7, 7. 4. 10. 4; 7. 4. 9 2; 8. 5. 1. 4; 9. 3. 2 3; 9. 7. 5. 13.

^{44.} Rgveda 6. 5. 3. 16.

^{45.} Rgveda 1. 9. 5. 16.

^{46.} Rgveda 7. 1. 3. 7.

^{47.} Rgveda 1. 8. 5. 4.

^{48.} Rgveda 7, 1, 16 8.

^{49. 1. 19. 2. 7, 3. 2. 12. 2.}

^{50.} Rgveda 5. 3. 9. 19.

^{51.} Rgveda 2. 1. 1. 11.

^{52.} Rgveda E. 2. 17. 4.

^{53.} Rgveda 3. 2. 17. 3.

^{54.} Rgveda 1. 7. 1. 11.

We may leave aside their wars with Vrtras and Panis but their associations with Divoddsas, Sudds, Kṛṇa and other important historical personages who played important historical roles in Āṛyo-Bhārata wars makes them important historical personalities. The Rgveda is full of such historical human feats of Indra and Bṛhaspati. These human beings were later celestialised. History was mythologised. We will go into the reasons of mytholisation of history later.

Seventh test of acceptability of common traditions

Seventhly, the statements of events common to Brāhman; cal, neo-Bhārattya, Jaina and Buddhist traditions should generally be accepted. Rsabha, Bharata and Nemi are accepted as historical persons in Brāhmanical and Jaina traditions. Sagara is accepted by all the three traditions. Viśwamitra, Jaina dagni Māndhārt, Uśinara, Sivi, Dilipa, Muchukunda, Bhagiratha, Aštāka, Dhṛtarāstra, and Uparichra are accepted by the Brāhmanical and Buddhist traditions. Dašaratha, Rāma, and Stīā, Haryašva and Krṣaa are accepted by all the three traditions. Iksvāku, as the most important race of Bhārata, is accepted by all the three traditions. All the traditions unanimously agree that Ayodhyā was the most ancient city.

These tests shall help us in analysing the nature of the reason and their component tribes. It is of greet historical significance to know as to which race or tribe is Brahmāryan and which race or tribe is pre-Āryan and Bhārattiyan. The knowledge about the truth of the Bhārattiya and Brahmāryan races and their traditions would help us much in unravelling the numerous knotty problems of history of the later ages.

CHAPTER II

THE IKŞVÄKU RACE

1. THE ORIGINAL RACE

Neo-Bhāratīya Tradition

The neo-Bhārattya tradition holds that Ikṣvāku had one hundred sons, chief of whom were Vikukṣi, Nimi and Danda (or Dandaka). Vikuksi succeeded Iksvāku and reigned at Ayodhyā. Nimi established his dynasty at Videha. Dandaka named the great Dandaka forest after his name. Ikṣvākuš. fifty sons under Sakun ruled over Utarāpatha (Northern India) and his rest forty-eight sons under Vaṣāti were the rulers of Daksināpatha (the Decean or Southern India). Ikṣvākus occupied the whole of Ehārata i

Jama Tradition

The Jama tradition maintains that Rṣabha son of Nabhi was the first social leader who gave law to the people. He was the progenitor of the lksvaku race. ² He ruled at Ayodhyā. The Jama tradition knows only two races; the lkṣvaku race and the Hari race. The twentytwo out of twentyfour Jama Tirthamkaras belong to the lkṣvaku race; only two to the Hari race. Rṣabha and his son Bharata ruled over the whole of Bhārata, The neo-Bhāratīya tradition also corroborates this Jama tradition. Nābhi was the sole ruler of Ajanābhakhanḍa or Himavarṣa, ⁴ His son Rṣabha ruled over the whole of his father's country. His son Bharata gave his name to Ajanabhakhanḍa or Himavarsa as Bhārata. ⁵ Most of the Purāṇas maintain that Bharata son of Rṣabha

¹ Pargiter; op. cit; pages 257, 288, 292.

^{2.} Helen M Johnson; Triśasusalska Purusacharita, Vol I page 132,

^{3.} Bhagawata Purana (Gita Press) 5. 2, 19.

^{4.} Vişnu Purăņa 2. 1. 15-22.

 ⁽¹⁾ Bhāgawata Purāna 5. 4. 9.
 (2) Viānu Purāna 2. 1, 32.

gave Himavant country his name ⁶ Rşabha and Bharata appeared before Varvsavata Manu's age. The neo-Bhāratīya tradition, thus, places the progenitor of the Ikṣvāku race before Manu, the father of Iksvāku who is said to have originated the race of his own name.

Ruddhist Tradition

The Buddhist tradition traces the origin of the Śākyas to one Okkāka who is identified with Ikṣvāku. Buddhist tradition is in live with the Jaina and neo-Bhāratīya traditions. All acclaim that Ikṣvāku was a great race continuing through history since unknown antiquity.

Vedic Evidence

The earliest literary evidence of the Iksvāku race appears in the Rgveda. King Iksvāku a great protector, protects the five territories of the Panchajanāh Panchajanāh were non-sacrificing pre-Aryan non-Āryan Bhāratīya people. They were Pirus, Yadus, Turvasis, Anus and the Driubyus. They along with the other Ahi tribes took part in the Dāšarājān war against Sudās and Indra. The earliest reference of the Iksvākus in the Rgveda associates them with the anti-Brāhmaryan for es

The Atharvaveda mentions the association of Kustha disease with the Iksvākus of old along with its associations with Vāyasa and Matsya. Matsya was a pre-Āryan non-Āryan Bhāratiya tribe of the Ahi race. Vāyasa was a prince of olden times. Vāyasa is associated with Suparna. Suparna is son of Kusyapa from his wife Muni or Prādhā.

^{6.} H. C. Roychowdbari, Studies in Indian Antiquities, 1958; page 76.

^{7.} B. C. Law, The Tribes of Ancient India, 1943, page 245.

^{8.} Rgveda 10, 4, 18 4.

^{9.} R. C. Jain, The Most Ancient Aryan Secrety; 1964; page 29.

^{10.} Atharvaveda 19, 39, 9.

Ralph, T H, Grifflith; The Hymns of the Atharvaveda; 1917; page 297.

^{12.} Rgveda 1 22. 8 52.

^{13.} Mahabharata (Cr. ed.) 1, 59, 41; 1, 59, 48.

He is the brother of Mayūra, Asura the Great. Kaśyapa was the progentor of the non-Āṛyan Ahı people. Vāyasa was a non-Āṛyan Asura prince. Here also Ikṣvākus appear along with Ahı tribes.

The Rgveda mentions an Aiksavāka Trayāruna, son of Trivrṣṇa who has been identified with Trayāruṇa son of Tridhanvan and with Trayāruna Trasadasyu, ile Trasadasyu, ibe the son of Purukutsa who was an Aikṣavāka. i Trasadasyu was born after the conclusion of the Dāśarājān war. Ikṣvāku rayāruna must have flourished in the beginning of the eleventh century B. C. Trayāruna's chariot ran over a Brāhmaṇa child who lost his hife. i lkṣvākus had not come under the Bāhmanical influence even during the later part of the second millenium B. C.

Purukutsa and Trasadasvu

Purukutsa and his son Trasadasyu are Iksvākus according to all the Purānas. But the Rgveda represents them as the Pūrus. In Purukutsa, the Pūru is also an Aikṣavāka. Pūrus have also been referred as Asura-Rākṣṣasa. The association of Asura Pūrus with Asura Ahis in the Rgvedic times is very significant, the Yadus were related to the Pūru Asuras. 21 The Yadus descended from Pūru-Iksvāku blood or Ahi-Iksvāku blood. The Yadus and tos Tūrvašas are treated as Dāsas in the Rgveda. 22 The Anus²³ and the Duhyus ²⁴ are Miechchhas. But the Yadus are also given to have sprung from the

- 14. Mahabharata (Cr. ed.) 1, 61, 33, 34,
- 15. Mahibhārata (Cr. Ed) 1, 159, 40,
- A. A. Macdonell and A. B. Kelth; Vedic Index; 1958; Vol. I page 75.
- 17, Satapatha Brahmana 13 5, 4, 5,
- 18. Pañchavimia Brahmana 13. 3. 12.
- 19. Rgveda 7, 2, 2, 3.
- 20. Satapatha Brahmana 6, 8, 1, 14,
- 21. A. Banerice Shastri: Asura India, 1926, page 82.
- 22. Rgveda 10, 5, 2, 10,
- 23. Mahabharata (Cr. ed.) 1, 89, 26,
- 24. B. C. Law, Tribes in Ancient India, 1943; page 12.

daughter of Dānava Madhu and Ikṣvāku Haryaśva. The Yadus, thus, may claim their descent from Ikṣvākus. The Kckayas, the descendants of non-Āryan Ānvas, even in historical period had matrimonial alliance with the Ikṣvākus. ²⁵ These Daityas, Dānavas and Rāksasna are known as Ahi people in the Rṣveda. They were the spearhead and backbone of the Bhārattyan resistance to the Brahmāryan invaders. The whole of western Bhārtat comprising Iran, Arakhosia, Gedrosia, Kasmira, Sindh and Punjab was populated by the people who were either Aiksvākas or closely allied to Aiksvākas

Prāchya Iksvākus

The Brahmäryans had finally settled in their new colony of Brahmävarta by 1000 B, C. They consolidated their power by that time. They then advanced towards the east and brahmanized eastern parts of Bhärata as far as Videha and Anga circa 900 B. C. They could brahmanize south Bihar and Bengal by the middle of the third century. A. D which represents practically the last period when the original Purāna was reast. The east was populated by the peoples of Kašī and Kosāla, the Lichichhavis and Mallas. They all belonged to the Ikṣvāku race. 26 The people of Videha were of the Ikṣvāku descent. The Vaisšali people were also predominantly Aksvāka. 27 The Ikṣvākus had occupied the whole of Eastern India with whom the Brahmäryans had to contend with for political supremacy in the first part of the first millenium B. C. 26

We do not know much about the Deccan people in this age. Attareya Brahmana informs us that the Dasyu descendants of Viśwāmitra populated the far eastern and southern Bhārata. 20 The descent of Viśwāmitra family is traced to

^{25.} B. C. Law, op. at. p. 77.

^{26.} B C Law op, cit pages 56, 109, 298, 299,

^{27.} Yogendra Misra, An Farly History of Vaisali, 1962, page 115.

D. R Bhandarkar, Aryan Immigration into Eastern India, A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 12 pages 111-113.

^{29.} Altareya Brahmana 8, 22.

the Pūrus as we later see. Thus we find that at the time of Aryan penetration of India; the whole of Bhārata was populated by the Ikṣvākus and their branches.

Pūru versus Iksvāku

The close association of the Ikṣvākus and the Pūrus has branch of the Pūrus, 30 The internal evidence of the Pūrūs, 30 The internal evidence of the Pūrūns, 30 The internal evidence of the Pūrūns do not lead to any such conclusion. The Vedic evidence makes the Pūru warrors as Aiksvākus. The Pūraine evidence glorofies the Ikṣvākus more than the Pūrus. The Pūrus identified themselves with the Ikṣākus and adopted the name Ikṣvāku to themselves. This certainly makes the Pūrus a branch of the Ikṣvākus.

The Rgveda distinctly mentions that the Pūrus were settled on both the banks of Saraswatt along with other constituents of Pańchajanáh 31. The Pūrus lived on the upper parts of Saraswatt. The Puränic tradition maintains that Prächinvant, a Pūru scion, marched towards the east. Iksvěku Kuvalášva killed Dānava Dhundhu. He is equated with Sudhanvān, the descendant of Prächinvant. Dhundhu is a Dānava as weil as Pūru and the marriage of his sister with Iksvěku Haryaśva fructified in the birth of Yadu who originated the Yadu line. These salient facts go to establish the supremacy of the Ikṣvāku rēcē.

Iksvākus are not Dravidians

The İkşväkus was the great pre-Āryan Bhārattya race, Pargiter has tried to establish that the İkşväkus should be equated with Dravidians. ³² The Purāpas do not know any Dravida tribe. It appears that the Dravidians had not gained any importance by the Purāpic age circa 300 A. D. The Purāpas give a preface which throws much light upon the

E. J. Rapson; The cambridge History of India; Vol. I. chapter XIII the Purknas, 1955; page 275.

^{31.} Rgveda 6, 5, 12, 12,

^{32.} Pargiter; op. cit.; page 295.

nature of the various tribes. The preface asserts that Āndhras, Sakas, Pulindas, Chülikas Yavanas, Katvartas, Ābhras, Savaras and others are of Micchehla origin. Pauravas, Vithotras, Vaidiśas, Five Kośalas (Pānchālas), Mekalas, Kośalas (Mahākośala), Paundras, Gaunardas, Svasphras, Sumidharmas, Sakas, Nipas and others will be of Mielchchha race. This catalogue obviously includes in itself various Iksvāku, the Pūru, Yadu and some Ahi tribes The descendants of, Iksvākus, and lilā are spoken of as non-Āryans. This clearly establishes the mythic character of Manu and Ilā The Ailas and the Ikṣvākus still reamin non-Āryan Miechchhas in the Pūranas circa 300 A. D.

The Arvans despised Vrātvas, Bhūrjakantaka, Avantya, Vātadhāna, Puspadha, Sesa, Jhalla, Malla, Lichchhavi, Nața, Karana, Khasa, Dravida, Sudhanvan, Karusa, Vijanman, and Sātvata, are termed as Vrātyas. Sūta, Vaidehaka, Māgadha, Ksatri, and Avogava and placed along with Chandalas,34 Here also the Iksvaku, the Puru and Ahı tribes have been mentioned as degraded people The Dravida caste is mentioned separately but is not so important that it may be called a race constituted of many tribes. Pargiter may be partially right in his conclusion that in the Puranas, three different myths have been blended together in an attempt to unify the origins of the three different dominant races, ... constituting three separate stocks, 35 But he has not adduced any evidence to prove that the Dravidians existed in Bharata before the Aryan invasions. He has also failed to unearth any Dravidian tradition to prove that the Manavas or Iksvakus were Dravidians. He would have been nearer to truth if he had propounded the theory that in the Puranas, two different myths, the Brahmaryan and the Bharattyan have been blended together in an attempt to unify the origins of the two different dominant races of Bharata in their coalesced state.

^{33.} F. E. Pargiter; The Purfina Text of the Dynasties of the Kali Age, 1967; pages 2, 67.

^{34.} Manusmrti, 10, 21, 23, 26,

^{35.} Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.) page 288.

When the Brahmāryans invaded Bhārata, there were no Dravidians inhabiting the land of Bhārata. The whole of Bhārata was populated by the Proto-Australoids, 8° These Proto-Australoids had named the various regions of their land as Kośala-Tośala, Añga-Vanga Kalinga-Tilinga, Mekala-Uklala, Pulinda-Kulinda (with the group Udra-Punḍa-Muŋda), Bhulniga, Sālvas, Kamboja and Tāmralinga. These words do not belong to the families of Indo-European or Dravidian languages. They are characteristic of the vast family of languages which are called Austro-Assatic and which covers in India the group of Munḍā languages, often called also the Kolarian. 3° Purāṇas give the twisted versions of these Proto-Australoid traditions.

Ik wākus are Proto-Australoids

The gourd myth is prominently an Austro-Asiatic myth. Several Austro-Asiatic people call themselves issues either of a gourd or a melon of which every seed gave birth to a man. The same myth has passed to the Indian tradition. The wife of Sagara. King of Ayodhyā, named Sumatī to whom 60000 sons were promised, gave birth to a gourd from which 60000 children came out. 38 Jama tradition places Sagara in remoter antiquity than the Brahmanic tradition. He is the second chaki avartin just after Bharata. The transposition of the legend to Sagara proves its still prior antiquity. This gourd myth, prior to Sagara, was current in Rsabha's age. The Sanskrit word for gourd is Iksvaku. The existence of the gourd myth in Rsabha's times proves him to be a Proto-Australoid scion. The tradition of Rama and Sita also belong to the proto-Australoid age. The whole of Bharata at the time of Brahmarvan invasions was populated by people known as Proto-Australoids to the anthropologists and as the Iksvākus to the historians. We may safely identify the Iksyakus with the Proto-Australoids. Great heroes of India; Rsabha, Bharata.

R. C. Jain, The Most Ancient Aryan Society; 1964; Chapter III section 6.

Sylvain Levi & others; The Pre-Aryan and Pre-Dravidian in India, 1929, page 95.

^{38.} Sylvain Levi; op. cit.; page 154.

Sagara, Rāma and host of others belonged to the Proto-Australoid ethnic stock

Iksvāku Domain

The Iksvāku race at one stage of history dominated the whole of Bharata. It is only later, in the first part of third millenuim B. C., that the Ahi sub-race of the Iksvaku race, became prominent in western Bharata which later migrated to eastern Bharata after their crushing defeat in the Dasaraina War. The domain of the lksvakus may further be traced beyound the frontiers of Iran, the Far Western province of Bharata, in Babylonia. In the language of Assyria, the word for a vassal is "Išākku" and the Assyrians in the initial stages of their political development acted as the "Iśākkus" or vassals of the Babylonian kings. The Isakkus have been identified with the Iksvākus Ekwesh or Akauśa people of Egypt and the Greek Akhaioi have also been identified with Iksvākus 19 These identifications can not be carried too far. The existence of the Iksväkus in the Assyrian ethnic stock appears very probable. The name might have travelled to Egypt and Greece also. The Iksvāku Panis referred as Ahis in the Reveda carried the Nagna or Sramanic culture of Bharata to distant lands of Sumer. Egypt and the Mediterianean in the fourth millenium B. C. Nagnas, as shown later, were associated with the conception of Ahi or serpant; serpant being a dominant art motif in the material relics of Bharata, Sumer, Egypt and Crete. The first Mediterranean immigration to Bharata, took place in the first part of the third nullenium B. C. Ahi sub race of the Iksvaku race had by that time gained more prominence and established supremacy in the western regions of Bharata.

All this foregoing discussion conclusively proves that the Iksyaku race was the sole race that populated Bharata since immemorial antiquity. It was at some stage in the heary past that its Ahi sub-race separated from it though it gratefully remembered its lineage from the Iksyaku even in the historical times. The other important tribes; the Pūrus, the Yadus and others; traced their origins either to the main Iksyaku stock

^{39.} Buddhprakash; Vṛtra; A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 32 page 178.

or to the Ahi stock. The Iksvāku race was the pre-Āryan non-Āryan Bhāratīya race.

2. THE BHARATAS

Bharata Problem

Sylvain Levi has rightly observed that India has been too exclusively examined from the Indo-European stand point. 40 Like the Europäryan brother scholars, Brahmäryan scholars have also too exclusively examined the life and culture of Bhārata. An exclusive view gives only the partial truth from which arise various ambiguities and absurdities. Revedic Bharatas are a case in point. They played the most important part in the Dašarājān War. Who were they? Whether they were the conquering Brahmāryans or the conquered Bhāratiyans, has been much debated. Prejudices have played no less important part in up-holding one view or the other.

Bharatas in the Rgveda

Bharatas are alluded to in the Rgveda only once going to war under the leadership of Visyamitra. Bharata troops came to the jointstream of the Vipää and the Sutudri which they crossed over, 41 During the times of the Däsarājān war at about 1150 B.C. The Beas and Satluj ran in parallel beds for a long distance below their present junction. 42 The ancient junction would be some where North East of Harappa to the East of the Ravi (Vedic-Paruşai) but not far from it. The joint stream might have been a little below the junction of the two rivers opposite Harappa which was crossed over by the Bharata forces. After crossing the joint stream, the Bharatas advanced West to the town of Hariyuptya situate East of the Paruşai where a constituent of Bharatas the Vṛchivnath had complete military preparations for the combat 43 with the Āryan forces. They

Sylvain Levi, Pre-Aryan and Pre-Dravidian in India, 1929, page 125.

^{41.} Rgveda 3. 3. 4. 11. 12.

^{42.} M. N. Wadia-Geological Backgroupd of Indian History (Vedic Age) 1957, page 93-94.

^{43.} Rgveda 6, 3, 4, 5.

joined the military command of Bharatas. Some scholars connect Hariyupiya with Harappa, 44. The Brahmāryan Trisus lived to the West of the river Paruspi which was made fordable by Indra for a navel battle with the Bharatas, 45. The opposing combatant forces met on the flowing waters of the Paruṣṇi as well as on land. The first battle of the Dāṣarājhā War was fought very severelly, 46 and the enemies of Sudās, speaking a foreign langu.se, were routed and subjugated. 47

There is a great divergence among the scholars about the relations of Bharatas and Sudås, the Tṛstu King. ⁴⁸ Zimmer and Bloomfield hold that Tṛsus and Bharatas were enemies. ⁴⁰ Oldenberg and Weber held that Bharatas were victorious with Sudås, ⁵⁰ Rapson ⁵¹ Keth ⁵² and Filliozat ⁵⁰ believe Bharatas and Trisus identical R Shafer holds Bharatas and Tṛsus as enemies. ⁵⁴ Gokhale ⁵⁵ Pradhan ⁵⁶ and Macdonell ⁷⁷ Diantantan that Bharatas were defeated by Tṛsus and Sudås

Bharatas appear only in relation to Sudäs. They do not at all appear in relation to Divodäsa, the grand-father of Sudäs Association of Bharatas with Divodäsa has been wrongly suggested ⁸⁸ The cause of this confusion is a joint reading

- 44 Majumdar & others-An Advanced History of India, 1958,
- Part I, page 26, 45. Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 5.
- 40. Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 5
- 46. Rgveda 7. 2, 1, 11. 12,
- 47. Rgveda 7. 2, 1, 9
 - 48. (1) Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 13 & 24,
 - (2) A.A. Macdonell--A History Sanskrit literature, 1958, page 154.
 - 49. Macdonell & Keith-Vedic Indiex, 1958, Vol. II, page 95.
 - 50. Macdonell & Ketth, op. cit., Vol I page 321.
 - 5 1. Rapson-The Cambridge History of India, 1955, page 42.
- 52. Macdonell & Keith, op. cit, page 73.
- 53. I Filliozat-Political History of India, 1957, page 94.
- 54. R. Shafer; Ethnography of Ancient India, 1954; page 29.
- 55 Gokhale; Ancient India, 1959, page 23.
- 56. Sitanath Pradhan-Chronology of Ancient India, 1927; page 97.
- 57. A. A. Macdonell; op cit., (H. S. L.); page 154-155.
- 58. Rgveda 7. 2. 6. 5. 6, 6. 2. 1. 4-5.

of the Rgwedic Hymns 6. 2. 1. 4 & 6. 2. 15. These two Hymns are quite independent of each other and one complete hymn does not speak of both the Bharatas and Divodāsa. The first only alludes to Bharatas and stops there. The second refers only to Divodāsa without any relation to Bharatas. Independently interpreted they give the picture of life of two different ages i.e. one of the thirteenth century B. C. and the other of eleventh or tenth century B. C.

This is a queer peice of amalgamation of two different facts of history by the rhapsodist who composed these hymns more than 150 years after the events took place but this is not uncommon with the Brahmäryan rhapsodists who continued this process of falsification of facts till the time of the composition of Mahäbhärata in its final form more than 3000 years after-wards. Sukathankara is conscious of this mosaic of old and new matter in the Mahäbhärata.⁵⁰ This might have been a continuing process, the lead having already been given by the Vedic composer.

When Indra was Commander-in-chief of the Brahmarvan forces during the time of Divodasa, he waged wars against Dasas and Dasyus. The Yadus and the Turvasas also appear in relation to him in certain context but no where the Bharatas. the Bharatas appear only in relation to Sudas. The Rgveda does not allude to any defeat of Trtsu Sudas by his enemies nor any loss of territories he had earlier wrongfully usurped or inherited. The interpretation of this hymn RV. 7, 2, 16, 6 accepted by Majumdar and others does not seem convincing. 60 They hold the view that Bharatas were at first admittedly inferior to their foes and were shorn off their possessions which they could not long endure and engaged themselves in deadly struggles against their rivals. Their dealing with the subject shows uncertainty and indecisiveness. They refer to the struggles of the Śṛñjayas with the Turvasas and Vṛchivants and to the Battle of Ten Rajas without bringing in the Bharatas in

⁵⁹ Mahābhārata (Cr. Ed); Vol. I. P. CIII.

^{60.} Majumdar & others op. cit.; page 27.

these battles. This is due to their lack of dilectical historical perspective.

Bharatas are not Trisus

We find the Bharatas involved in military conflict only on the banks of Parusni In the battle, the Druhvus were drowned in the river. Fort of the Anus was annexed and Kavi son of Cha-vamana was killed in ground battle. All the eminent authorities agree that one battle of the Dasarajfia War was fought on the banks of the Parusni and that the Purus, the Anus, the Druhyus, the Yadus, and the Turvasas were definitely the five constituents of the Ten Republics confederacy. This battle indicates the victory of the Trisus and if Trisus be deemed identical with Bharatas, then the victory of the Bharatas but the Bharatas were definitely defeated here; therefore they cannot be equated with the Trisus nor can be treated as their allies but can be explained only as enemies of Trisus. As some members of Pafichajanāh or Ten Republics Confederacy also fell in this battle, hence they cannot be accepted as hostile to the Bharatas. The view of various scholars, who equate Bharatas with Trtsus, that Bharatas were enemies of the Pūrus and other members of the Confederacy is based on this false assumption and hence clearly untenable.

What, rational interpretation, then, may be given to the Rgweda 7 2.166 The first part of the hymn alludes to the deleat
of Bharatas at the hands of Trisu king Sudås in the first phase
of the Daśarājna War The second part of the hymn refers to
the prosperity of the Trisus under the Purohitaship of Vasistha,
This was before the Daśarājna war took place. This is amalgamation, pure and simple, of two different events of history.
This again is a glaring illustration of a mosaic of old and
new matter.

Joint reading of the Reveda 7.2.16.5 & 7.2.16.6. throws a new and very important light on the present enquiry. The truth of the above thesis quriously but automatically appears in the scheme of the hymn collections. The word "Dāšarājāa" does not appear in the actual battle hymn. The aforesaid former hymn speaks of the War of Trisu chiel Sudās with the

Ten Rāiās. And in the following hymn the defeat and subjugation of the Bharatas is alluded to. Though the Reveda does not give a list of Ten Rājās who formed the Confederacy: various scholars give differing lists. All the scholars include among them the Purus, the Yadas, the Turvasas, the Anus and Druhyus, the Ajas, the Shigrus and the Yaksas, are also included. I would add with them the Vrchivants and the Matsvas. Some include the Pakthas, Bhalanas, the Almas, the Visanins and the Sivas but they have clearly been referred to as friends and allies of Sudas and not as his onemies, 61 Their inclusion in Dăśarājāa Confederacy would also take the number of the members of the Confederacy to more than Ten which is unwarranted. Bheda is the personel name of the leader Commanding the forces of the Ajas, the Sigrus, and the Yaksas. The Ten-Members of the confedracy were iana republics of Bharata. It has been agreed by all the scholars without exception that it was Visvāmitra who led the Dāśarājňa War. They also rightly agree that it was also Viśvāmitra who led the Bharatas. But no scholar maintains that Visyāmitra led two battles of the Bharatīvans against Sudas. And the Rayeda also knows only one war, the Dasaraina War, in which Sudas was engaged. We do not find Sudas fighting two different wars with Bharatas or Ten Rājās. The conclusion therefore is ir-resistable that Bharatas and the Dāśarāiña constituents are one and the same. They can rightly be equated, It appears certain from the critical study of all the aspects of the Dāśarāiña War that the Bharatas was the collective name of all the constituents of the Dăśarājāa Confederacy.

This is clearly borne by the further references in later literature. Bharaisa are very prominient in Satapatha Brāhmaṇa. They are the core of the epic poem, Jaya, Bhārata and Mahābhārata. Paṇini knows Bhārata Janpada 64 and Pataḥali knows Bhāratas as Jayaswal on the authority of Āpastambha, Baudhāwana and Kātwānan maintains that Bhāratas were a

^{61.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 7.

^{62.} V. S. Agarwal, India as known to Pamini 1953, page 37.

^{63.} B. N. Puri-India in the Time of Patanjali 1957, page 69.

nation. 64 It, therefore, appears almost certain that the Reveda understands by Bharatas the collective name of the peoples inhabiting Bharata then known to it

The Brahmäryan foreigners, when they first set foot on Bhäratlya soil, came in contact with people who in anthropological parley are termed as Proto-Australoids. 68 They inhabited Indus and Gangetic Valleys. They spoke a speach un-intelligible to them. They have been referred as Vadhrachah and Mrdhrvächah The reference to their hostile language is made in Satapatha Brähmana The enemies of the invading Brahmäryans used the word "HALAYO." This is a non-Dravidian word and this appears to be a word belonging to ancient Präkiri language now lost to humanity. It thus appears that they spoke non-Dravidian language 68 Some of them were later converted to "Aryanism" of between 1100 B. C. and 800 B C.

It has been urged by some scholars that the Tṛtsus (equating with Bharatas) resided in the Brahmāvarsta region, the laid between Saraswatt, Apāyā and Dṛṣadvatt and they went West to fight the Dāśarājān War. This confusion has occured for not paying heed to the chronological aspect of historical events. No doubt they resided in Brahmāvarata regiones but they did not reside here during or prior to the period of the Dāśarājān War. The Dāśarājān War was followed by an amalgamation of the Tṛtsus and the Bharatas into a single nation wherein the Pūrus also finally merged themselves, 69 It is only after the Brahmāryan Victory in the Dāśarājān War that they advanced towards this region and settled there. This region in later times became the most important centre of the growth and junction of the Brahmāryan culture and civilisation.

^{64.} K. P. Jayaswal Hindu polity, 1955, page 206.

^{65.} J. Prazyluski-Non-Aryan & Non-Dravidian in India (ibid) page 4.

^{66.} Jules Block. op. cit. (N. A. N. D. T); page 37,

^{67.} S. K. Chatteryer; Vedic Age; 1957, page 154.

^{68.} Rgveda 3, 2, 11, 4,

^{69.} Gokhale, op. cit.; page 23.

One piece of evidence from the Rayeda corroborates this fact. And this is a very important piece of evidence. Aryan Agni has been represented as born of Dyaus and Pṛthuł or Twistr and Waters. It has been brought into existance by Indra or Indra and Vṛquu or by the Dawn and is the son of Iḍā. It is the personification of scarificial food. Agni is said to have been born in Heaven and brought down by Mātrisvāna, 10 This description of the conception of Agni receives a realistic touch after the merger of Brahmāryans with Bharatas. The Bharata Agni is not born of Dyaus and Pṛthuł but is born of Ten-Fingers. 11 This fusion of two different ideologies and ways of life make a world of difference in the development of later thought and life of the Brahmāryan-Bhāratīya coalesced people.

After their defeat in the Dåsaråjňa war, the Bharatas largely coalesced with the Brahmāryans and accepted their Yājňic way. Two Bhāratas, Devasfavas and Devaváta, churned the powerful and wealth-bestowing Agin. ⁷² Bharata Agin became undecaying and felicity giving. ⁷³ Bharata Agaba Visvā-mitra prayed Indra for the protection of the Bhārata race. ⁷⁴ The enthusiastic rhapsodist set the first example for the later Paurānikas of mixing up different events of different periods of history. Divodāsa never came in contact with the Bhārata Agin was made the destroyer of the enemies of Divodāsa. ⁷⁸ Two events of two hundred years apart have been made to appear as one event by the Raje magic.

Bharatas = People of Bhūratavarşa

These Rgvedic references leave no doubt that the Bhārata race was the sole occupant of Bhāratavarşa, when the Brahmāryans invaded her. The brunt of the total war fell upon them and

A. B. Keith; The Religion and philosophy of the Veda and Upanishads 1925, pages 155-156.

^{71.} Rgveda 3, 2, 11. 3,

^{72.} Rgveda 3, 2, 11, 2,

^{73,} Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 45; 4, 3 5 4,

^{74.} Rgveba 3, 4, 15, 12.

^{75.} Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 19.

they were utterly routed. Some elements of the Bhārata race made peace with the Brahmāryans, got converted and accepted their way of life The Bhārata race is Pre-Āryan non-Āryan, non-Dravidian; the original master of Bhārata-land.

This account of the Bharata race leaves no necessity for going into the problem of the origin of the Bharata race. But this problem has also been made shrouded in mysteries, hence it also needs some clarification.

Bharata, son of Rshbha

The neo-Bhāratiya tradition asserts that Rsabha son of Nābhi, was the sole master of Bhārata known as Ajanā-bhākhanda during his times. He became an ascetic and sponsored a religion of Digambara Munix. He did not believe in Āsrama way. He practised austertites in the Āśrama of Pulsha '7' His son Bharata named this Ajanābhākhanda as Bhāratavarsa after his name. 'd' The jaina tradition corroborates the fact that Bharata, son of Rsabha, gave his name to this o'r land. There is no Brahmic or Brāhmanic tradition about this problem.

The Purânas make Dausyanti Bharata also as the progenitor of the Bhārata race. He is alleged to have given his name to this land. But the Purânas do not tell us the name of Bhārataversa hefore she was given this name by Dausyanti Bharata. Parforming sacrifices seized the sacrificial horse of the Satvants. Satvants are not known to the Rgweda. They first appear in the Attareya Brāhmaṇa. Dausyanti Bharata does not appear in the Attareya Brāhmaṇa. But given at all, appeared in seventh century B. C. and not before. He can not be the progenitor of the Bharatas mentioned in

^{76.} Bhagavata Purana 5. 3. 20; S. 4. 9; 5. 6. 10-12.

^{77.} Višņu Purāna 2 1 32

^{78. (1)} Bhagwata Purana 5, 7, 2, 3.

^(2) Vişmu Purana 2. 1. \$2

^{79.} Satapatha Brahmana 13, 5, 4, 11, 21,

^{80.} Astareya Brahmana 8, 14, 2 25.

the Rgweda. Bharatas are the chief people of the Rgweda. Bharata, son of Rsabha and not Dausyanti Bharata gave his name to this country. Satapatha Brāhmana, first of all, introduces Dausyanti Bharata and gives the epithet Dausyanti to Bharata purposely to discriminate the two Bharatas. ⁸¹ Some one not liking the idea of a jaina ruler being so exalted, hit upon another Bharata but the majority of the Purānas have not accepted the claim of this Bharata—the son of Dusyanta. Bharata, son of Rsabha, ruled for a very long time in an exemplary manner. This memorable event was immortalised by the country being called after Bharata. It seems beyond question that India got its original name after a Jaina prophet. ⁸²

Rşabha-Father of İkşvāku race

Rsabha is the orginator of the Śramanic way. The Āryan way as depicted by the Vedic Interature is a materialistic way, opposed to the Śramanic way. The Śramanic way of Bhārata and other allied regions is certainly pre-Āryan. §§ The association of Rṣabha with Dānava Pulaha in the Brāhmanic Interature leaves no doubt that Rsabha was pre-Āryan Bhāratiya certainly not descended from mythical Manu. He was the progenitor of that great race whom the Brahmāryans called Iksvākus and later dubbed as Asuras.

Ikşvāku is the great race that populated Bhārata before the Brahmāryan military invasions Bhārata is not the name of a race but designates the territoriality of the country. The inhabitants of the country may sometimes be identified with the country itself and it is only in this sense that we have to understand the Bhārata race. Bhārata race means Ikşvāku race. Bharata-Rsabha means Ikṣvāku-Rṣabha; i.e. the greatest Ikṣvāku. Bhārata in the pre-Āryan times, was the land of the great Ikṣvāku race.

C. V. Vaidya; The Solar and Lunar Kşatriya races of India in the Vedas; I. B. B. R. A. S.; Vol. 23 pages 39, 41, 58.

R. D. Karmarkar; The Original name of India; A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 36 page 118.

R. C. Jain; The Most Ascient Aryan Society, 1964; chapters.
 I & II.

CHAPTER III

THE AHI SUB-RACE

1. THE ORIGIN

Ahi problem

The Ahı people are very prominently mentioned in the Rgweda. Indra is the most prominent Brahmäryan hero in the Rgweda, so is the great Bhāratīyan hero, Vītra. The Rgweda really is the historical record of Indra-Vītra battles. And Vītra was an Ahı and the greatest Ahı The Iksvāku race does not figure prominently in the Rgweda but Ahı, though a sub-race of the Iksvāku race, figures as a race in the Rgweda and certainly more prominently than the Iksvāku race. The Iksvāku race gains historical importance in the Rgweda age only through its Ahı sub-race, The problem arises; who are the Ahıs? How they have originated?

Kaśyapa—the progenitor of Ahis

One Daksa, before the age of Purānic Manu Vaivasvata, had only two daughters in Satyayuga They were Vinatā and Kadru who were married to Kasyapa, ¹ Vinatā gave birth to Arissanemi and other four sons. Kadru gave birth to Ariss, Sesa, Ananta, Vāsuki and others.² The Purānic tradition also declares that Marichi begot a son, the prajāpati Aristanemi who afterwards became Kasyapa.³ All the Purāṇas unanimously declare Marichi to the thather of Kasyapa. Aristanemi, then, and his brothers born of his mother Vinatā, can not be the sons of Kasyapa. It appears that the name of Aristanemi and his brothers have been fabricated to gloss the reality as Aristanemis on of Marichi is none else than the progentior of the Ahis, the celebrated Kasyapa himself. Kasyapa was married to Kālakā and Pulomā, daughters of Asura

^{1.} Mababharata (Cr. Ed.); 1. 14. 5. 6.

^{2.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.); 1, 59, 39, 40.

^{3.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit.; page 189.

Vaiśvānara who bore him sixty thousand Dānavas. ⁴ The epithet Asura was given to the Dānavas, Daityas and Rakşas of the Ahi race later. Earlier the Asuras were the kinsmen of the Āryan Devas; themselves the former Devas. Kaśyapa, therefore, appears to be a Dānava and son of a Dānava. Kaśyapa, son of Marichi, is pure and simple, the progenitor of the Ahi race.

Marichi in Jaina tradition

Jama tradition gives an interesting story of Marichi. Rashha and Sumaigalla were born as twins, a pair of son and daughter who became hisband and wife when they came of age. One such twin lost the male counterpart, for the first time, in Rashha's times. The remaining female twin was named Sunandā by her parents. She was marired to Rashha. ⁸ Twin system of sexual union was then discontinued by Rashha. Sumangalā bore Bharata and Brālmi and Sunandā, bore Bharbali and Sundarī. ⁶ Rashha gave Brāhmī to Bāhubali and Sundarī to Bharata in marriage, ⁷ their half brothers only, not to their real brothers, Marichi was son of Bharata. He founded a heretic Dharma. ⁸ Ajita, the second Tīrthamkara married hundreds of magnificent royal maidens. ⁹

Rsabha had discontinued twin unions and the boys and airls of different families had begun to be given in marriage in other families. Woman, so far, was the equal partner of man but now her social position was dwindling and she was fast becoming only the junior partner of man. Some social system for maintaining the social status of women as equal partners of men had to be evolved. Marichi came to disagree with Rşabha. Jaina tradition stops there. It does not associates Marichi with any social system for womanhood.

D. R. Bhandarkar; Aryan Immigration into Eastern India A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 12 page 108.

Helen, M. Johnson; Triśaştiśalākā-puruāacharita; 1931 Vol. I. pages 132, 138, 145.

^{6.} Heln, M. Johnson; op. cit.; Vol. I. page 148.

^{7.} Helen, M. Johnson; op. cit.; Vol. I. pages 154-155 Note 202.

^{8.} Helen, M. Johnson; op. cit.; Vol. I page 330.

^{9.} Helen. M. Johnson; op. cit.; 1937; Vol. II. page 73.

Origin of Matriarchy

The neo-Bhāratīya tradition supplies the lacuna. Dānava Kasyapa son of Marichi becomes the progenitor of Ahi race not to immortalise his own name. He immortalised the name of his wife Kadru. Her sons were called Kadraveyas. Arbuda Kādraveva is the Rsi of Sūkta 10. 8. 4 of the Tenth Mandala of the Rgveda. Kasyapa was not only the progenitor of Ahi race but also the founder of the Matriarchal system of society. Rsabha was the founder, of the Iksvāku race. Marichi was an Aiksvāka. His son Kaśvapa was an Aiksvāka. Ahi race, thus, is only a branch of the Iksvaku race. Purukutsa is called a Rāksasa and an Aiksvāka. This only means that Purukutsa belonged to the Ahi branch of the Iksvaku race. The Ahi branch is called a race simply because it assumed as much greatness and importance as the Iksvāku race enjoyed. A suggestion, in some quarters, has been made that Iksvaku race was a branch of the Purus, 10 The scholars who hold this view really did not consider the Puru problem in the light of the pre-Arvan society. They did not pay sufficient attention to the association of Purus with Dasas, Dasvus, Vrtras, Danavas and Ahis. Purukutsa is called an Aiksvaka belonging to the Iksvāku race; not a Paurava, belonging to the Pūru tribe. The truth reveals itself in the other way Purus are a branch of the Iksvāku race

Later on, some group belonging to the Ahi race assumed the proper name of Kaśyapa. We find mention of Kaśyapa, ¹¹ and Kadrul² in the Rgveda Rsi Kaśyapa is said to have adored Soma. Kadru is a priest offering soma to Indra. Kaśyapa and Kadru have no relationship in the Rgveda. We do not know of any Kaśyapa Jana or people in the Rgveda. The Kaśyapa begin to appear in the Bráhmana period. Though Kaśyapa Märicha is the Rsi of many sūktas in the Rgveda, specially of the

 ⁽¹⁾ E. J. Rapson; The Purasas, The Cambridge History of India, 1955; page 275.

^(2) Macdenell and Kenh, Vedic Index; 1958; Vol. I, page 75,

^{11.} Rgveda 9. 7. 2, 11.

^{12.} Rgvoda 8, 6, 3, 26,

Ninth Mandala, they were not viewed with favour by the Brāhmapa priests. Some of the Kasyapas had been assintated by the Brahamāryan society, but still they were not permitted to partake in sacrifices. Janamejaya Pārtkṣita excluded Kasyapas from Yajfa. ¹⁸ Kasyapas are mentioned with Syāparnas who were associated with the non-Āryan Sālvas. ¹⁴ It was only in the late Brāhmaṇa period circa 650 B. C. or so that the Kasyapas were allowed to officiate in Yajfass. One Kasyapa officiated in the sacrifice of Visvakarman Bhauvana. ¹⁵ Dānava Kasyapa was completely assimilated in the Brāhmaṇa society only in the Anukramani period circa 500-200 B.C.

Iksvāku lineage

The Ahi people descended from Kaśyapa, the Aikṣyāka. There may be deep reasons for Kaśyapa to separate from the original stock. They have to be discovered elsewhere as that enquiry here would be beyond the scope of our present purpose. As we just now discuss, the Yţiras, the Asuras, the Rāksassas, the Panis and their other associate tribes belonged to the Ahi stock. The ptirus, the yadus, the Anus, the Turvasa and the Druhyus had Ahi blood in their venis. And they are the most prominent Brahmāryan adversaries in the Rgyeda. They rightly deserve to be called a Race. They appear in the Rgyeda as a race while Ikṣyākus only as a very minor tribe. But the original critical enquiry proves the Ikṣyākus is the original race. We may, at best, call the Ahi stock only a sub-race of the Ikṣyāku sa the sub-race of the Ikṣyāku sa the original race. We may, at best, call the Ahi stock only a sub-race of the Ikṣyāku sa the

2. THE AHI PEOPLE

Purpose of Indra

When Indra was born, he asked his mother about the mighty and renowned people whom he had to destroy. His strong mother answered that Aurpaväbha and Ahiśuva are

^{13.} Altareya Brahmana; 7. 27.

^{14.} Satapatha Brahmana; 10. 4. 1. 10,

^{15. (1)} Altareya Brahmana 8. 21.

^(2) Satapatha Brahmana 13. 7. 1. 18.

the mighty foes whom Indra shall overcome. ¹⁶ Ahiśuva was a Dāsa having associations with Sribinda, Anarsain, Pina and Arbuda, ²¹ the Dasyus. Aurŋavābha the descendant of Urṇavābhi, was a great teacher belonging to the school of the Aithhāsikas who rehed on traditional legends. ²⁸ He appears to be a wise, learned and experienced spiritual leader with high intellect; the embodiment of the culture and civilization of the Brahmāryan foes. He was a doctor in pre-Āryan ancient history. Indra killed both Aurnavābha and Ahisuva along with Vitra and Arbuda. ¹⁹

Ahi-hā

Indra is Ahr-hā ²⁰ The word Ahr denotes the enemies of Indra in general. ²¹ Indra alone ²² and with Soma ²³ destroys the Ahrs. Ahrs were powerful people ²⁴ possessing-great wisdom ²⁵ The great wisdom (Ahr-Māyā) of the Ahrs was an object of adoration which Brhaspati himself learnt ²⁰ They possessed very high knowledge. ²⁷ They were spiritually so much advanced that splendour issued forth from their bodies which even the Devas could not resist ²⁶ Maruts borrowed their splendour from Ahrs. ²⁹ They were swift as thought. ³⁰ They considered themselves immortals. ³¹

```
16. Rgyeda 8 8, 8, 1-2,
17. Rgveda 8. 5 2 2-3.
18. Macdonell & Keith, Vedic Index, 1958; Vol. L. page 128-129.
19, Rgveda 8, 5, 2, 26,
20. Rgveda 2, 2, 8, 8.
21. Rgveda 1, 17, 2, 9, 1, 1, 7, 3, 9,
22, Rgveda 2, 3, 8, 1; 6, 2, 3, 14; 3, 3, 4, 7; 6, 2, 2, 9,
23, Rgveda 6. 6. 3; 9. 5. 3. 4.
24. (1) Rgveda 5. 3. 1. 5.
    (2) Rgveda-Samhitz (V. SM.); Vol. II. page 819.
25, Rgveda 6, 5, 3, 15.
26. Rgveda 1, 24, 11, 4,
27. Rgveda 1. 11. 7. 8-9.
28. Rgveda 8, 9, 13, 14-15,
29. Rgveda 1, 28, 8, 1,
30. Rgveda 1. 24. 7. 5.
```

31. Rgveda 2, 1, 11, 2,

Mountaneous people

The Ahis lived in mountains 52 They presided over watery regions. 33 The Ahis owned Water, 34 i.e. canals and tanks flowing full of waters. Their dwellings were covered by waters 38 Ahis were water-born, sitting amongst the waters of the rivers. 36 Vrtra was an Ahi 37 whom Indra killed. Indra killed the first born or the greatest leader of the Ahis. 38 He slew Ahi the Great. 39 Ahis were Dasas and Dasyus. 40 Indra killed Ahi when he was sleeping, not in open combat, May be, these high spiritual people believed in meditation. The Brahmāryans might have thought them sleeping, when they might have been in meditative mood with closed eyes. These meditative Ahis were sons of Danii. 41

Peaceful people

This description of Ahis reveals that they were a highly developed peaceful people with great intellectual and spiritual powers. They were so much intellectually advanced that Brhaspati, master of the Brahma, had to learn sciences and humanities from the Ahis. They were the great spiritual leaders who though physically subjugated taught metaphysics to their victors. They never attacked even the invading Arvans. The word Ahı primarily must have denoted peace. But the word Ahi is generally given the meaning of killer, slaver or murderer. But the Rgyedic Ahis committed none of these sinful acts. They even did not retaliate. The word Ahi must have

```
32. Rgveda 1. 7. 2, 1.
```

^{33. (1)} Rgveda 2, 1, 11, 2; 7, 2, 4, 3,

⁽²⁾ Rgveda Sambits (VS. M.) Vol. II, page 37.

^{34.} Rgveda 4. 2. 7. 1.

^{35.} Rgveda 2, 1, 11, 5,

^{36.} Rgveda 7. 3, 1, 16,

^{37.} Rgveda 1. 10. 1, 4, 38. Rgveda 1, 7, 2, 3-4,

^{39.} Rgveda 2, 1, 11, 5,

^{40.} Rgveda 2, 1, 11, 2; 5, 2, 17, 7.

^{41.} Rgveda 1, 15, 10. 7; 2, 2, 1, 11; 4, 2, 9, 2, 3; 5, 2, 18, 2 .

denoted something quite opposite to violence. Sayana provides some clue to this connotation. He comments the word Ahi as Ahīna, Utkrsta, 42 He has given the meaning of the word 'hi' with 'a' as prefix in the negative sense; the word 'hi' may be derived from ./Han in the sense of killing, slaying, violence. With "a" prefix in the negative sense the word Ahi shall mean a Non-Slayer.43 Yaska gives the word Ahi, the sense of cloud on account of its motion, it moves in the atmosphere. This other (meaning of) Ahi, i.e., serpant, is derived from the same root also, or from a ./han (to attack) with its preposition shortened, it attacks. 44 The etymologies of this word given by Yaska are absurd He gave this absurd etymology under popular pressure in sixth century B. C. when he flourished 46 Yaska had no historical sense and the facts of history had become obliterated in his times. Had he known the true history of the Second Millennium B C, he would certainly have derived the word Ahi from ./Han with prefix 'a' in the negative sense, meaning 'Non-Slayer.' Yāska fell pray to the twisted facts of history. The Ahis of history never attacked, they were the attacked. The Ahis were a peaceful, non-violent people inhabiting Bharata at the time of brutal Brahmärvan invasions.

3. THE VRTRAS

Human V_ftras

Vitra was an Ahi. 47 Vitra was born of Danu 48 Vitra was a human being with head, hands, jaws and other limbs 49

- 42. Rgveda Samhitā (V S. M); Vol I, pages 440-441.
 - Dr. P. L. Vaidya, of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona, in reply to my letter dated 12-6-1961, writes in his letter dated 14-6-1961 as follows—

"If for any reasons these senses (cloud or serpant) do not suit a particular context, it (Ahi) can be interpreted as non-Slayer deriving it from, Han with a negative sense."

- 44. Lakshman Sarup, The Nighantu and the Nirukta; 1962; p. 32,
- 45. Siddheswer Varma; The Etymologies of Yaşka, 1953, page 118.
- 46. Lakshman Sarupa; op. cit. page 54.
- 47. Rgveda 1, 10, 1 4
- 48. Rgveda 1, 7, 2, 9; 2, 1, 11, 18; 4, 8, 9, 7.
 - 49. Rgveda 13, 7, 5; 8, 2, 1, 6; 8, 8, 7, 2; 8, 2, 1, 13; 8, 2, 2, 23; 1, 11, 4, 6; 1, 16, 2, 10.

Vitra is the collective name of a tribe composed of Vitra members. Indra slew ninety times nine Vitras. ⁵⁰ When the Brahmāryan military forces invaded Bhārata; they had to contend with their principal enemies, the Vitras; the most important tribe of the Ahr race. The Brahmāryan enemies in general are known to the Rgveda as Vitras. ⁵¹ Innumeral Vitras were slain. ⁵² Not only Indra ⁵⁰ but Agai ⁵⁰ Brhaspatis and Soma ⁶⁰ are Vitra-ha. Indra and Varuna, Indra and Agni, Indra and Visuu, Maruts, Mitra and Varuna and Soma are slayers of Vitras. ⁶⁷ They are not only slayers of Vitras but they are their utter destroyers (Vitrahantamain). ⁶⁸

Vrtra region

The domain of the Vitras was very wide and extensive They populated the whole of Iran, 30 the far western province of Bhārata, Arachosia, Gedrosia and North-West mountaneous regions of Punjab. They were mainly mountaneous people, 50 Indra had to cross ninetynine streams to kill the Ahi Vitra. 81

- 50, Rgveda 1, 13, 11, 13,
- 51. Rgweda 1, 3, 1, 2, 1, 10, 3, 6; 1, 15, 9, 7; 3, 4, 12, 5, 4, 3, 3, 10; 4, 3, 1, 9; 6, 2, 1, 34; 6, 2, 4, 13; 6, 4, 1, 14. 6, 5, 8, 3; 6, 5, 11, 6; 6, 3, 2, 1, 8; 7, 2, 3, 10; 7, 1, 1, 10; 7, 2, 15, 16; 7, 2, 14, 6; 7, 5, 13, 9; 7, 5, 15, 3; 7, 6, 3, 4; 8, 3, 3, 3-11; 8, 3, 8, 9, 8, 9, 9, 10, 5, 8, 10, 2, 9, 9, 1, 1, 10.
 - 52. Rgveda 4, 4, 10, 7; 7, 2, 5, 2; 7, 2, 6, 3; 7, 2, 13, 2; 8,4,9,4.
- 53. Rgveda 1, 4, 5, 8, 1, 15, 7, 2, 1, 24, 7, 6; 3, 3, 1, 5; 5, 3, 8, 4; 6, 4, 4, 6; 8, 1, 2, 26; 8, 1, 4, 11; 8, 2, 1, 40; 8, 9, 13, 2; 8, 7, 7, 3.
- 54. Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 19; 2, 1, 1, 11, 3, 2, 8, 4; 1. 13, 1, 3.
- 55. Rgveda 6, 6, 12, 2,
- 56. Rgveda 9, 2, 13, 5; 9, 2, 4, 3; 9, 2, 1, 3, 9, 5, 4, 7,
- 57. Rgveda 4, 4, 9, 2, 7, 6, 5, 11; 6, 4, 5, 21; 9, 3, 1, 20; 9, 7, 7, 2, 9, 1, 17, 1; 6, 2, 5, 2; 6, 6, 7, 3.
- 58. Rgveda 5, 3, 8, 1, 3, 8, 9, 13, 16, 30; 8, 10, 4, 5, 8, 2, 1, 37; 8, 6, 4, 8; 8, 9, 13, 32; 8, 1, 3, 17, 6, 2, 1, 48; 8, 8, 5, 4; 8, 4, 0, 7; 8, 9, 9, 1.
- 59. Buddha Prakash; Vṛtra; A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 30 page 200.
- 60. Rgveda 1, 7, 2-8; 4 2, 7, 3,
- 61. Raveda 2. 7. 2. 15.

Indra shattered ninetynine cities of Vrtra and occupied the hundredth as his abode. ⁶² Vrtras covered vast territories. Indra had to kill Vrtras in the east, in the west and in the north ⁶³

Vrtras were dark people. ⁶⁴ They were the masters of large rivers and vast treasures of the mountains. Indra snatched violently for the Aryas the vast regions irrigated by rivers and formerly possessed by Vrtras. ⁶⁵ The Brahmäryans invaded the Vrtras for their fands and wealth.

The Vṛṭras did not offer any military resistance to the invaders. One single Deva Vṛṭra is referred to have returned the blow Indra and Ahi are referred to have contended, 66 but Vṛṭras were generally resistless in combats. 67

Matriarchal people

Vrtra's were matriarchal people. Vrtra's mother Danu was the matriarch of the Dānava race. ⁶⁸ Vrtras are referred to in the Rgweda not only as Ahis but also as Dāsas and Dasyus like the Ahis. The epithets Dāsas and Dasyus are non-ethnic terms which carry different connotations as discussed just later.

Republican administrators

Virtas were a constituent tribe of the Ahi sub-race They were wise and intelligent people with their own culture, civilization, science, language and ideology. It appears that they constituted the self-effacing, self-less and ascetic hierarchy of the wise administrators of Bhāratīya Janarepublics. They were almost saintly people. It is for this reason that they were accepted in later literature as equals to the Brāhmaṇas

^{62.} Rgveda 7. 2 2. 5.

⁶³ Rgveds 1, 18, 1, 11; 3, 4, 15, 11,

^{64.} Rgveda 2. 2. 9. 7; 3. 3. 3. 6; 3. 3. 2. 21.

^{65.} Rgveda 4, 2, 1, 7; 4, 2, 9, 8, 1, 10, 2, 4; 1, 16, 5, 5; 4, 3, 11, 21; 4, 3, 3, 2; 8, 5, 2, 11.

^{66.} Rgveda 1, 7, 2, 12-13,

⁶⁷ Rgveda 3, 8, 5, 8,

^{68.} Rgveda 3, 3, 1, 8.

and Vṛtra-hatyā by Indra was considered as abominable as Brahma-hatyā. **9

The Ahi-power was finally crushed on the confluence of Vipás and Sutudri. 10 This perhaps refers to the Brahmāryan victory in the Disarajān War. The Brahmāryan contended with the remnants of the Vṛtras after their final victory in the Disarajān War Indra's son Trasadasyu from Purukutsāni, the widow of Purukutsa, was a great destroyer of the remnant Vṛtras. Indra helped him in battles with Vṛtras wielded immense political power as high administrators of the Bhāratiya republics. The Vṛtras were self-less and saintly administrators who dedicated their lives in the service of the people and for the good of the people.

4. THE RAKSASAS

Human people

The Raksasas were a class of the Ahi sub-race. ⁷² They formed class ⁷³ reputed for its valour and physical might. ⁷⁴ Sâyana also understands by Raksasas a tribe. ⁷⁸ Rakşas means a defender, a repeller of violence. ⁷⁶

Rak so-hā

80. Rgveda 7. 5. 3. 4. 81. Rgveda 7. 1. 8. 6.

The Brahmäryan Devas are the killers of the Rakşasas and the Rakşa class. Indra, ⁷⁷ Brhaspati, ⁷⁸ Soma, ⁷⁹ Aświns ⁸⁰ and Agni ⁸¹ are Rakso-hā, the destroyers of the Raksasas.

```
    Taitirtys Samhits 2, 8, 1, 1, 70.
    Rgycda 3, 3, 4, 6,
    Rgycda 7, 2, 2, 3, 72.
    (1) Rgycda, 7, 6, 15, 9,
    (2) Rgycda, 7, 6, 15, 9,
    (2) Rgycda, 7, 6, 15, 4,
    Rgycda 7, 6, 15, 4,
    Rgycda 7, 6, 15, 4,
    Rgycda 1, 4, 1, 5; 8, 1, 29; 8, 7, 1, 8, 20,
    Rgycda Samhitt, Vol. I. page 161,
    (2) Rgycda 1, 8, 1, 15,
    (3) Rgycda 1, 8, 1, 15,
    (4) Ngycda 1, 19, 3, 6; 1, 19, 3, 11; 6, 4, 2, 18,
    Rgycda 2, 3, 1, 8,
    Rgycda 2, 3, 1, 8,
    Rgycda 1, 1, 12; 9, 2, 13, 8; 9, 3, 7, 20,
```

Violent annihilation of rakşasas

The Rgveda records various Brahmāryan battles with the Raksaas. Agni overcomes the great and exulting Raksaas.⁸² Indra and Soma afflict and destroy the Raksasas.⁸³ Indra alone is a destroyer of the Raksasas.⁸⁴ Some scatters the Raksasas and drives away these Brahmāryan adversaries, ⁸⁰ confers wealth ⁸⁰ and demolishes the strong dwellings of the Raksasas. Savit drives away the Raksasas.⁸⁷ Parjanya⁸⁸ also destroys the Raksasas and Brhaspati, ⁸⁹ consumes them. Agni destroys the Raksasas and Brhaspati, ⁸⁹ consumes them. Agni destroys the Raksasas and suns their wealth ⁹⁰ Agni, the especial destroyer of Virta, who carried off the treasures of the these people, also annihilated the Raksasas.⁸¹ King Purupantha ⁹² granted horses to Nāsatyas for slaying the Rakṣas.⁸³ The Raksasas fought against the Brahmāryan along with the Ah people. ⁹⁴

Military power

The Raksasas had confidence in their purity of means and also so asserted. ³⁰ They were the enemies of the Veda ³⁰ They possessed good houses, vast wealths and populated cities ⁹⁷ As they strongly repelled and offered resistance to the Brahmäryan violent attacks, they were termed by them mischievous

```
82. Rgveda 4, 1, 3, 14; 4, 1, 4, 1; 4, 1, 4, 15, 8,4,3,14; 8,7,1 19.
```

^{88.} Rgveda 7, 6, 15, 1; 7, 6, 15, 4.

^{84.} Rgveda 6, 4, 2, 18; 1, 19, 3, 11, 7, 6, 15, 19; 7, 5, 15-5

^{85.} Rgveda 9, 2, 29, 1; 7, 6. 15. 17; 9, 3, 3, 28, 29; 9, 5, 1, 48; 9, 5, 6, 4, 9, 1, 17, 3, 9, 2, 13, 1, 9, 2, 25, 5; 9, 2, 32, 1.

^{86.} Rgveda 9, 6, 1, 10. 87. Rgveda 1, 7, 5, 10.

^{88.} Rgveds 5, 6, 11, 2.

^{80,} Rgveda 2, 8, 1, 14,

^{90.} Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 29,

^{90.} Kgveda b, 2, 1, 29

^{91.} Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 48.

^{92.} Rgveda-Samhitz, Vol. III. page 228.

^{93.} Rgveda 6, 6, 2, 10, 8, 5, 5, 16, 18.

^{94.} Rgveda 7, 3, 5, 7.

^{95.} Rgveda 7, 6, 15, 16.

^{96.} Rgveda 3, 3, 1, 17.

^{97.} Rgveda 1, 23, 10, 2.

and oppressive. ** It is significant that Vasighha, a hero of the Däsaräjha war, refers most about the Raksassa in the whole body of the Rgweda. The Brahmäryan military forces under him were directly met by the Raksassa in the battlefields hence this direct testimony of one who participated in that war. The Rakṣassa appear to be the martial class of the Bhāratiya people who defended their motherland, against the foreign, Brahmäryan invasions.

Human raksas

Rakṣa, in the Rgweda, means to protect, to preserve. 99 The people whose primary duty in the pre-Āryan society was to protect and preserve the country and her people were known as Rakṣasas. They were a specific unit by themselves; they constituted the Raksa tribe.

The Raksasas had strong dwellings. 100 They might have developed the art of fortifications due to the Brahmāryan military onslaughts. The massive fortifications developed and protected by the Raksasas were later destroyed by Purandara Indra. The Raksasas, the protectors of cities and forts, were very mighty and strong people. 101 Raksasas people were numerous 102 Their race was a developing one. 103

Raksasas were great and exulting people ¹⁰⁶ They were the enemies of the Veda ¹⁰⁵ and what that implied. They were the

^{98.} Rgveda 7, 6, 15, 9.

^{99.} Rgveda 1, 5, 1, 3, 1, 7, 5, 11; 1, 10, 4, 11.

^{100.} Rgveda 9, 5, 6, 5.

^{101.} Rgveda 7, 6, 5, 12; 8, 4, 2, 18, 8,6,5,12; 8,7,1,8; 2,1,11,18.

^{102.} Rgwcda 1, 5, 4, 5; 1, 14, 2, 9; 1, 19, 7, 5; 3, 8, 1, 16, 6, 2, 3, 15, 3, 1; 13, 6, 5; 3, 2, 3, 15, 3, 10: 10; 7, 1, 1; 13; 7, 6, 15, 21-22; 8; 7, 1, 10, 9, 3, 6, 19; 9, 4, 4, 1, 9, 7, 1, 6; 1, 13, 6, 12; 7, 11: 15, 10; 8, 4, 5, 13, 6, 6, 1, 26.

^{103.} Rgveda 7, 6, 15, 4.

^{104.} Rgveda 4, 1, 3, 14.

^{105.} Rgveda 3, 3, 1, 17.

adversaries of the Brahmarvans. 106 The Raksasas were wealthy people, possessed treasures and cattle, 107

Indra, 108 Agni 109 and Soma 110 are Rakso-ha, Asvins, Savitr, Brhaspati, Indra, Agni, Parjanya, Maruts, Dydus, Prthvi and Adityas slay the Raksasas. 111 The Raksasas concentrated against Indra 112 and they were destroyed on the east. on the west, on the south and on the north, 113

Defence power

The Raksasas organised the military defences of the Bharatiya people against the Brahmäryan invasions. They also offered befitting onslaughts. They also marched upon the Aryan military troops to strengthen their defences The Brahmaryans were afraid of the Raksasas military onslaughts and they were always cautious above the Rakşa penetrations into their military organisation. They organised the prevention of the entry of Raksasas and the Brahmaryan war leaders protected their troops from the Raksasas. 114 May be, Raksasas had also developed the technique of guerrila warfare Indra and Agni played the most important part in annihilating the Raksa-power

The Raksasas belonged to the Ahı race Sayana translates Ahı as Rāksasa. 115 Raksa was the military organisation of the Ahı State or the Ahı people. They by themselves formed the Raksa tribe, a constituent of the Ahi sub-Race. They usually

^{106.} Rgveda 9, 2, 29, 1.

^{107,} Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 19; 6, 2, 1, 48, 7, 1, 8, 6; 9, 6, 1, 10.

^{108.} Kgveda 1, 19, 3, 6,

^{109.} Rgveda 7, 1, 8, 6.

^{110.} Rgveda 9, 1, 7, 2; 9, 2, 13, 3, 9, 3, 7, 20.

^{111.} Rgveda 6, 6, 1, 8, 7, 5, 3, 4; 1, 7, 5, 10; 1, 19, 3, 11; 2, 3, 1, 14; 4, 1, 4, 1, 15; 8, 4, 3, 14; 5, 6, 11, 2, 7, 6, 15,17; 9, 3, 3, 29, 9, 5, 1, 48; 1, 19, 3, 11; 2, 3, 13.

^{112.} Rgveda 6, 2, 6, 7, 113. Rgveda 7, 6, 15, 19.

^{114.} Rgveda 8, 7, 1, 20; 1, 8, 1, 15.

^{115. (1)} Rgveda 7, 6, 15, 9,

⁽²⁾ Raveda-Samhits (V. S. M.) Vol. III. page 511.

appear with the Ahis and the Vrtras also along with the Dasa and the Dasyu. The Raksasas were the main spearhead and backbone of the Ahi defence, to the Brahmäryan military invasions.

5. THE PANIS

Human Panis

The Panis were renowned for their wealth and treasures. The richest section of the Ahi race were known as Panis. The Brahmäryan military leaders were always after the wealth of the Panis. Soma won the wealth of kine from Panis. Soma discovered the voracious Pani who was verily a wolf Soma discovered the wealth of the Pani. 116 Pusan pierces the hearts of the Panis and renders the complacent 117 towards the Brahmäryans. The wealth of the Panis was detrimental to them in two ways. It made them luxurious and complacent and invited the greed of the foreigners. Asynns also take the life of the Panis and discard the disposition of the Panis. 116 Agni recovered the kine from the Panis. Agni baffles the intelligent and might of Pani. 119 Rājā Aśmati gained victory over Panis. 120

Economic power

Indra wields supreme power in the liquitation of the Pani economic power. Indra along with Soma crushed the Panis by force whose wealth Agni and Soma had looted. 121 The slayer of Vrtra 122 overpowered the Panis who probably were the followers of Vrtra. Indra, then, plundered the wealth of the Panis. 122

Annihilation of Pani power

Angirasas were no lesser enemies of the Panis than Indra. Indra, associated with Angirasas, determined to recover cattle

^{116,} Rgveda 9, 1, 22, 7; 6, 5, 2, 14; 9, 7, 8, 2.

^{117.} Rgveda 4, 5, 4, 5, 7.

^{118.} Rgveda 1, 25, 3, 3; 3, 5, 5, 2, 1, 24, 5, 2,

^{119.} Rgveda 7, 1, 9, 2; 6, 1, 13, 3.

^{120.} Rgveda 10, 4, 18, 6.

^{121.} Rgveda 6, 4, 1, 22; 1, 14, 9, 4.

^{122.} Rgveda 8, 7, 7, 10.

^{123.} Rgveda 5, 3, 2, 7.

hidden in the mountain, overwhelmed the Panis. Indra with Angurasas had slain the Panis. And then Angurasas acquired all the wealth of Panis, comprising horses and cows and other animals. The Panis had immense treasures of wealth. The Angurasas looted the Panis' wealth to their hearts desires and sufficient still remained to be appropriated by the other remaining followers of Indra 124

Agni, the most efficient Brahmäryan weapon, played no lesser part in utterly routing the Panis. The Angirasas had searched the wealth of the Panis on every side. The wealth was hidden in caves of mountains. They pursued it through the main road. It was with great difficulty that the precious treasures were discovered. Even, then, they were not available to the Brahmäryans. They set destructive Agni to the rock, which till then was not there, and thus won those precious treasures. 126

The Panis were the offsprings of Brsaya ¹²⁷ Bṛsaya is a name of Tvaṣṭ whose son was Vtrta. ¹²⁸ The Panis, thus, were the real brothers of Vtrtas. The Panis appear in the Rgveda as related to Ahi and Vtrta ¹²⁹

Ayājāics

The Brahmäryans detested the Panis because they were a-Yājhæs and did not allow them any share in their treasures The Panis are frequently called miers in the Rgveda. They wanted them to be liberal in donating 120 their wealth to the Brahmäryans. They culogused these Panis who munificently donated them vast wealths. Brbu stood in high esteem with the Brahmäryans for his liberal donations. 123 The Panis had strongly

```
124. Rgveda 6, 3, 16, 2; 6, 3, 10, 2, 1, 13, 10, 4.
```

^{125.} Rgveda 7, 2, 2, 9.

^{126.} Rgveda 2, 3, 2, 6-7.

^{137.} Rgveda 1, 14, 9, 4; 6, 5, 12, 3.

^{128.} Rgveda-Samhitz (V. S. M.) Vol. III, page 218.

^{129.} Rgveda 1, 7, 2, 11,

^{130.} Rgveda 6, 4, 5, 3, 6.

^{131.} Rgveda 6, 4, 2, 31.

opposed Yājne civilization and culture. Vajūas when ritualised were the main source as bringers of donations. The Brahmāryans therefore strongly despised the non-offerer Panis. The Panis when awoke were reluctant to make holy offerings and to offer Dānas. The Brahmāryan Rais pray Usā to keep the Panis slept and unawakened for ever. 192 Indra is asked to contract no friendship with the Pani who offers not any libation but he is requested to take away his wealth and destroy him, 193 Panis had sufficient Ghī but did not offer it for libations which the Āryan leaders discovered. 194 Asvins are to destroy the withholders of offerings, he Panis. They take the life of the Pani who makes no oblation 195 The Panis evoked the historical Brahmāryan wrath for their opposition to the Yajūa and for possessing immense wealth.

Slaving of Vala

Vala was the greatest leader of the Panis¹⁵⁶ when the Brahmäryan's moved towards Bhärata from West Asia. Trita is the predecessor of Indra from whom Indra took over his functions. Before Indra, Trita had his combats with the Panis. Indra, with his allies, warring against the forces of Virtabroke through the defences of Valia as did Trita, ¹⁵⁷ Thus we find Virtas and Panis together bearing the brunt of the Brahmäryan violent onslaughts. It appears that Panis came in conflict with the Āryans even before the emergence of Indra as the supreme war-lord.

Indra, aided by Angirasas, had slain Vala and won the cows, 138 The cows of Vala were kept hidden in the caves of the mountains. He had to force his way through the passes of

¹³² Rgveda I 18 4 10, 1 21 12. 9

^{133.} Rgveda 4 8 4.7.

^{134.} Rgveda 4, 6, 13 4

¹³⁵ Rgveda 1, 24, 5, 2, 1, 24, 3, 3,

^{136. (1)} Rgveda 6, 3, 16. 2,

^(2) Rgveda-Samhitz (V. S. M.) Vol. II. page 44.

^{137.} Rgveda 1, 10, 2, 5,

¹³⁸ Rgveda 2, 1, 11, 20; 2, 2, 3, 3,

⁴ B.

the mountains and broke their artificial defences. ¹³⁹ Brhaspati also played a very prominent part against the might of Vala. Brhaspati was the leader of the Angirasa-Gana. He destroyed Vala and won the kine ¹⁴⁰ Brhaspati brought out the cows, after slaughtering the Panis, from the mountain of Vala ¹⁴¹ Indra forced open the gates of the cities of Valas of that his wealth may be bestowed upon the Angirasas. ¹⁴² The killing of Vala and the plunder of his wealth frustrated the activities of the Yrtras ¹⁴⁵

The Panis are also referred as Dasyus in the Rgweda 114 They constituted the economic power of the Ahi sub-race. The Vytras represented the political and administrative power of the Ahi sub-race. By destroying them Indra became invincible in battle and the lord of all the wealth and the lands comprised in the region watered by seven invers. 149

6. THE DĀSAS

Human Dāsas

The Dāsas were human beings having head, breast, heart, and shoulders 146 Dāsa Sambara is spoken of as born of Kulitāra, 147 He was born of a human mother, hence he must have been a human being, a terrestrial foe of the Brahmāryans The Dāsas like the Āryas were a people, 148 Dāsa, like Vrita, was also the personal name 140 of a leader of the Dāsas. He might have been their supreme leader. Dāsa

```
139 Rgveda 2 2. 4 8.
140 Rgveda 4 5 5. 5
```

^{141.} Rgveda 10. 5 8. 6, 10. 5. 8. 9, 10. 5. 8 5

^{142.} Rgveda 6. 2. 3 5, 8. 3 2 7-8.

^{143.} Rgveda 1. 11 10 1.

^{144.} Rgveda 2. 2. 4. 9, 7. 1. 6 3

^{145.} Rgveda 2, 2, 1, 3

^{146.} Rgveda 1. 22 2. 5, 2. 2. 9. 6; 4. 2. 8. 9; 5 2. 6. 7 8; 6 2. 5. 6; 10, 10, 8, 2.

^{147.} Rgveds 4. 3. 9. 14.

^{148.} Rgveda 6. 3. 10. 3; 8. 5, 13. 1; 10. 5, 9. 6; 10. 6, 15, 1.

^{149.} Rgveda 7, 2, 2, 2; 8, 4, 4, 27, 2, 2, 9, 6; 5, 3, 1 4.

people were the enemies ¹⁵⁰ of the Brahmāryans. Vṛṭra, the great leader of the Vṛṭras, was also a Dāsa. ¹⁵¹

The word Dasa, as also the word Dasyu, is derived from ./Das. Its Indo-European (Europaryan) parallel is des 'to divide' 'to decrease'. Yaska traces the word Dasa to ./Das in the sense of 'to finish' but this is a later development 152 To Divide means to Distribute. The Division in the sense of Giving is the connotation of the word Dasa in the Rgyeda. Sayana gives the meaning of Giving to this word. 183 Its derivative word Dāsvān means a donor, a liberal donor. Agni is the invoker of the god on behalf of the Dasvatah. Usā is Dāsvatī. Agni is bouunteous to the Dāsvat of offerings. The Rgveda refers to Dasvat Indra. Dasvantam (Donor) Agni is giver of dwellings. The birth of a firm and Dasyan (liberal) son has been cherished. Indra is lauded to grant a son who shall be most vigorous, delighter, a pious sacrificer and a liberal Dasvan (giver) who shall conquer opposing enemies in combats. He who spontaneously presents, Indra and Varuna, is Dasvan (liberal), wealthy and upright, he shall prosper with the food of his adversary and possess riches and opulent descendants, 184

Dāsa Balbūtha is culogised as a great giver of gifts. 155
Dāsa Yadus and Turvašas were conquished in the Dāšarājān war circa 1150 B. C. The vanquished Bharatas and some of their constituent tribes had been converted to the Brahmāryan fold. Some Yadus and Turvašas had also coalesced with the invaders who had now settled in Brahmāvarta. The Dāsas, the Yadus and the Turvašas, as before, were the great donors and they donated cows for the meals of Manu Sāvarm. 156 The Tenth Manḍāla

^{150.} Rgveda 10. 4. 4. 7; 10. 4 12. 1.

^{151.} Rgveda 10, 4, 5, 7,

^{152.} Siddheswar Varma; The Etymologies of Yāska; 1953, pages 56-57.

^{153.} Rgveda Samhitz (V. S. M.) Vol. 1V. page 527

^{154.} Rgveda 5, 1, 9, 2; 1, 9, 5, 1; 2, 1, 4, 3; 10, 11, 16, 2, 1, 19, 1, 1; 4, 1, 2, 7; 6, 3, 10, 1, 6, 6, 7, 5,

^{155,} Rgveda 8, 6, 4, 32,

^{156.} Rgveda 10, 5, 2, 10,

of the Rgveda was not composed before 800 B. C. It appears that the Dässa as such were not hated till 800 B.C. They were hated only as enemies otherwise they as liberal doners were held in very high esteem by the Brahmāryan Rsis.

Custodians of Social Wealth

The Dāsa treasures and riches are very famous with the Brahmāryans. Sūkta 8, 5, 10 of the Rgweda contains a refrain "May all our enemies perish." Indra and Agni are to win victories over the 'Dāsas and to loot their accumulated weilth, to bestow that wealth upon their followers so that the enemies may further be destroyed, ¹⁰⁷ The Brahmāryans wanted to obtain the ample wealth of the Dāsas. Indra conquers the treasures of the Dāsas. The strength of the Dāsas was based on their accumulated treasures ¹⁹⁸ which Indra won and divided amongst his followers.

We have two Rgyedic references to the "Accumulated Treasures" of the Dasas. The state controlled the social production in pre-Arvan times. A sufficient part of the ignicultural and industrial produce was owned by the sourty. Internal trade was controlled by the state. International trade was the monopoly of the state. 159 The excavatio. in the Sramanic region reveal the existence of large spannes at Harappa and Mohenjodaro and also in Egypt and lesopotamia. The combined floor space of the Harappa gravites was something over 9002 Sq. ft. and approximates closely to that of Mohenjodaro granary. 160 The Rgvedic and archaeological evidences combine to prove that the Dasas were in charge of the state economy of the region. The public wealth was under their superintendence which they 'divided', according to state rules and regulations, for use of the people and for international trade. Because they handled and managed

^{157.} Rgveda B. 5, 10, 1, 6,

^{158.} Rgveda 1. 14. 8. 8; 3. 3. 5, 1; 8. 5, 10. 6.

^{159.} R. C. Jain, The most Ascient Aryan Society, Chapter I.

Sir Mortimer Wheeler; The Indus Sivilization, 1953; Pages 21, 22, 28, 21.

the "accumulated treasures" of the society; they, by and by, came to know as the 'givers' of that wealth, the Dāsas.

Destruction of Dasa power

The vast treasures of the Däsas invited large and wholesale destruction from the Brahmäryan Devas. Indra slew Däsa Sambara, Supan, Kuyava, Namuchi, Varchin, Sribmda, Anarśani, Pipru, Ahiśuva and Viśa. 161 Indra destroyed both classes of enemies—the Däsas, Ytras and the Āryas. 182 The Brahmäryan Devas repressed the wrath of Däsa. 183 Indra and Vişuu baffled Däsa-Mäyä of Vrsasipra in conflicts. 184 Indra slew five hundreds and thousands of the followers of Däsa Varchin. 180 Rja Babhru associated with Indra in grinding the head of Däsa Namuchi. 180 Indra slew 30000 Däsas. 187

The Dāsas were lustrous people. It is for this reason that Indra assumed the epithet Dāsa, ¹⁶⁸ The Dāsas considered themselves immortal. ¹⁸⁹ It appears that they believed in the high spiritual truth of immortality. Dāsa-Māyā ¹⁷⁰ or the wisdom of the Dāsas in sciences and humanities was great. They were dark-skinned people. Dāsa-Varna ¹⁷¹ stands in contradistruction to the Ārya-Varņa, ¹⁷² The Dāsas belonged to the Ahi sub-race. ¹⁷⁸

```
161. Rgveda 4. 3. 9. 14; 7. 2. 2. 2; 8. 2. 16. 7. 8; 6, 2. 8. 6; 6. 4. 4. 21; 2. 2. 2; 8; 8, 5, 2, 2; 4, 3, 9. 15.
```

^{162.} Rgveda 6, 3, 10, 3; 8, 5, 13, 1, 10, 5, 9, 6,

^{163,} Rgveda 1, 15, 11, 2,

^{164.} Rgveda 7, 6, 10, 4,

^{168.} Rgveda 4. 3. 9. 18.

^{166,} Rgveda 5, 2, 16, 8,

^{167.} Rgveda 4. 3, 9, 21.

^{168.} Rgveda S. S. S. 1.

^{169.} Rgveda 2, 1, 11, 2,

^{170,} Rgveda 7, 6, 10, 4,

^{171.} Rgveda 1, 19, 4. B; 2, 2, 1, 4; 7, 1, 5, 8; 9, 3, 11, 2,

^{172.} Rgveda 1. 15. 11. 2; 1. 15. 7. 18; 3. 3, 4. 9.

^{178.} Rgveda 2, 1, 11, 2,

Dāsi Culture

The Dāsa-culture, as depicted in the Rgveda, is subordinate to Dāsā-culture. Dāsās enjoy more power, prestige and honour than the Dāsas. Dāsās were not the wives of the Dāsas; they stood on their own independant rights. The wives of Dāsas, in the Rgveda, are specifically mentioned by the word Dāsapatnī. This subject, so far as I know, has not till now been dealt with in this light.

The Rgveda refers to the cities or forts of the Dāsis. Indra hat gone on destroying the cities of Dāsis. ¹⁷⁴ Indra shattered seven cities of Sāradī and killed the Dāsis. ¹⁷⁵ Šāradī was perhaps a relation of Asura Šarata. ¹⁷⁶ Indra destroyed the seven cities of Sāradī and humbled the people (Visāh) speaking hostile speech (Mṛdhrāchaḥ). Indra destroyed Vīra. ¹⁷⁷ Indra destroyed Vīra. ¹⁷⁸ Indra dastroyed vīra. ¹⁷⁸ Indra and Agni, with one united effort, overthrew ninety cities ruled over by Dāsapantiņi ¹⁷⁹ Indra and Āświns demolished varnous cities of Dāsis. ¹⁸⁰ The Rgveda does not know of any fort or city of any Dāsa destroyed by Indra It knows only the forts or cities of Dāsis which Indra annihilated. *Dāsis-People*

The Rgveda also knows about the Dāsī people (Dāsī-Visāḥ) but of no Dāsa people (Dāsa-Visāḥ). Indra overpowers Dāsī-Visāh. 18-1 Indra made the Dasyu and the Dāsī people devoud ofāl and abject. 182 Indra overthrew, on the part of the Ārya, all Dāsī-Visāḥ, everywhere abiding. 183 Dāsī-Visāḥ are every-

```
174. Rgveds 1, 15, 10, 3, 175, Rgveds 6, 2, 5, 10.
```

^{176.} Rgweda-Samhitti (V. S. M.) Vol. III, page 82. Sityana considers these forts belonging to Asura Sarat but the context shows that they belonged to Saradi, probably his relation.

^{177.} Rgveda 1, 23-10, 2,

^{178.} Rgveda 1, 19, 5, 4,

^{179.} Rgveda 3, 1, 12, 6,

^{180.} Rgveda 4. 3. 11. 10; 8, 1. 5, 31.

^{181.} Rgveda 2. 1, 11, 4,

^{182.} Rgveda 4, 3, 7, 4,

^{183.} Rgveda 6, 3, 2, 2,

where conquered by Indra, 184 It appears that the remnuscences of the conquest of the Dāsī-people were still fresh with the Brahmāryans even in the early part of first millenium B. C.

Matriarchy

An important social factor of great historical importance emerges from the existence of Dasi-cities and Dasi-people in the pre-Aryan Bhārata. It conclusively proves that matriarchal social system was widely prevalent at least in the Ahi sub-race. The social inheritance went by the matrilineal descent Dasi and Dāsa concepts are suggestive of social pattern where women had the final say. They ruled. The Vṛtras, the Rakṣas, the Paṇis and others assisted them in various administrative and social capacities for the efficient running of the Ahi local republics that were widely dispersed in Western Bharata comprising the provinces of Iran, Arachosia, Gedrosia, Kashmir, Sindh, Punjab and Rajasthan.

7. THE DASYUS

Total War on Dasyus

Indra was born from remote times for the destruction of Dasyus. He had destroyed Dasyus Supaa, Sambara and great Arbuda. ¹⁸⁶ Indra sallied forth to destroy the Dasyus. ¹⁸⁶ Indra by Māyā humbled the people possessed of Māyā, destroyed the cities of Pipru and defended Rjisvān in the Dasyuhatyā (Dasyu-destroying) contests. ¹⁸⁷ Indra slew the Dasyus and demolished their cities and iron cities. ¹⁸⁸ Indra overcame dasyus by Māyā. ¹⁸⁹ Indra slew the humbled Dasyus and recovered the kine for the Brahma, ¹⁸⁰ the Āryan unicollectivity. Indra attacked the Dasyus and the Simyus, slew them with his thunderbolt and then divided the fields belonging to them and plundered by him, with his

^{184.} Rgveda 10, 11, 20, 2,

^{185.} Raveda 1, 10, 1, 6,

^{186.} Rgveda 1. 15. 10. 4.

^{187.} Rgveda 1, 10, 1, 4,

^{188.} Rgveda 2, 2, 9, 8; 10, 8, 9, 7,

^{189.} Rgveda 3, 3, 5, 6.

^{190.} Rgveda 1, 15, 6, 5.

white-complexioned friends, 191 Indra enslaved the Dasyus for Dabhiti. 192 Indra slew Visvarupa son of Tvastr through friendship for Trita, 193 Visvarupa was the real brother of Vrtra. Indra slew the Dasvu Susna for Kutsa, also Kuvava and swiftly destroyed the Dasyus and cut them to pieces in the battle. 194 Indra slew Ahi, arrested Māyā of Susna urging the combat and had overcome the Dasyus. He put to sleep the Dasyus, Chumuri and Dhuni for Dabhiti, 195 Indra, the azure-chinned, destroyed the Püru, the Vrtras and the Dasyus. 196 It appears that the Vrtras and the Dasyus connoted two categories Indra hurled down the Dasyus. 197 Indra destroyed the Dasyus. 198 Indra by destroying the Dasyus wiped off their existence from the earth. 199 Indra slew the Dasyu and stormed their numerous hostile cities, 200 Indra is not only Amitra-ha, Vratra-ha, Rakso-hā, Asura-hā, Sapatna-hā but also Dasyu-hā; 201 nav; Dasyuhantamam, the utter destroyer of the Dasyus. 202

Agn, the second in command to the supreme commander Indra, does not lag behind Indra in destroying the Disyus. Agni, the arrestor of the Dasyus, the Yftra-han, slew the Dasyu. ²⁰³ Agni destroys Dasyus and thus protects his own people. ²⁰⁴ Agni, destroying the Dasyu has discovered the

203. Rgveda 1, 8, 1, 18; 1, 11, 2, 6, 204. Rgveda 5, 1, 4, 6,

cows. 205 Agni expels the Dasyus, 206 and also confounds them, 207 Agni also, like Indra, 18 Dasyuhantamam; 208 the utter destroyer of the Dasyus. Soma, 209 Asvins, 210 Atri, 211 Maruts, 212 Mitra and Varuna, 213 the Brahmäryan Devas, had been very active in destroying the Dasyu power.

Dasvu = Bhāratīva people

The Brahmāryans had waged a total war against the Bhāratiyans. They destroyed the Dasyus to protect the Arya-Varna, 214 Arva-Varna, in this context, means, the Arvan people. The Dasyu, in contradiction to the Arya-people, signify, in this context, the Dasyu people. Indra took the Vajra, the thunderbolt, for destroying the Dasyus and their leaders like Susna. He went on destroying the cities of Dasis. casting his shaft against the Dasyus. He accomplished these feats to augment the strength and glory of the Āryas. 216 Dasyus were the inhabitants in general of the cities ruled over by Dāsīs and along with their rulers suffered complete annihilation. Indra always discriminated between the Aryas and the Dasyus, 216 Dasyus always and everywhere remained his enemies. He never gave the name Arva to Dasvus, 217 He always clearly kept the unbridgeable antagonisim of the Arya-people and the Dasyu-people in his mind. Dasyu, in the Rgveda, thus, stands for the general mass of the people of Bharata and Arya, for the general mass of the foreign invaders.

```
205, Rgycda 5, 1, 14, 4,
```

^{206.} Rgveda 7, 1, 5, 6, 207. Rgveda 7. 1. 6. 3.

^{208.} Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 15; 8, 5 10, 8,

^{209,} Raveda 9, 5, 7, 6; 9, 5, 3, 4,

^{210.} Rgveda 1, 17, 2, 21; 1, 17, 2, 3,

^{211.} Rgveda 5, 1, 7, 10.

^{212.} Egyeda 5, 2, 17, 5,

^{213,} Rgveda 5. 5, 14, 3,

^{214,} Rgveda 3, 3, 5, 9,

^{215.} Rgveda 10, 9, 6, 7; 8, 2, 1, 14; 1, 15, 10, 3,

^{216.} Rgveds 1, 10, 1, 8,

^{217.} Rgveda 10, 4, 7, 8,

Dasyus were the masters of the manifold treasures ³¹⁸ which had been the main attraction of the foreign invaders against Bhárata. These Dasyus were utter opponents of the foreign institution of Yajña. The Brahmāryans treated them as following no religious rites ²¹⁹ because the Dasyus never accepted the Yajñic way, Indra is to consume such Dasyus and triumph over them ²²⁰ The Dasyus opposed the Yajñas and paid no loyalty to the Devas. They followed the other Vratas, hence they were dubbed as non-men (inhuman beings). ²²¹

The Dasyus were Mrthhyāchāh, speaking a hostile speech. The speeches of the Dasyus and the Āryans were quite distinct. Dasyus spoke some ancient form of Prākṛta. The Dasyus in the Rgyedic times spoke a distinct tongue foreign to the Āryans which had little use for nasal sounds, ²²⁹

Two important concepts emerge from our discussions so fair in this chapter. The two concepts, Dasyu and Ahi, assume special significance. The Ytras, the Raksas, the Panis, the Dāssu and the Dāssus are all Dasyus. All of them are not Vtras. All of them are not Vtras. All of them are not Dāsas because. Dāsas themselves are subordinate to Dāssīs. The Vtras, the Raksas, the Panis and the Dāssa are distinct catagories but all of them converge in the genus Ahi and the genus Dāsyu. The Panis have been referred to as descended from Yrsay and hose another name was Tvastr. the Vtras also descended

^{218.} Rgveda 6. 1 14 3.

^{219.} Rgveda 1, 10, 1, 8,

^{220.} Rgveda 1, 23 11. 3; 9. 2 17. 7.

^{221.} Rgveda 8, 8, 1, 11.

^{222.} Rgveda 6 2.15.10. The word 'Antisa' in this Rc has been translated generally as sunbronced. This is antitropologically wrong. The Mediterraneans and the proto-Australioid both were not sunbronced. The language of the Dasyus has been referred in this Rc which has been generally held by the scholars so he some form of Ancient Prätica. Präticia language has very little use for nead sounds. Anisa, means 'devoid of nead sounds'.

from Tvastr. This shows that Tvastr was an Ahi who was the progenitor of numerious Ahi people. The word Ahi, thus, becomes associated with blood. The Ahi blood gave rise to the Ahi people. The Ahi people constituted the ethnic Ahi sub-race.

The Dasyus are the adversaries²²³ in general of the Brahmäryan invaders. The cittes are ruled by Däsis and the Dasyus inhabit these cittes. Dasyus belong to the Däsi-people ²²⁴ (Däsi-Višaḥ) The Dasyu people were destroyed so that the Āryan or Brahmäryan people may live. It appears that the territorial population, the general mass of the people inhabiting Bhärata, were called by the Brahmäryan invaders as Dasyus, with no stigma attached to the word. The concept Dasyu gives trainal significance while the concept Ahi gives racial significance.

8. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AHI SUB-RACE

Functional Division of Ahi sub-race

We have noticed in the foregoing discussions that the Bhāratiya people of the mountains offered strong resistance to the foreign invaders. They were the people upon whom the main burden of the Brahmäryan annihilation and ruination fell. These people belonged to the Ahi sub-race. They were a matriarchal people. The Bhāratiya Jana-republics were ruled by Dāsis. Dāsas superintended the social wealth. The Paus controlled the econômic power. The administrative and defensive power was respectively in the hands of the Vṛtras and the Rakṣas. They, as inhabitants of the land, were known as Dasyus.

Iran-Far Western Bharata

The epithet Dāsa is quivalent to the Iranian epithet Dahai of the Caspian steppes, ²²⁵ Dasyu corresponds with the Iranian Danhu and Dakyu in the sense of a 'province' whence the

^{228.} Rgveda 1, 10, 3, 4, 9 2, 17, 2.

^{224.} Rgveda 4, 3, 7, 4.

^{225.} Macdonell & Keith; Vedic Index, 1958, Vol. I. page 357.

Iranians developed the sense of 'hostile country,' the conquered country, 226 The word Dasyu or Dahyu originally signifying a particular people inhabiting the Iranaryan world became a common noun meaning a country or people in old Iranian.227 The Panis and their progenitor Vrsaya have also been located in Iran. The Pams are Parmans of Strabo and the Vrsaya, the Barsecuties of Arrian. 228 The archaeological excavations in Iran have brought to light a civilization in the earliest period, which only reflexes a civilization developed in a more eastern region on the Iranian plateau A matriarchal society and female inheritance and similar customs of matriarchal origin ruled in Iran. The discovery of Ahis in Iran is also significant. Plate 32 of a nude captive, a kind of Naga, points towards original India Figure 304 depicts one person with two back and two forehead horns having coiled snakes under his feat. The other person is sitting behind him with four horns visible Both of them are covered by a long and large cobra over their heads; the front man catching the mouth of cobra and the third man behind the second horned man catching its tale. Three persons are shown standing infront of the first sitting man or deity or king placing his hand on the cobra. Three men wear long robes. They are followed by 29 men standing with folded hands, 229 The poisonous Ahi under the control of the powerful Lord is depicted as peaceful and non-violent. This figure recalls the three headed. horned powerful Lord in control of the Ahis and other violent animals in a Mohenjodaro figure This Mohenjodaro figure has its counterpart in the Sumerian figure of An. 230 a nude figure with horns in control of Ahis Harappa nude figures, the cult objects, have their counterparts in Sumerian nude figures having tridents or horns. Nude figures with

^{226.} Macdonell & Keith; op cit., Vol. I, page 349.

^{227.} Buddhaprakash; Vrtra; A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 30 page 172.

^{228.} Macdonell & Keith, op. cit , Vol I, page 472-478,

^{229.} Ernest E. Herzsied; Iran in the Ancient East, 1941; pages 11, 189-190.

H. Heras; Studies in Proto-Indo-Mediterranean culture; 1963;
 pages 169-171-174, 321-323, 332.

tridents and coiled snake are prominently displayed in ancient Egyptian relies. The Vitra of the Rgveda is Ahi the great. He is also horned. 231 The Vitras were also very prominent in Iran. Aryans of Iran also had veretherghna as the Aryans in Bhārata had the Vitraham or separately, have abundantly been found at Mohenjodaro and Harappa. The Harappa culture extended as far as Sutkagendor, near the coast of the Arabian Sea 300 miles west of Karach. It is situate just on the border of Iran. 238 The culture and civilization of Iran and Bhārata appear very similar in pre-Āryan times. Iran was the Far Western province of Bhārata.

Immugration of Mediterraneans Circa 2800 B. C.

The Bharatīvan Panis of the Ahi sub-race had sea-voyages to Sumer in the early and to Egypt in the middle of the fourth milenium B C, colonised those lands and implanted Bhāratīya culture there. 238 They were anthropologically of the proto-Australoid racial stock. 234 They remained pure pre-Aryan and pre-Dravidian proto-Australoids speaking Munda or Kol speech till the arrival of peasant farmers from the high plateau of Iran 205 These peasant farmers had come from the Mediterranean Sea. The contacts of Sumer with the Mediterranean sea and the Panic migrations to Sumer. Egypt and the Ægean accelerated the process of two way traffic. Earlier the migrations had been from the east to the west but in the early part of the third millenium B C., we witness eastward movements of the Mediterranean people. Scarlet ware appears in the Baghdad region having affinities with its predecessor, Jamdat-Nasr pottery. This pottery turns up again at Susa and in south Baluchistan. We witness the

- 231. Rgveda 1, 7, 3, 12,
- 232. Mortimer Wheeler; The Indus civilization, 1953, pages 2-3 Map on page 3
- 233. R. C. Jain, The most ancient Āryan society, chapter I subchapter ?
- 234. R. C. Jain; op. cit.; chapter II sub-chapter 5
- 235 D. H. Gordan. The Pre-Historic Back ground of Indian culture 1958; page 35.

earliest agricultural communities in the region of Baluchistan, Makran and Sindh which show from the beginning links with the ancient Bronze age cultures of the regions of farthest west, 236 These peasant farming communities from the Mediterrianean region had as their neighbour the remarkable urban civilization of the Punjab-Sind Rajasthan regions watered by the Saraswati system. These people from the Mediterranean racial stock merged with the pre-existing proto-Australoid racial stock who completely assimilated them. They commingled together in perfect harmony and complete homogeneity Most of the skulls found at Moheniodaro can be traced to mixture between these racial types. 297 When the Aryans met them in Iran and Bharata they were all blackskinned (Krsnatvach), 238 of the black Dasa-Varna 219 The arrival of the Mediterranean racial stock made no difference in the racial constitution of the original proto-Australoid stock. The complete assimilation of the former with the later, made no racial change and the people continued to be of the proto-Australoid racial stock as before when the Arvans first entered the Far Western Province of Bharata circa 2000 B. C. 240

Ahi-Nagnas

The Ahs were people of the mountains as the Iksväkus were the people of the plains. They are associated with Nagna people. The Nagna spiritual leaders par excellence were their supreme leaders. The greatest spiritual leaders of Bhärata, Sumer and Egypt; the Śiśnadeva, An and Rā respectively, are nude (Nagna) Nudity suggests perfect harmony with nature. Only the very high spiritual personages with no material or exual desires can be tolerated by the society in their nagna state. The Nagna symbolises the victory of spirit over matter,

- 236. S. Piggot, Pre-Historic India; 1950, page 50-67.
- 237. D. N. Majumdar, Races and cultures of India, 1951, page 60-67.
- 238, Rgveda 1, 19, 4, 8; 9, 2, 17, 1,
- 239, Rgveda 2, 2, 14; 2, 2, 9, 7; 7, 1, 5, 3,
- 240. S. Piggot; op. cit; page 63.

the victory of peace over violence. Nagna is a pacified personality. The Naga is poison or matter incarnate. He come nearest to phallus, the matter, the poison of the spirit, incarnate. The Naga in the presence of Nagna spiritual person becomes pacified, he loses his poison and becomes Ahi, the mon-slayer Ahi is Matter pacified. The Nagna personality is the matter pacified. Hence the association of Nagna and Ahis is of great significance to the students of the history of cultures.

The Ahı problem may rightly be understood if we keep the topography of a mountaneous country like Kaśmira in view The roads, tracks and paths of the mountans are zigzag, curvy and snirling like the Nāgas. The greatest river Vitasiá (modern jhelium) of Kaśmira is not born of the mountaneous snows but of the mountaneous under-land When I saw the Vitasiā flowing from under-land at Verinaga, I was simply exhiliarated to see the sight It also solved the Ahi problem for me. The river was coming out like a Nāga from its cave. Nāga lores of Kaśmira as contained in Nīlamātā Purāna deput the destructive power of the Nāgas. One such legend throws light no certain Ahi problems.

Kaśmira was ruled by Kaśyapa's son Nila, the king of the Nāgas. No. human beings could live there during winter. One day a Brāhmana Chanderadeva went to his subterranean palace. He willed from the Nāga king to allow the people to reside in the region for the whole of the year and he consented. 241 This legend proves that Nāgas were great experts in sub-terranean architecture. They invented the science of the use of under-world waters for irrigational purposes and thus constructed rivers and large bunds. As they were the masters of the zigzag under-land spheres; they came to the regarded as Nāgas whose activities were very similar to theirs. The pacified Nāgas are a boson of humanity. The Mohenjodaro inscriptions reveal the fact that Nāgas in association of the Nāgan supreme power are always venerated. Ferhaps they derived their name from the Nagan high personages.

A. P. Karmarkar; An Farly attempt of the Aryans against the Naga cult; New Indian Antiquary Vol. 5 page 187.

The archaeological evidence of the Nude figure of supreme Detty associated with pacified Ahis is amply corroborated from the Rgveda. The Vitra is a single Deva²⁴² and hence he may be regarded as an Ahi par excellence, Ahi the Great. Sisnadevas were the holy spiritual leaders of the Ayajfic Vitras or Dasyus, ²⁴³ Indra along with the Vitras and the Dasyus, murdered them also.

Siśnadevas

Who are those Sisnadewas? Most of the scholars, mus-following Yāska, have understood by the word Sisnadeva as a phallus worshipper or non-celibate. Yāska etymoliges the word as a brahmacharyāh?** tracing the word Sisna to \snath to pierce' The analysis and right understanding of 'snath' is necessary before we take up the word Abrahmacharyāh

According to Dr. Prannath, the word Sisna does not seem to be a Sanskrit word, for there is no possible etymological explanation of it in any Aryan language Dr Prannath reads word "ssnah" on Indus Seal No 80 According to him, the god probably referred to by this word is "sissna" which is found is Sanskrit literature as "Sisna". The Rgwedic Rsis used a corrupted form of the word used by their Dasyu neighbours ²⁴⁹

The word "Deva" means shunng, powerful, the supreme The Rgveda is replete of this meaning of the word Deva. The word Deva everywhere means "The worshipped," nowhere it does mean "the worshipper". Dr. Pusalkar has cited evidence adduced by V. Bhattarcharya wherein he has tried to prove from later Samhitäs that words Märideva, Pitrdeva, Authideva, Achäryadeva and Sråddhadeva in the Upanişads and Strideva in the Brahmända-Purāna and Sassudeva in Pali do not mean "Worshipper of" but the word Deva is used in the secondary

^{242.} Rgveda 1. 7 2, 12,

^{243,} Rgveda 7. 2. 4, 5; 10 8 3. 3,

^{244. (1)} Nirukia 4, 19.

⁽²⁾ Lakshman Sarup, The Nighantu and the Nirukta, 1967; page 66.

^(3) A. A. Macdonell, Vedic Grammer; 1958 page 143,

^{245.} Father Heras; op. ett.; page 32.

or metaphorical sense of 'devoted to or addicted to.' He along with V. Bhattacharva does not accept even the meaning, given to the word, of phallus-worshipper but gives the meaning "one addicted to sexual gratification, lustful, non-celibate," 248 He concludes that their was no phallus-worship in the Indus Valley civilization. These authors are right in holding that the sense of 'worshipper of' does not appear from the Rgveda, I also agree with Dr. Pusalkar that there was no phallusworship prevalent amongst the inhabitants of Indus valley. But the meaning given to the word as non-celibate or sensuous person is also not warranted by the Reveda. But the way in which the word Deva has been divorced from the compound word and prefixed to a silent word is quite-arbitrary. He translates the sentence Pitrdevo Bhava as 'a person whom the father is just like a god.' 247 But if we take the word Pitrdevo as one word, which it surely is, then, it should mean 'a person who revers his Pitrdeva.' The word Deva only qualifies the inherent respect that the father is entitled to from his son and it only lays emphasis upon the qualities of father. Similarly the word Sisnadevo bhava should mean "a person who revers Sisnadeva, the nude deva' just like the father-deva, motherdeva. Acharya-deva and so on and so forth.

The scholars who have given these two interpretations of phallus worship or non-celebacy did not pay attention to the aspect of the word Deva as an object of worship. I need not cite any Re of the Rgweda to prove that gods Indra, Agni, Varuna and others are 'objects of worship; the worshipped' of the Aryan followers and later the Aryan worshippers.

Yāska had led the later scholars to give the word, a meaning of phallus-worshipper. The etymology of this word is obscure. ²⁴⁸ As the word is a corrupted form of some

^{246.} A. D. Pusalkar; Štána-deva in the Rgveds and Phallus worship in the Indus-Valley; 1954; pages 2, 6.

Viddhushekhar Shastri; Vedic Interpretation and Tradition;
 Proceeding and Transactions of the Sixth All India Oriental Conference, Patna session 1950, page 501,

Siddheswar Varma, The Etymologies of Yšška; 1953, page 242, 244.

pre-Aryan word borrowed by the Aryans from their Dasyu adversaries, Yāska only gave a popular and current etymology or he himself misunderstood the etymology inherited by him from his predecessor lexicographers. The Snatha, to pierce in the moral sense, a sense to be given to a Deva, to an object of worship, is to pierce the heart of the follower. The leader has the extraordinary quality of 'piercing' the mind or soul of his followers. If snath is given the ideological meaning of piercing or influencing, the truth unfolds itself. Sisnadeva would, then, mean that deva who has complete control over his Signa, his carnal desires. The punning upon words had been a great Brahmanical virtue. Yaska has used the word Abrahmacharya not in the sense of unchaste or noncelibate, as twisted by later prejudiced pundits, but in the sense of "one who does not follow the Brahma way." The Brahma way is no doubt, the Yaina way. The Avainic leaders of the Brahmarvan adversaries did not subscribe to faith to the institutions of Yama and of Brahma; hence they were Abrahmacharyas. Yāska himself was in a dilemma. He gives both the moral and the material senses to the word. Perhaps the historical sense of the word "Abrahmacharvah" had become obscure in his age. But the word "Abrahma' used in a different context gives some corroboration to our interpretation. Dasyu, in this Rc, has been called 'Abrahma' devoid of the Brahma way or the Vedic or the Yajfiic way. Sayana also lends support to this interpretation, 249 Abrahma here means non-Brahmā. Brahmā is that priest who follows Vedic injunctions. Abrahmā is he who does not follow Vedic injunctions. Similarly Abrahmacharyah are those persons who do not follow the Brahma way of conduct or injunctions. Sisnadevāh, in simple grammatical sense, mean only "nude gods." The word has been given a hostile interpretation by the hostile invaders who did not and could not, at that stage of their civilization and culture, adore such a spiritual institution. This sort of hostile interpretation was rather a curt

^{249. (1)} Rgyeda 4, 2, 6, 9,

⁽²⁾ Rgveda-Sambits (V. S. M.) Vol. 2, page 565.

manner of abusing the gods of the indigenous people of India. 250 Sisnadeva was the supreme god of the Någas or the Ahi people; the Dåsas and Dasyus who were destroyed by Indra. 251 We meet here also only an abusive interpretation.

Avaidic Spiritual Lords

These Sisnadevas were the supreme spiritual personages whom the pre-Aryan Bhārattyans paid their highest reverences. They were saints or Munis par excellence. The association of the people with them made the people peaceful and non-violent. Their followers in the mountains derived their names from their Nagna-ship; the spiritualism par excellence. They became the Nagnas. They were Ahis, the non-slayers. The close association of the Ahi people with their Nagna supreme spiritual lords; as depicted in the Rgveda and as found in material relies unearthed from archaeological mounds of various pre-historic sites; gave them their racial epithet Nagna. Nagna means Avaidic. ²⁰² Nagnas, by and by, became the Nagas of history.

The Ahı race basically opposed the Āryan Yajūte way. Varuna is invoked to exterminate the non-sacrificers. Agni is invoked to confound the Dayus who are riteless, unbelievers, non-honouring and non-sacrificers. ²⁵⁸ Indra chastised and drove far the non-sacrificers. ²⁵⁸ Brahmayaspata appropriates the food (wealth) of the non-sacrificers for the sacrificers indra is offered soma libation because he holds respect for wealth and plunders the wealth of the non-sacrificers. ²⁵⁹ Mitra and Varuna are to keep the non-sacrificers. ²⁵⁰ Mitra and Varuna are to keep the non-sacrificers without male progeny. ²⁵⁷ Indra

A. P. Karmarkar; Fresh and Further Light on the Mohenjodaro Riddle; ABORI Vol. 21 page 122.

^{251.} A. P. Karmarkar; op. cit.; N. I. A. Vol. 5 page 185.

^{252.} A. D. Pusalkar studies in Epics and Puranas; 1955, page LXII.

^{253.} Rgveda 6, 6, 6, 9; 7, 1, 6, 3.

^{254.} Rgveda 1, 19, 5, 4; 1, 18, 1, 13,

^{255,} Rgveda 1. 15, 10, 6,

^{256.} Rgveda 8, 5, 1, 15-18.

^{257.} Rgveda 7. 4. 6. 4.

killed the Dasyu and the opposers of sacrifice perished. The opposers of sacrifice contending with the sacrificers, fled with averted faces. Indra blew off the non-sacrificers, ²⁸⁸ Dasyus follow the religion opposed to the Āryans, are against the Aryan Devas and are non-sacrificers. ²⁹⁰ The Ahi people were non-sacrificers and their associates in Dāsarājān war and especially the Pańchajanāḥ; the Pūrus, the Yadus, the Turvasas, the Anus and the Druhyus were also non-sacrificers. ²⁶⁰

Präkrta Language

These non-sacrificing Ahi and Iksvāku people were Mrdhravächah and Vadhrivächah. They spoke a language hostile to or opposed to the Arvans. They spoke a non-Arvan language. The Vrtras are Mrdhravāchah.261 The Dasyus are Mrdhravāchah262 Śruta, Kavasa, Vrddha, the Druhyu and the Anu are Mrdhravāchah. 263 The Pürus are Mrdhravāchah. 264 All the enemies of Sudās and Indra in the Dāśarāina war are Vadhrivāchah.265 The word Anasa occurs with the word Mrdhravachah 266 As discussed earlier, it means that the language of the Mrdhryachah people had very little use of nasal sounds as in Prakrts. The word, in this context, has no reference to nose, the limb of the human body. It qualifies the word signifying the language of the Dasyus. The main dividing line of the language of the Brahmarvans and their adversaries was the use of "I" for "r." 267 It appears that the Dasyus spoke some form of Ancient Präkrta now lost to humanity.

We, thus, find that Ahı was the great sub-race inhabiting the western and the far western provinces of Bhārata, especially

```
258. Rgvcda 1. 7. 3, 4-5.
```

^{259.} Rgveds 8. 8. 1. 11. 260. Rgveds 7. 5. 13 7.

^{261,} Rgveds 1. 28. 10. 2, 5, 2, 18. 8.

^{261,} Rgveds 1, 23, 10, 2, 5, 2, 18, 8 262, Rgveds 5, 2, 15, 10; 7, 1, 6, 3,

^{262.} Rgveda 7. 2, 1, 10, 7, 1, 6, 268. Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 12, 13,

^{264.} Rgveda 10. 2. 7, 5; 7, 2, 1. 18,

^{265.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 9.

^{266,} Rgveda 5. 2, 15, 10,

^{267.} Satapatha Brahmana 3, 2, 1, 23-24.

in mountaneous regions, before the Āryan invasions. They were not the Auras, the kinsmen of the Āryans but their staunch adversaries. They had their political, administrative defensive, social and economic organisations respectively known as Dāsī, Vṛtra, Rakṣas, Dāsa and Paṃ classes. The people of racial Ahi stock were the real inhabitants, the Dasyus of their mother-land; the Bhārata. The Ahi society had only functional classifications in the beginning. In course of time some separate tribes of the Ahi race sprang. Some kindred tribes had very close and deep associations with the Ahi race. The Ahi race played a very important role in Bhāratiya history since earliest times.

CHAPTER IV

THE PANCHAJĀTĀH

1. FIVE PEOPLES

The word iana has been generally used in the Rayeda in the sense of a hostile person or hostile people. Panchajanah denote five peoples or five republics of the Brahmarvan adversaries, the Purus, the Yadus, the Turvasa the Anus and the Druhyus. The territorial boundaries of these five Republics were known as Panchaksitis. 1 They are racially referred to in the Rgveda as Pañchajātāh. The words Pañchajanāh. Panchaksitīnām and Panchajātāh denote respectively the political, territorial and racial designations of the people inhabiting the region. These Panchajatah people resided on the banks of Saraswatt. 2 These five tribes inhabiting the jana repdublics of Bharata are the Purus, the Yadus, the Turvasas, the Anus and the Druhyus. The Saraswatt, along with seven sister rivers, joined the sea in that age. The Pürus inhabited the northern region in the Punjab; the Anus and the Druhyus in the middle region in Rajasthan and Sindh and the Yadus and Truvasas in the southern region of Sindh extending upto the sea coasts, on both the sides of the banks of Saraswati. These five tribes constituted an important element of the Bharativa people before the Brahmarvan invasions and they played a very important role in opposing the invaders.

2. THE PURUS

The People

We have earlier noticed that the adventurous people of the Mediterranean racial stock had come in large numbers through the land routes of West Asia and settled in Bhārata

R. C. Jain, The Most Ancient Aryan Society, 1964; chapter I sub-chapter V.

^{2.} Rgveda 6. 5, 12, 12.

by the side of the prosperious cities. They were assimilated by the original protoAustraloid population. They completely merged in the local population and adopted their culture and civilizations, customs and manners, art and architecture. They became one with the people. They were the most virile section of the community. These people who came to Bhārata in the first part of the third millennium B.C. came in large numbers. They began to be called Pūrus on account of their large numbers. This name, in course of time, came to signify this distinct group. These people became the Pūrus of history. They became widespread over the whole western and farwestern regions of Bhārata. Pūru means much, abundant, manifold. 3

Purūravas

When the Brahmāryan military forces advanced from west to Bhārata in the east from their homeland in West Asia; they met the Pūrus along with the Ahis in initial stages. When they first met them in the hilly tracts of northern Bhārata, Purūravas was their most important leader. Purūravas is compound word formed of Pūru and Ravas. Pūru means much, abundant. Rava means roar; thunder. Purūravas means rotying much or loudly.⁸ The word is traced to Puru + Nu, lit, one who cries a great deal.⁸ This word is used in this

- Monier Williams; Sanskrit-English Dictionary; 1956; pages 636-868.
- 5. Yaska 10. 46.
- 6. Rgveda 1, 7, 1, 4,

³ Rgveda 1, 11, 6, 10; 1, 13, 8, 7; 1, 17, 2, 9; 1, 19, 1, 3; 1, 20, 6 10; 1, 21, 3, 10; 1, 21, 5, 4; 1, 21, 1, 1, 1; 1, 23, 2, 3; 1, 23, 21, 24, 25, 22, 6, 3; 3, 8, 2, 15; 3, 4, 13, 6; 3, 5, 5, 5, 4, 3, 7, 3; 4, 3, 10, 8; 4, 4, 5, 3; 4, 5, 10, 9; 5, 1, 2, 4; 5, 1, 3, 4; 5, 1, 9, 4; 5, 2, 9, 3; 5, 3, 1, 4, 5, 3, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 1, 1; 5, 6, 1, 2; 6, 2, 1, 2; 6, 2, 1, 2; 6, 2, 1, 2; 6, 6, 1, 2; 6, 6, 2, 8; 7, 4, 7, 1; 7, 5, 11, 3; 7, 6, 3, 7; 8, 1, 1, 2; 8, 1, 2, 2; 8, 1, 4, 1; 8, 3, 4, 7; 8, 4, 3, 27; 8, 4, 8, 16, 8, 8, 9, 7; 8, 5, 11, 6; 2, 7, 1, 15, 2; 9, 3, 2, 2,

literal sense in the Rgveda as an epithet of Manu.6 Apart from legends, it means "a most renowned Püru." Purüravas may not be the actual name of the most renowned Puru leader. It appears only to be his epithet. The Puranic legend says that Purfiravas had declared war on the Brahmanas, robbed them of their jewels and coveted the golden sacrificial floor of the Namisa sages, who were performing sacrifices. The sages in revenge killed him. 7 Shorn off Puranic travesties, the legend simply means that Pururavas was an adversary of the Brahmaryans and their most important institution, the Yaiña. The Pürus under Purüravas met the Brahmaryan forces under Agni. Agni overcame the Pürus in battle.8 Purüravas even then did not submit. Urvasi, the Brahmaryan Miss Universe, was ambassadored to entice and win over him to their side. She performed this task quite admirably. Pururavas after his historical itinerary to the Aryan cradle-land Uttarakuru through Himalayan, Meru and other mountaineous regions and Harivarsa and other countries, returned with her to the Brahmaryan military posts in Gandhara and was converted there to the Brahmaryan fold. He was instaated to the Yajfiic way to fight his own kinsmen in Gandhara. 9

Ävu

Purānas give Āyu, Nahuṣa and Yayātı as the descendants of Purūravas. Āyu is a very unimportant figure in the Rgveda. Indra made Āyu, along with Kutsa and Attinigva, subject to the mighty youthful Sušravas. Indra destroyed the assailants of Āyu. Indra quickly humbled and gave Dasyu, to the Ārya. 10 He married Prabhā, the daughter of Dānava Svarbahau. 11 Āyu was a Dasyu who belonged to the Ahr race having matrimonial alliance with Dānavas, also of the Ahr race.

A. D. Pusalkar; Traditional History from the Earliest Times (in Vedic Age.); 1987; page 273.

^{8.} Rgveds 7. 1, 8. 4.

^{9.} R. C. Jam; op. cit.; (M. A. A. S.); pages 126-127.

^{10.} Rgveda 1 10. 3. 10; 2, 2, 3 7; 6, 2, 3, 3,

F. E. Pargiter; Ancient Indian Historical Tradition; 1962; page 306.

Nahusa

Nahusa is the name of a minor person or tribe in the Rgveda. Nahusas appear along with Panchaksitinam. They, thus, become associated with Saraswatt region. Saraswatt, chiefest and purest of rivers, flowing from the mountains to the ocean, understood the request of Nahusa and distributing riches among the many existing beings, milked for him butter and water. 12 Indra recognised the Nahusa people or descendants of the Nahusa people. Indra gave benefits to Varsagiras after looting Dasyus and Simyus. Indra divided the fields amongst his white-complexioned friends.13 Nothing was given to Nahusas. Agni baffled the devices of his adversaries and coerced the Nahusa people (Nahusa Visah).14 Indra destroyed the seven cities of the Nahuşa people. 18 Nahuşa people also appear with Dasyus, 16 Nahuşa married his sister (Pitr-Kanya) Viraja and had six or seven sons by her 17 Simvus people were a member of the Ten-Republics confederacy against Sudas and are coupled with Dasyus, 18 Nahusa was a son of Kaśvapa and Kadrū. 19 The descendants of Kadrū from Kaśyapa, like Arbuda, are known as Kādraveyas on account of the matrilineal system of inheritance. Matriarchy never obtained amongst the Aryans. They had always, from the very beginning upto the present times, followed the patriarchal system. The descendants of Kadrū are known as Nagas. Nahusa, thus, appears to have belonged to the Ahı race. Purame tradition makes Nahusa also a son of Ayu. That is an abrupt change. This is another glaring instance of falification of history by the Brahmanic priests.

^{12.} Rgveda 7, 6, 6, 2; 6, 4 3, 7.

^{18.} Rgveda 1. 15. 7. 16-18.

^{14.} Rgveda 7. 1. 6. 5.

^{15.} Rgyeda 10. 4. 7. 8.

^{16.} Rgveda 10. 8. 9. 7.

^{17.} F. E. Pargiter; Ancient Indian Historical Tradition; 1962; page 86.

^{18.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 5; 1. 15. 7. 18.

^{19,} Mahābhārata (Cr. ed.) 1, 21, 9,

Kādraveya Nahuṣa was a staunch opponent of animal food while the Brahmāryans remained beef-eaters till seventh century B. C, 20 still Nahuṣa Nāga was transformed into Nahuṣa Arya. Nahuṣas had close connections with the Dasyus and their social way. Nahuṣa people were of the Ahi race.

Y ayāti

Nahuşa had six or seven sons from his sister-wife amongst whom Yatı and Yayātı were the most important. Yayātı appears only twice in the Rgweda. He is associated with Manu in one hymn. 21 Manu was the progenitor of ritualised sacrifices after Dāśarājān war. Yayātı, therefore, appears to be an obscure late figure. He had been given the fatherhood of Nahusa²⁴ when the Tenth Mandala of the Rgweda was appended to the main Book circa 800 B. C. Yayātı marired Devayāni, daughter of Uśanas-Sukra, the high priest of Dānavas and Daityas. He also marired Sarmisţhā, daughter of Dānava leating ar yasara yasara had bat yasara had his matrimonial relations with Dānavas and Daityas, also of the Ahi race All the Purânic traditions ascribe the fatherhood of Pūru, Yadu, Turvašā, Anu and Druhyu to Yayātı

Fabrication of Genealogy

The Purānas unanimously make Purūravas the ancestor of the above five tribes. The genealogical table given is Purūravas; Āyu, Nahuṣa; Yayātu. But the Rgveda does not know of any such relationship. None of these persons have any sort of connection with one or the other. This geneology was fabricated several hundred years after the actual historical events to suit the Brāhmanic purposes of the changed times.

Purukutsa and Trasadasyu

The Rgveda knows two very important Pūrus; Purukutsa and Trasadasyu. Purukutsa was son of Durgahā and father of

V. M. Apre, The Age of Re-Samhus (in Vedic Age); 1957; page 520.

^{21.} Rgveda 1, 7, 1, 17,

^{22.} Rgveds 10. 5. 3. 1.

^{23.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.) 1. 90. 8-9.

^{24.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit.; pages 144-145.

Trasadasyu. ²⁸ Purukutsa was made a prisoner of war, ²⁶ probably in the Dásárájňa war, and he appears to have died in captivity. His wrife Purukutsání gave birth to Trasadasyu through the favour of Indra and Varuṇa. ²⁷ Trasadasyu, thus, appears to be the posthumous son of Purukutsa. ²⁸ Varuṇa and Indra were living leaders of the Brahmāryan forces in that age. Indra had won the Dásárájňa war and the supreme hero of Dásárájňa confederacy. Purukutsa, had been murdered. Varuṇa was a peaceful man. The lustful Indra took to concubinage the widow of Purukutsa and Trasadasyu was born of their physical union. Trasadasyu, thus, appears to be the leurate son of Purukutsa from Indra on Purukutsani. Purukutsa and Trasadasyu are Pūrus. ²⁹

It appears that after the destruction of Pūru power by Agni, both entered into mutual treaty and became alites. Purukutsa, in his youthful days, helped Indra in his historic battles against the Vṛtras. Indra destroyed the Vṛtra power for the sake of youthful Purukutsa. ³⁰ Purukutsa, perhaps, got the booty of war. Indra, warring on behalf of Purukutsa, overturned the seven cities. Indra cut off for Sudås the wealth of Anhas and gave it to him. ³¹ Indra shattered seven cities of Śāradi Dāsi and gave the spoils to Purukutsa. ³² Aśvins preserved Purukutsa. ³³ Purukutsa son of Durgahā belonged to the line of Girikṣita. ³⁴ The Brahmāryan invaders were successful in the beginning in sowing the seeds of disunity amongst the Bhāratiya people. Indra, the progenitor of the Āryan policy of Divide and Rule, won many Bhāratiyans to

^{25.} Rgveda 4, 4, 10, 8-9; 5, 3, 1, 8; 8, 3, 7, 36,

^{26.} Rgveda 4. 4, 10. 8.

^{27.} Rgveda 4. 4. 10. 9.

 ⁽¹⁾ H. H. Wilson; Rgveda; 1926; Vol. III pages 366-367.
 (2) A. C. Das; Rgvedic culture; 1925; page 354.

^{29.} Rgveda 7, 2, 2, 3,

^{30,} Rgweda 1, 23, 10, 2,

^{31.} Rgveda 1, 11, 6, 7.

^{32.} Rgveda 6. 2. 5, 10.

^{33.} Rgveda 1. 16. 7. 7.

^{34.} Ķgveda 5, 3, 1, 8,

play the role of fifth columnists. Purukutsa was a favourite of Indra. But the truth soon dawned upon him. Indra was strengthening the military forces of the Brahmaryan invaders under the commander-ship of Sudas. Purukutsa saw the Brahmäryan game. When Viśvāmitra organised the Dāśarājña confederacy, he was prevailed upon to join the confederacy against Sudas and in the war that followed he was probably taken prisoner and died in captivity. 35

Pürus now had fallen from the Brahmaryan favour. Asvins, the preserver of Purukutsa previously, now discomfited them.36 Indra thinned his enemies in battle and conducted the Dasa at his pleasure. He plundered the wealth of his adversaries. He turns away from him who offers no libation. Pūru-jana is involved in great difficulty on provoking the might of Indra to wrath. 37 Püru-iana refers to the Püru republic, a constituent of Panchaksitinam. The azur-chinned Indra, the giver of wealth and possessing unequalled strength, destroyed the Purus, the Vrtras and the Dasyus, 38 Indra in the exhilaration of Soma, destroyed the Pürus and the Vrtras. 39 Indra quickly demolished in Dāśarājňa war all the strongholds and seven cities of his adversaries. He gave the dwelling of the son of Anu to Trtsu and proceeded to conquer the Mrdhravāchah Pūru. 40 The Pūru-Jana or Pūru republic was situate on the northern Punjab regions on both the banks of Saraswati. 41 Purus had become affluent on both the banks of Saraswati, 42 The Puranas make Allahabad, the original habitat of the Purus. That was simply impossible. The Puranas fabricated

^{35.} A. C. Das; op. cit.; page 355.

^{36.} Rgveda 5. 6. 2. 8.

^{37.} Rgveda 5. 3. 2. 6. 7.

³⁸ Rgveda 6. 3. 6. 6.

^{39.} Rgveda 6. 4. 1. 14.

^{40.} Rgveda 7. 2, 1, 13.

^{41.} R. C. Majumdar & A D. Pusaikar; Vedic Age; 1957; Map of Ancient India on page 545.

^{42.} Rgveda 7. 6. 7. 2.

the myth of the Pūru seat at Allahabad, on the Purāṇic versions current about 300 A. D. 43

Pūru Trasadasyu was born of the mixed Brahmāryan-Bhāratiyan blood. He carried on extensive wars in exterminating his own kinsmen, the Dasyus. Heaven and Earth gave him weapons for the destruction of the Dasyus. He was Agni's favour. Asyuns protected him in war. Indra helped him in battles for the possession of the earth. Asyuns helped him in the acquisition of wealth. He became a liberal donor. He gave five hundred Vadhūs to Rşı Sobhari and ten bright horses to Rşı Samvaraa. He was Ardha-deva, ⁴⁴ the half god so only half of his blood was of an Āryan god, the Indra Trasadasyu son of Purukutsa was still a Pūru. Later on his descendants, coalescing with the Tṛtsus and other constituents of the Bharata race gave but to the Kuru tribe. ⁴⁵

Avaintes

The Pūrus, like other constituents of the Dāśarājňa confederacy were non-sacrificers. ⁴⁶ They were Mydhraváchaḥ and Vadhryačhāḥ, ⁴⁷ specking the Ancient Prākṛta, the hostile speech, unknown to the Brahmāryans. The Pūrus had associations with the Vṛtras, the Dasyus, the Dāsas and other members of the Dāśarājňa confederacy. They belonged to the Ahi sub-race.

The people of the Ahı race, as observed later, were transferred the epithet Asura, in a bad sense by the end of the Rgvedic age. These Pürus have been called Asura-Rākṣṣas duriathe Satapatha age circa 600 B. C. The Satapatha Brāhmana knows that Pūru, by name, was an Asura-Rākṣṣas. 46 Agni

- C. V. Vaidya, Solar and Lunar Kşatriya Races in the Vedas-I. B. B. R. P. S. Vol. 23 (1914-15) page 48.
- 44. Rgweda 4. 4. 6. 1; 5. 2. 18. 8; 1. 16, 7. 14; 8. 8, 7. 36; 7. 2. 2. 8; 8. 2. 8. 21; 8. 5. 6. 7; 8. 5. 7. 7; 5. 8. 1. 8; 4. 4. 10. 8-9.
- R. C. Jain, Origin of the Kuru Tribe, Jain Bharati Research. Number; 1963; page 11.
- 46. Rgveda 7. 5. 18 7.
- 47. Rgveds 7, 2, 1, 18; 10, 2, 7, 5.
- 48. Satapatha Brithmana 6, 8, 1, 14,

overthrew him in battles. The Satapatha Brāhmaṇa recalls the truth, with approval contained in the Rgwedic Rch 7. 1. 8. 4. The association of the word Rakṣasa with Asura still preserves the memory of the Pūru tribe having descended from the Ahi race. But Purukutsa, the Pūru, is also called an Aikṣvāka in the same Brāhmaṇa. 49 This reference raises a historical problem.

Purāṇas do not know any Purukutsa or Trasadasyu belonging to the Pūru tribe. Purukutsa and Trasadasyu, as father and son respectively, appear in the Iksvāku dynasty. 50 Who were these Aiksvāka Pūrus then?

Pūru-Iksvāku Contacts

Pūru's grandson Prāchinvant 81 conquered the East. He is contemporary of Aiksvāka Yuvanāśva I The Pūrus were living on the banks of the Saraswati; hence their eastern expedition must have been against the Aiksvaka supremacy. Then a great Aıkşvāka Kuvalāśva arose. His Paurava contemporary was Sudhanvān-Dhundhu. 52 He was son of Dānava Madhu and an opponent of animal food, 43 Kuvaläsva killed this Rākṣasa, Daitya and Dānava Dhundhu, near a sand-filled sea. The Saraswati had flown into sea in that age. 56 Kuvalāśva had to cross the whole Rajasthan desert to the mouth of Saraswati. He killed him on the sea-shore. It appears reasonably certain that Puru supremacy extended through the whole region watered by Saraswati system. This Sudhanvan-Dhundhu is a Pūru and a Rāksasa. When Dhundhu was vanguished or kılled; hıs sister, daughter of Danava Madhu, was married to Haryasva I from whom the Yadus sprang, 85

^{49.} Śatapatha Brahmana 13. 5. 4. 5.

^{50.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit.; page 145.

^{51.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.) 1. 90. 12-13.

^{52.} F. E. Pargiter, op. cit.; pages 144-145.

Mahābhārata (Cr. Ed.) 3, 193, 16; Mahābhārata (Gita Press) Anušīsan Parva.

⁵⁴ F. E. Pargiter; op. cit.; page 260.

^{55.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit.; page 122.

The earliest Püru-Ikşvāku contacts, according to Purānic tradition, occur in the age of Puru son of Yayati on his marriage with Kausalya, presumably an Aiksvaki. His son Janamejaya married Ananta, a daughter or descendant of Madhu, whose descendant was Sudhanvan-Dhundhu? It appears that Madhu and his descendants formed a part of Püru group till Yadu was born of Iksvāku-Madhu blood. It might be possible that Prachinvant having descended from a Mādhavī might have himself been called a Madhu. His military raids on the eastern Iksvāku kingdom of Ayodhyā might have flared Iksvāku opposition. The Iksvākus might have remained silent during the age of Madhu. They appear to have retaliated during Dhundhu's time and defeating him. also won his sister. But beyond Pūru's age, we do not find any recorded Iksvaku Ahi contacts. But one thing has become very clear. We find Puru republic and Puru territory in the the Rgveda but we do not find any Puru dynasty in the Rgveda. The Purus are just only a constituent of the Pancha-iatah; the collectivity of five tribes. They might have won special distinctions amongst the Ahi race and formed one separate Pañcha-Jātāh group but the separate tribes as such are still not visible. They are all post-Vedic phenomena. The Ahi race, including the Panchajatah, was still unitary and undivided in spite of some separate groups assuming distinct territorial names.

Ahis and Ahisthala

When we enter recorded history in the Rgveda, though in a mutilated form, we find the Ahi dynasty pre-dominating. Sudās and Indra fought the last battles of Dāśarājňa war on the banks of the Yamuna against Ajas, Sigrus and Yakşas under the leadership of Ahi Bheda. ⁵⁶ The capital of the Ahis was Ahisthala which in Janamejaya's times became Āsandivat. Āsandivat is equated with Ahisthala by Kāšikā. ⁵⁷ Janamejaya is placed in the ninth century B. C. ⁵⁸ having his roval seat at

^{56.} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 19.

^{57.} V. S. Aggarwal; India as Known to Panini 1953; page 71.

H. C. Roychowdharl; Political History of Ancient India 1950; page 36.

Āsandīvat. ⁵⁹ This name continued its existence till Pāṇini's time circa 450 B. C. The Ahis were also known as Nāgas. The Brāhmana priests played pun upon the word Nāga which was given the meaning of elephant also along with Ahi. Pānini gives the word Nāga for Hastin. ⁶⁰ In the Brāhmaṇas and Upanisads, the words Hastin ⁶¹ and Nāga ⁶² both have been used. Pānini uses the word Hāstinapura ⁶³ for the first time. Pānini flourished during middle of the fifth century B. C. ⁶⁴ It appears that Hāstinapura was known as Ahisthala till 800 B. C. and as Ānsandīvat till 450 B. C. when its new name, Hāstinapura began to force its way. Māhābhārato bərds further punned upon the word Nāga and changed Ahisthala or Āsandīvat or Hāstinapura into Nāgapur, Nāga-sāhvaya, Gajapura, Gajāhva and Gaja-Sāhvaya between 200 B. C. and 200 A. D.

Thus the earliest name of Hästinapura appears to be Ahistinabura. The Purāņic tradition makes always Hastinapur, the capital of the Paurava » Paurava was always applied to the main branch at Hastināpura. 60 Whatever the later transferences and transpositions have made of historical events, it appears quite certain that Pūrus were Ahis and were associated with Ahisthala till Dišarājāta war.

Aiksvāka Ahis

Though the Purus are much exalted in the Puranas, their Ahi parentage had never been foregiven. The Puranas contain a

```
59. ( 1 ) Attareya Brahmana 8. 21.
```

^(2) Satapatha Brahmana 13, 5, 4, 2,

^(3) Panini 8. 2. 12,

⁶⁰ Panini 2. 1. 62

^{61, (1)} Satapatha Brihmana 3, 1, 3, 4; 14, 8, 15, 11; 14, 7, 1, 20,

 ⁽²⁾ Aitareya Brahmana 4. 1; 6, 27,
 (1) Satapatha Brahmana 14. 4. 1. 24.

⁽²⁾ Aitareya Brahmana 8. 22,

⁽³⁾ Brhadaramyaka Upanisad; 1, 3, 22,

^{63.} Panini 6. 2. 101.

^{64.} V. S. Aggarwai; op. cit.; page 74.

^{65,} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit.; page 274.

Preface where the Suta gives a full account of the Kali age. the accounts of the Aila and the lksvaku dynasties. There is a curious anachronism in this preface about the Aila dynasty. Under the Aila dynasty, the genealogy of the Paurava kings is given. Pargiter equates Ailas with Pauravas, 66 But the Pauravas have been declared in this very preface as descended from the Miechchha race. The Pauravas could not both be the Aryans and the non-Aryans as the word Mlechchha, unlike the word Asura, solely and definitely indicates the non-Aryan. The scholars so far as I know have offered no solution of this riddle. History explains this riddle. The Purus, a part of Bharatas, along with other constituents of the Dasaraina confederacy had coalesced with the Trisus to form the new hybrid Kuru tribe. But all the Pauravas had not been converted to the Brahmaryan fold. Those who joined the Brahmarvans were extolled as of high blood. The rest continued to be decried as the Mlechchhas. The reference to the Mlechachha Pauravas along with the Mlechchha Kosalas (Iksvākus) and Dasyu Savaras, the descendants of Dasyu-chief Viśwamitra; only betray the Brahmanic sub-conscious remniscences about these peoples having belonged to the non-Āryan Iksvāku race and the Ahı sub-race. The Purus of the Rgvedic Pancha-jatah were the Arksavaka Ahis

3. THE VADUS

Sea-Faring Yadus and Turvasas

The second most important constituent of the Pańchajātāḥ were the Yadus. In their most important deeds, they appear usually associated with the Turvaśas. They were a Jana republic amongst the Pańchajanāḥ holding territory amongst Pańcha-Kṣitis. Their republic was known as Yādva-jana. ⁶⁷ The Yadu and the Turvaśa people were the sea-faring people and good navigators. ⁶⁸ They occupied the southern and the southwestern portion of Saraswatt region including north-west

E. E. Pargiter; The Purana Texts of the Dynastics of Kalı age;
 1962; pages 2-3, 65.

^{67.} Rgveda 8, 2, 1, 48.

^{68.} A. C. Das; Rgvedic culture; 1925; page 353.

Rajasthan and Sindh territories extending upto the mouth of the Saraswatt, close to the sea-shore, ⁶⁰ West to the mouth of Saraswatt flourished the international sea-port city of Sutkagendor which was under the control of the people who were masters of this part of the Harappan state. Nearest people to them were the Yadus and the Turvaśas; hence it appears all the more probable that Yadus and Turvaśas, the masters of sea-borne trade and expert navigators, extended their decentralised republican system upto Sutkagendor on the borders of Iran and Geforsia.

Archaeological Evidence

Sutkagendor could not have easily been surrendered by Yadus and Turvasas as it was the life-line of their foreign trade. A well fought naval battle must have been waged here by the contending forces in which the Bharatiya forces seem to have been annihilated by the foreign Brahmaryan invaders. The battle-ships of the Yadus and Turvasas were drowned by the naval forces of Indra who inflicted largescale casualties on them. But Indra seems to have been very much pleased by the naval power, adventurous spirit and the qualities of organisation of his adversaries and he. a shrewd politician, planned to make them his allies. He brought the drowning Yadus and Turvasas, having crossed the ocean, safe to the sea shore so that he may know something of the country he was in near future to conquer for the sake of wealth. 70 Jiwanry people who were very near to the Sutkagendor people must have come to their assistance and shared the same fate. After its destruction, it was occupied by the Brahmaryan invaders. We find the cemetries of the Brahmaryan war-lords at Jiwanry dated circa 1100-1000 B. C. 71 Not only the Yadus and Turvasas of the sea-shore were defeated; the Yadus and Turvasas, living in the upper mountaneous and plain regions, as allies of

^{69.} Rgveda €, 2. 5, 12; 4. 3, 9, 17,

^{70.} Rgveda 6, 5, 12, 5 and several others.

^{71.} S. Piggot; Pre-historic India; 1950; Page 240-156.

Sambara, were also defeated by Divodasa and Indra. Indra for Divodasa overturned the cities of Sambara. Yadus and Turvasas, 72 Indra now had completely won over the Yadus and Turvasas on the west of the mouth of the Saraswati in plains and mountains and on the sea. He made his vanguished adversaries his friends. 78 He extended his protection to them. 74 Aswins comented the alliance forged by Indra with the Yadus and Turvasas. They sojourned with the Yadus and Turvasas along with Anus and Druhvus. They also accepted their superiority. 78 Yadus and Turvasas were upgraded and placed at par with the second Brahmaryan commander-in-chief-Agni to fight against their own kinsmen. the Dasvus 76 Yadus, the former kinsmen and allies of Sambara. helped Indra in demolishing the cities of Sambara.77 The Yadus and the Turvasas along with the Pürus, the Anus and the Druhyus were later permitted to drink Soma with Indra and Agni, 78 The Yadus and the Turvasas helped Indra in overcoming Ahnayayya in battle, 79 The shrewd politician Indra had permitted the lands of the Yadus and the Turvasas which was rendered agreeable to them by fertilizing waters, to be retained by them Indra might have got their assistance against Susna. Kutsa and Usanas 80 Great and glorious Indra was much lauded by his followers for winning the might of the Yadus and the Turvasas against their own kinsmen. The progenitor and past-master in the state art of Divide and Win and then Rule, Indra practically exhibited his supreme political wisdom in the case of the Yadus and the Turvasas, 81

^{72.} Rgveda 9. 3. 1. 2.

^{73,} Rgveda 6 4, 2, 1,

^{74.} Rgveds 8, 2, 2, 18; 1, 23, 10, 9, 75. Rgveda 8, 2, 4, 14; 8, 2, 5, 5,

^{76.} Rgveda 1. 8, 1, 18.

^{77.} Rgveda 1, 10. 4. 6. 78. Rgveda 1, 16, 3, 8,

^{79.} Rgveda 8, 6, 3, 27. 80. Rgveda 5, 2, 17, 8,

^{81.} Rgveda 8, 1, 4, 7,

Constituents of Dāśarājña confederacy

But Yadus soon learnt the political game of Indra and fell out from him. Divodāva Aithingva's military expeditions had not yet come to a final close; his military forces were still advancing to the east from the regions of Arachosia and Gedrosia. The Yadus and the Turvasas turned against their present allies and former adversaries the Brahmāryans. They also suffered humiliation at the hands of Indra ⁸² They finally confederated with their Bhāratīya kinsmen under the leadership of Viswāmitra in Dāšarājān confederacy against Sudās in which the Bhāratīyā military opposition to the foreign invaders was finally offered and crushed.

Ahi Yadus

The Yadus and the Turvasas were the original non-Āryan Bhārattya people They have been referred as Dāsas sa late the other Brahmsyan adversaries The Epics and Purānas call them Asuras, an epithet applied to Dānavas, Daityas, Raksas and others of the Ahi subrace in post-vedic period, and class them with the tribes of north-west and west among the Nichyas and Apāchyas; sa i.e. the low and undigestible people. In the post-Vedic period, we find Sātvants and Haihayas as the branches of the Yadu tribe. Vithorias, Bhojas, Šaryātas, Avantus, Tupdikaras and Tālajanghas were the branches of the Haihayas. The Yadus are considered as Vrātyas. sa Manusmrti was probably composed not later than 200 A D sa Vītihotras were thought of as foreigners in the Vāyupurāna and the king of the Avantus as Vrātya and the people mostly

^{82.} Rgveda 7. 2. 2. 8.

^{83,} Rgveda 10. 5 2, 10

A. D. Pusalkar, Traditions History from the Earliest Times to the Accession of Parik\$ita (in Vedic Age.), 1957, page 316.

H. C. Roychowdhari, Political History of Ancient India, 1950, page 142.

^{86.} Manusmrti; 10, 23.

A. A. Macdohell, History of Sanskrit Literature; 1958; page 428.

Sūdras. Purāņic preface dubbs the Yadus (Vītihotras) as of Miechchha race. 88

Origin of the Yadus

Puranas give origin of the Yadus, and also the Turvasas, from Devayanı and Yayatı. But Hariyamsa strikes an interesting dissenting note. It says that Madhu was a king who reigned from Madhuvana on the river Yamuna to Surastra and Avarta (Gujerat). His daughter married Harvasva, a scion of the Aiksvāka race, and their son was Yadu and from this Yadu descended the Yadavas. Madhu was a Daitva and a Danava. Pargiter; so much obsessed by the literal truth in Puranas though conscious of their absurdities and confusions, terms it as a spurious genealogy based on mass of absurd confusions. If he had applied his own standards of sifting the truth from the mass of Brahmanical falsehoods, he could have come to a right conclusion. The mention of Madhu as a Yadava and also the progenitor of Yadus is not peculiar. Pargiter himself has found several such other instances in the Puranas. Yavāti is a descendant of Purūravas and hence a Paurava and the progenitor of Pürus. Dusyanta is a Bharata and progenitor of Bharata race. The mention of Madhu as a Daitva and Danava is also not unexpected. The Puranas know Bali as an Anava and a Danava. The transference of genealogies, transpositions of relationships from father to son and vice versa and avoidance of distinction between different periods are the discrediting but real features of the Puranas.89 In this state of affairs, we should formulate a fresh standard. The Purana that directly deals with the immediate problem should be given preference over the rest. The Hariyamsa Purana has been created to deal with the Krsna and the Yadu traditions. The author of this Purana was naturally an expert at this tradition and was primarily interested in giving all the known facts about the subject of his Purana. The Harivamsa Purana than any other should be relied on regarding the origin of the Yadu tribe. Madhu, thus, appears to be a historical

^{88.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (Kali Age); page 2-3; 54-55-56.

^{89.} F. E. Parfiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); Chapter V.

person belonging to the Daitva and the Danava section of the Ahı race. Yadu, the founder of the Yadavas, was an Aıksyaka born of the daughter of the demon Madhu, 90

Aıksvāka-Ahl Yadus

The Yadus, thus, appear to be Iksyakus. It appears that the Kuvalāsva, the ancestor of Yadu, had annexed the realms of Pūru-Dānava Dhundhu son of Madhu. Yadus occupied the southern Saraswatt regions after the death of their maternal relation Dhundhu and, by and by, extended their sway to the west. The Turvasas joined them. May be, Madhu's daughter, following the matriarchal system of her father's Ahi race, remained at the seat of her father's or brother's domain: Harvasva I married her there, implanted Yadu in her and came back to Ayodhyā And Yadus flourished in their own matriarchal home. Yadus were matriarchal people till the Upanisadic Age. Krsna Devakīputra 91 reminds the ancient matriarchal system of the Yadus. If that had happened, Yadus still belonged to the Ahi race, the seed being unimportant in the matriarchal system of society Anyway, Yadus spring from the Ahi-Iksvāku blood They were an important constituent of the Pancha-Jatah.

As noticed in the preceding section, it appears that the whole Saraswati region was at one time populated by the Pürus. Dhundhu is son of Madhu and also a Paurava. It appears that Madhu might have been a descendant of Prachinvant or this might have been his another or transformed name. If Madhu is a Pūru, the Yadus, then, would have descended from Pūru-Ikṣvāku blood and carved out a separate republican region from the Pūru-Jana. The Yadus, alongwith the Turvasas, occupied the southern Saraswatt region where Paurava Dhundhu was killed. Yadus also, like the Pūrus, are Aiksvāka Ahis

4. THE TURVAS AS The People

The Turvasas were a people 92 They also appear as Turvasa-

^{90.} Robert Shaffer; Ethnography of Angient India; 1954; page 19.

^{91.} Chhandogya Upanisad 3, 17, 6,

^{92.} Rgveda 6. 3. 4. 7; 8 I. 4. 1; 1. 8. 1. 18, 1. 10. 4. 6;

Yadu. ⁹⁹ They appear with Ānava. ⁹⁴ The feats of Turvasas and Yadus in common have been dealt with in the previous sub-chapter. They had formed temporary alliance with the Brahmāryans at the initial stages but they soon fell apart from them. The Turvasas and the Yadus had attacked Divodāsa and fought battles with him. ⁹⁰ They were defeated in Dāsarājha war along with other constituents of the Dāsarājha confederacy. They were drowned in the river Paruṣṇi, killed in naval battle on the waters of Paruṣṇi, alongwith Bhggus and Druhwus. ⁹⁶

Iksvāku-Ahı Turvasas

The Turvasas were given over by Indra to his allies the Srňjayas. ⁸⁷ The Turvasas remained allies of the the Srňjayas for a long time ²⁸ and merged with them to form the Panchāla people. ⁵⁹ They were considered Yavanas ¹⁰⁰ till very late.

The Turvasas, like the other constituents of Dāsarājna confederacy, were non-sacrificing people. They closely appear with Yadus. Purāņic tradition makes Turvasa, the real brother of Yadu. That gives them, like the Yadus, Ahi-Ikvāku lineage. It is quite certain that they were the non-Āryan Bhāratiya peoples arrayed against the Brahmāryan invaders.

The Turvasas, under the leadership of Viśwämitra, lost the Dāsarājān war curca 1100 B. C. Marutta is the last Turvasa king, according to the Purānas. He adopted Duşyanta of Pauravas and the Turvasas then merged in the Paurava line. 101 Marutta is removed from Viśwämitra by two degrees. The Brāhmaṇa and Purānac evidences agree together about the extinction of the Turvasa line in the first quarter of the

^{93.} Rgveda 5. 2. 17. 8; 7. 2. 2. 8, 4. 3. 9. 17; 10. 5. 2. 10.

^{94.} Ŗgveda 8. 1. 4. 1.

^{95.} Rgveda 7, 2.2, 8; 9, 3, 1, 2,

^{96,} Raveda 7, 2, 1, 6,

^{97.} Rgveda 6. 3. 4. 7.

^{98.} Satapatha Brahmana 13, 5, 4, 16.

^{99.} C. V. Vaidya, op. cit.; JBBRAS Vol. 23 page 56.

^{100.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.); 1, 10, 26.

^{101.} F. E. Pargiter, op. cit.; (A. I. H. T.); page 108.

first millennium B. C. Whether they merged in the Pauravas, themselves the Ahis, or Pāńchālas, is immaterial. They were extinct in Indian history by middle of the first millennium B. C.

5 THE ANDS

The People

The Anus are the fourth constituent of the Pańchajatah. They formed a part of the republican confederacy, the Pańchajanāh. The Anus appear in the Rgweda alongwith the Pūru, the Yadu, the Turvaśa and the Druhyu; 102 along with the Druhyu, the Turvaśa and the Yadu 103 and along with the Druhyu, the Turvaśa and the Yadu 103 and along with the Turvaśa and the Yadu 103 and along with the Turvaśa and the Yadu 103 and along with the Turvaśa and the Yadu 103 and along with the Turvaśa along 104 and 105 an

The Anus took prominent part in the battles against Sudas. They do not appear during the time of Divodāsa The warriors of the Anus and the Druhyus, hostile to Sudās, persihed to the number of 66000 in Dāśarājāa war. ¹⁰⁸ Varuna and Mitra hurled their weapons against the Rāksasāh and against the mailgnant Ānuva, ¹⁰⁸ and nu leader.

Origin of Anus

The Ryweda associates the Ānavas with Rāksasas The Brahma and Harivamsa Purānas make Anus lineage descend from Kakseyu, one of the sons of Raudrásva of the Paurava line. Raudrásva is two degrees down to Haryásva I of the Iksváku line, the progenitor of the Yadus. Raudrásva is a descendant of Sudhanvān-Dhundhu of the Paurava line. 107 This Dhundhu has previously been identified with Dānava and Daitya Dhundhu son of Madhu. The Ānavas, thus, appear to have sprung from the Paurava blood just by the time of the origin of the Yadus. Mahābhārata calls the Ānavas Miechchhas, 108 This clearly indicates that Kanavas belonged to the Ah race.

```
102. Rgveda 1, 16. 3. 8.
```

^{102.} Rgveda 8. 2. 5. 5. 104. Rgveda 8. 1. 4. 1.

^{105.} Rgveda 7, 2, 1 14,

^{106.} Rgveda 6, 6, 1, 9,

^{107.} F. E. Pargiter; op, cit, (A. I. H. T.); page 108-109.

^{108.} Mahābhārata (Cr. Ed.); 1. 80, 26.

The Anavas till the times of Usinara occupied eastern border of Puniab. Sibi extended his conquests west-words and his sons founded the four kingdoms of Vrsadarbhas, Sauvīras, Kekayas and Madrakas or Madras. Sauvīras were in the middle Saraswatt region. Titiksu, the seventh descendant of Anu. brother of Usinara, founded a new kingdom in the east which was divided among Bali's five sons Anga, Vanga, Kalinga, Pundra and Suhma, This Anava king Bali is also called a Danava. Pargiter sees confusion in Anava Balı and Daıtya Balı. This confusion of Pargiter is the result of his presumption that Anavas were the Arvans and Danavas their adversaries. He ignored the Rgvedic association of the Anayas with the Raksasas and their descent from the Püru line. Balı is called both Anava and Danava. One Dirghatamas was the husband of a Dāsī, Uśijā by name and begot from Bali's queen the above five sons Anga and his other four brothers 109 As noticed earlier, Anga, Vanga, Kalinga, Pundra and Suhma are pre-Aryan pre-Dravidian proto-Australoid people. This region remained detestable to the Brahmanas uptil the earlier part of the first millennium A. D. The Aryans were able to Brahmanise South Bihar and Bengal by the middle of the third century A. D. Anga, Vanga, Pundra, Kalinga and Suhma were populated by Asuras. Bihar continued to be under the Asuras till the advent of Sisunaga to power, 110 Sisunagas came to power circa 430 B. C. 111 This evidently proves that Bali if ever he existed, was a Dănava, later termed as Asura and he was called Anava because Anavas had descended from the Pauravas of the Ahı race.

Eastern Anavas a Fabrication

The Anavas do not apper in the post-Vedic Brāhmana literature. It appears that the assignment of the Eastern Bhārata to Ānavas and to Balt's five sons is a pure Brāhmanical

^{109.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 158.

^{110.} D. R. Bhandarkar, Aryan immigration into Eastern India; A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 12, pages 114, 116.

Radhakumud Mookerji; Rise of Magadhan Imperialism (in The Age of Imperial Unity); 1953; page 38.

Western Anavas disappear after Sibi and fabrication. his sons even before the Puranic Dasarajna War. Even the Eastern Anavas disappear after the so called Bharata battle circa 900 B. C. Even Videha was not Brähmanised before 900 B. C. The whole of the Eastern India was non-Arvan by 900 B C 112 It, therefore, appears quite reasonable to assume that there was no Balı, Anava or Danava. It is only a case of wrongful transference of Anava history of western Bharata to Eastern Bharata The Anavas populated only western Bharata when the Brahmaryans colonised it circa 1000 B. C. The Anavas afterwards either coalesced with the Brahmaryans or with some other tribe. The Anavas were a minor people, perhaps descended from Pürus, playing only subsidiary role under the Purus. They were non-sacrificing people and were Mrdhravächah, speaking a non-Aryan hostile speech The Anu people were the pre-Aryan Bhāratīya people of the Iksvāku-Ahi stock.

The descent of Ānawas from the Pūru blood indicates the further division of the Pūru territory in the Saraswatt region. The Anus along with the Druhyus occupied the middle Saraswatt region. The Pūrus followed the matrilineal system and might have carved out a separate region from their aicestral home. This is of great historical importance. We see the great Pūru-jana developing into the great Pūru-jana developing into the great Pūru-jana fin Dāsarājūa War.

6. THE DRUHYUS

Anus and Druhvus

The Druhyus were a republic within the Pańchajanāh. They occupied a territory within Pańchakşitis. They were the people who along with the Anus had settled in the middle Saraswatt regions, i.e. southern Punjab and northern Rajasthan together with some portions of upper Sindh.

The Druhyus appear together with the Anu, the Turyaśa and

^{112.} D. R. Bhandarkar; op. cit.; page 113.

the Yadu¹¹³ and with the Pūru, the Yadu, the Turvasas and the Anu. ¹¹⁴ They were valorous people like the Pūrus. Indra is prayed to bestow the Druhyu power so that the enemies in war may be destroyed. ¹¹⁵ Their principal act, recorded in the Rgveda, is their participation in the Dāśarājūa War. ¹¹⁶ They fought the forces of Sudās at the Paruṣṇi and also on land. Some of them were drowned in the Paruṣṇi. They, along with the other constituents of the confederacy, lost the war.

Origin of Druhyus

The Purānas make Druhyu real brother of Pūru and Aau from Sarmışhā, daughter of Dānava Yṛṣaparvan. The Brahma and Harivanās Purānas divide the Druhyu genealogy in two parts and assign Dharma son of Gāndhāra to Anu. 117 The Anus had lineal relationship with the Pūrus. The Druhyus, therefore, also appear to have some blood relationship with the Pūrus. The Druhyus were Miechehhas. 118

It does not stand to reason that the Druhyus ever populated Gandhāra as so prominently mentioned by the Purāṇas. Divodāsa and Indra waged many battles in Arachosia, and Gedrosia. We find the Yadus, the Turvasas and the Kṛṣnas fighting the Bṛahmāryan invaders there but nowhere the Druhyus. The driving of the Druhyus to the north west by the Sibis is only a Purāṇuc ſabrication The Druhyus never pressed beyond the middle Saraswati region.

The Druhyus, also, like other members of the Dāšarājňa confederacy, were non-sacrificing people. They were Mṛdhra-vāchaḥ. We do not find them playing any part after the Dāšarājňa war. According to the Purānic tradition, they went out of history even before the Purānic Dāšarājňa War. The Purānic tradition about the Druhyus is wholly unreliable and untrustworthy.

^{113.} Rgveda 8, 2, 2, 5,

^{114.} Rgveda 1. 16. 3. 8.

^{115.} Rgveda 6. 4. 3. 8.

^{116.} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 6, 7, 2, 1, 12, 7, 2, 1 14,

^{117.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 108, 264,

^{118.} B. C. Law; The Tribes in Ancient India; 1943; page 12.

Aiksvāka-Ahi Lmeage

It appears that a very large part of the Ikşvāku race first separated from the main stock at some point of time in the hoary past due to certain reasons; ideological and geographical. They came to be known as Ahis and due to their power and prestige constituted the Ahi sub-race. Later on, the advent of the Mediterranean people in the early part of the third millennium B. C., made a significant change. They were assimilated in the Ahi sub-race. They appear to have advanced in the east and occupied the whole Saraswati region. They were now nearer to the main Iksvaku stock. As they had newly come in large numbers, they assumed the epithet Puru. The contacts of these Ahis with the Iksvakus distinguished them from their elder brothers of the west, the Vrtras and others. These Ahi Pūrus due to matrimonial alliances with the Iksvākus, became divided in the Yadus, the Turvasas, the Anus and the Druhyus, Chronologically, the Yadus sprang from the Pürus first, then the Turvasas, then the Anus and possibly after all the Druhyus. The later four peoples were the lineal branches of the main Pūru racial stock. Pūrus were Aiksvāka Ahis, The Yadus, the Turvasas, the Anus and the Druhyus, hence, were also Aikṣavāka Ahis. They jointly constituted the great Panchajanah who offered strong opposition to the foreign Brahmaryan invaders in the Dāśarājña War These five peoples, ethnically, became known as Pañchajātāh.

CHAPTER V

THE IKŞVĀKU-AHIS OF WESTERN BHĀRATA

1. THE KUSTKAS

Viśwamitra

Viswāmitra was the supreme Commander-in-chief of the Dāśarājhā confederacy. He led the main attack of the united Bhāratiya opposition to the Brahmāryan forces under Sudās and Indra at Hariyūpīya situate west across the confluence of the Vipāś and the Studrī in the Punjab. He crossed the rivers to meet the enemy with boat-loads of the Bharatas, the collective name of the peoples constituting the Dāśarājña Confederacy, along with their possessions. This Viśwāmitra who led these Bhāratīya troops was the son of Kuśika. This Dāśarājña War was fought on the waters of the river Parusai, on the plains of the Punjab and northern Rajasthan and finally near the Yamunā. The Bhāratas finally were defeated and sub-jugated. This great servant of the Bhāratiya people, Viśwāmitra, was Bharata-rsabha, the great Leader of the Bharatas.

Conversion of Viśwamitras

After the defeat of the Bhāratīya peoples in the Dāšarkijāa War; the Brahmāryans colonised the land of their conquest and settled here. They renamed the region they colonised as Brahmāvarta, covered by the Brahma people. They embarked upon the policy of large scale conversions of the local population. The utter ruination of the political power and the great destruction of the social system forced the Bhāratiyans to be coerced to make peace with their victors. They

R. C. Jain, Pre-Āryo-Brahma Bharatas of Bhārata; read before the 1960 Aligarh Session of Indian History Congress.

^{2.} Rgveda 3. 3. 4. 11-12.

^{3.} Rgveda 3, 6, 4, 5,

^{4.} Rgveda 7. 2, 16, 5, 6.

^{5, (1)} Aitareya Brahmana; 7, 17,

^(2) Sankhyayana Srauta Sütra; 15. 25.

voluntarily or involuntarily coalesced with them. The house of Kuśikas could not remain united for long. It disrupted. The moety under the leadership of Madhuchhandas son of Viśwāmitra joined the Brahmāryans, Viśwāmitra himself siding with his peace-loving sons. He glorified Indra and thus protected the Bhārata people. §

Viśwamitra and his fifty sons were the greatest spoil of war for Sudas and Indra. The foreign victors wanted to firmly establish and cement their hard-won victories. For that the utmost cooperation of the original people was the first and prime condition. Vasistha fell from the favour of Sudās, who for political reasons, appointed Viswāmitra his High Priest. Viśwamitra crossed over from the Sramanic way to the Yaifiic way. He, on being appointed High Priest. sacrificed for Sudas. Sudas and Indra were pleased with the Kusikas who also won from them the right of drinking Soma with them. 7 Now the race of Kusikas began to invoke Agni. They initiated Bharata-Agni. Agni, son or father of Angiras, became Bharata Agni 8 This Bharata-Agni became beloved of Indra, the leader, the friend of man and the chief leader amongst leaders. 9 Two Bharata leaders Devasravas and Devavata, also patronised this new born Agni, generated by them with ten fingers. They churned the very powerful and wealth-bestowing Agni for vast riches. The vanquished Bharatiyans adopted the Agni or the Yajnic way. Agni or the Yamic way thus established itself on the frequented banks of the Drsadavatī, Apāyā and Saraśwatī; 10 the Brahmāvarta.

The Kusika quislings by rendering this significant service to the Brahmāryan invaders in strengthening their hold on their colonised county, now made a permanent home, won highest favours from their new masters. They were raised to the highest status in the Brahmāryan society. They

^{6,} Rgveda 3, 4, 15, 12,

^{7.} Rgveda 3, 4, 15, 9, 10,

^{8.} Rgveda 2 1. 7. 1; 2 1, 7, 5,

^{9.} Rgveda 4. 3. 4. 4.

^{10.} Rgveda 3. 2. 11, 2-4,

became first born of the Brahma. 11 The Kuśikas desirous of protection, became the faithful followers of Indra. 12 Kuśikas identified themselves so much with the policies and acts of Indra; that Indra himself became one with them. He himself took pride in calling himself a Kuśika, the highest favour on the Kuśikas. Rsi Madhuchhandas (son of Viśwāmitra) was abundantly endowed with possessions by Indra. It appears that Kuśikas saw the utility of coalescing with the foreigners for saving their people from utter extinction. The main motives were the physical necessity of the preservation of existence and economic subsistence.

The Puranas derive the genealogy of Kusikas from Kusa. a descendant of Jahnu. We find several accounts of Jahnu's origin in the Puranas. One account traces him from Purfiravas' son Amayasu. The other account makes him the son of Ajāmīdha of the Paurava line who happens to be nine degrees below Bharata son of Dusyanta, 14 Pargiter in attempting the synchronism of Trayyārņua, Gādhi, Urva and Kṛtavirya holds that Kusika, father of Gadhi, married Paurukutsi, may be a daughter of Purukutsa and she was Gadhi's mother 15 and Viśwamitra's grand mother. This Purukutsa, as noticed earlier, is an Aiksvāka and a Rāksasa. This makes the descent of Kusikas from the Iksvāku-Ahi blood. But Jahnu was not the contemporary of Purukutsa or his son Trasadasyu, as presumed by Pargiter, and Paurukutsi could not be married to him. May be, she was married to Kusika, the father of Viśwāmitra, and this blood relationship of Viśwāmitra, and Trasadasyu, the levirate son of Purukutsa, might have facilitated the coalscence of the Viśwamitra's with the Brahmarvans. Such transpositions and substitutions of different persons is not uncommon in the Puranas.

^{11.} Rgveda 3, 2, 17, 15,

^{12,} Rgveda 3. 4. 4. 9.

^{13,} Rgveda 1, 3, 3, 11,

^{14.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit.; (A. I. H. T.); page 99.

^{15.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit., (A. I. H. T.); page 152.

Historical Importance of Sunahsepa Legend

The legend of Sunahsepa throws very significant light on the problem. Viśwamitra had a hundred and one sons, fifty older than Madhuchhandas, fifty younger. Those that were older did not think this (the adoption of Sunahsepa by Viśwamitra and the acceptance of his sovereignty by his sons) right. Then he cursed them (saying) "your offspring shall inherit the ends. These are the (people), the Andhras, Pundras, Sabaras Pulindas and Mutibas, who live, in large numbers beyond the borders, most of the Dasyus are the descendants of Viśwamitra. 16 Madhuchhandas and his fifty younger brothers accepted the superiority of Sunahsepa who was renamed Devarata. Shorn off legendary gloss, this narration discloses a great historical event. The Viśwamitras were in a dwindling position after their utter annihilation in Dāśarājāa war. The Angirasas pursuaded them for coalscence. The Kusika elders opposed the move and remained Dasyus. The younger Kusikas surrendered to the Brahmaryan power and accepted one of the Angirasas as their sovereign. Trasadasvu might also have used his influence with his Kuśika relations in this mone.

Iksvāku-Ahi Kušikas

Viśwāmitra has been called Bharata-ṛsabha in the above stroy of Sunaḥṣepa. Pargiter sees a historical confusion in this title of Viśwāmitra ³⁷ because the first Viśwāmitra was long anterior to Bharata Dausyanti. This epithet of a later Viśwāmitra has been wrongly transferred to the first on account of the Brāhmaṇical lack of historical sense. Pargiter's confusion is due to two reasons. First, he took too literally the Purānic evidence. Secondly, he wrongly presumes Bharatas to be the Āryans and descended from Dausyanti. Even according to Pargiter, the first Viśwāmitra son of Gadhi is contemporary to Satyavrata-Triśahku whose son Harischandra

^{16. (1)} Attareya Brahmana; 7. 18.

⁽²⁾ A B. Keith; Rgveda Brähmanas; The Aitareya and Kausītaki Brāhmanas of the Rgveda; 1920; page 307.

^{17.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.) page 64.

purchased Ängirasa Sunhéepa for homicide in the Puruşamedha. Thus Viśwämitra is long posterior to Purukutsa of Puränic tradition, though he is his contemporary in the Rgweda and there we also find the Bharatas. No Bharata Dausyanti ever flourished after the Rgwedo Dásarājha War. This makes Bharata Dausyanti a pure myth There remains, then, no confusion in Viśwämitra being called a Bharata-spabha. This Sunhéepa legend unearths more historical events than has so far been beleved.

We, thus, find that the Kuśikas were the Pūrus, the Dasyus, and the Iksvākus on the maternal side They have also been reckoned amongst Paulastya Rāksasas. ¹⁸ All these legends unanimously prove that the Kuśikas were the pre-Āryan, non-Āryan Bhāratijya people. They, like the Pūrus, belonged to the Iksvāku Ahr race.

2 THE PANCHA-DASYU-KUS'IKAS

Post-Harannan Cultures

Marshall, Wheeler, Piggot and other archaeologists have suggested the limits of the Harappan civilization between 2500 and 1500 B. C. Fairservis has extended the date of the fall of the Harappa culture to 1200 B. C. ¹⁹ The Āryan speeking people were present in Asia in the fourteenth century B. C. as is evidenced by the Boghaz-Keui inscriptional evidence. Moving eastwards, they are likely to have reached the Ghagghar and the Sutley valleys during the following couple of centuries: The explorations at Hastinapur reveal the existence of Painted Grey ware in Period II dated by B. B. Lal circa 1100 to circa 800 B. C. ²⁰ If we accept the upper limit at 1100 B. C. for the appearance of the Grey ware people and if they are identified with the Āryans, as is very much probable, then the annihilation of the Harappan civilization may be dated circa 1100 B. C.

^{18.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H T.) page 241.

^{19.} B. Subharao; The Personality of India, 1958; Page 96,

B. E. Lal; Excavation at Hastinapur and other explorations in the upper Gangs and Sutlej Basins 1950-52; Ancient India No. 10 & 11 (1954 & 1955); Page 23, 150.

The destruction of the Harappan state influenced largely the way of the Harappan people. The descendants of the Harappans, after the end of their glorious days, lived somewhere in India. still holding to their culture, if in a modified form, to contribute its traits to the pattern of Indian culture, either directly or through the Arvans or some other agency. Otherwise, the existence of Harappan elements in Indian culture will remain unexplained. 21 In the new dried up Ghagghar bed, the ancient Saraswati, about three dozen or more Harappan sites have been located. The holy rivers, the Saraswati and the Drsadvati had their confluence three miles north of Rangamahul, the em-centre of Rangamahal culture, in Ganganagar District of Rajasthan State, Numerous grey ware sites have been located in this archaeologically very important region, but no grey ware settlement is found on the Harappan settlements. It seems that the people who used Grev Ware pottery as a rule avoided settling on the Harappan sites. 22 The post-Harappan culture has also been traced in this region 23

The survival of the Harappan, post Harappan and the Arvan cultures side by side is of great significance. All the streams of proto-historic cultures mingle in the pivotal point the proto-historic trijunction, the old Brahmavarta (the Saraswati and the Drsadvati Valleys) and north-eastern Raiputana, Discoveries at Ukhlina in Meerut District of Uttarpradesh disclose Harappan 'fabric,' Greyware levels have been found at Kauśāmbi. So the possibility of these being either very late Harappan or being derived from the Post-Harappan cultures has to be stressed. The clear evidence for slightly later dates for the Harappan, and the close inter-links of its direct descendant, Post-Harappan cultures of Kathiawad, with the Pre-N B P proto-historic chalcolithic cultures of Central India (Madhyapradesh) suggest the possibility of Harappan survival in the peripheral regions to the east. West and south of the main Indus basin. The Harappans might have some direct contacts with the Post-Harappan cultures of the

^{21.} A. Ghose; Ancient India No. 10 & 11; Page 3.

^{22.} Hannath Rydh; Rangamahal, 1959, Figure 8, Page 42.

^{23.} B. Subbarao; op. cit page 100.

sub-continent. This Ganganagar area appears to be some sort of rendezvous with various elements converging, but in fact diverging from this point. The significance of this proto-historic trijunction, the existence of the Harappan, Post Harappan and Grey Ware sites in the same area; cannot be over-emphasised. 24 The Indian archaeologists have not so far given any final verdict whether the Cemetry-H people or Ravi people or Painted Grey Ware people or Narmada people were the Arvans or not; still they all do suggest, provisionally only on what they call circumstantial evidence, that they may be connected with the Aryans, scientifically, the Brahmaryans. I think the Greyware people claim the credit of being equated with the Arvans, 25 The seat of the proto-historic trijunction, the Brahmavarta region, is of great historical significance. Three routes trifurcated from here. One went direct to the east. The second went to north and then to east below the Himalavan valleys. The third went to the south via Gujerat and Malwa. The Harandans after their final destruction by the Grey Ware people diverged from here through these three routes. The remaining Harappans and the victorious Grey Ware people had conjointly evolved a mixed society in course of time in this region. They first converged here and after their historic fusion diverged from here in these three directions through these three routes on the footsteps of their vanquished enemies, the Harappans, who later, were called Post-Harappans.

If Greyware people have correctly been identified with the Brahmāryans, we may safely dentify the Harappans with the Bhāratīyans, the Bhāratīyans whom the Rgwedic Āryans finally annihilated in the Dāsarājnā War belonged to the Iksvāku-Ahi blood. The constitution of the Dāsarājnā confederacy clearly indicates this fact. If the archaeologists speak of pre-Āryan Bhāratīyans as Harappans, the sociologist may call the people of the Iksvāku-Ahi blood as Harappans. The Harappans are Pre-Āryan non-Āryan Bhāratīyans of

^{24.} B. Subbarao; op. cit; page 101, 111, Figure 31.

R. C. Jain; The Most Ancient Aryan Society, 1964; Chapter 2 Section IV.

the Ahi Blood. The Āryans exactly pursued the three routes traversed by the post-Harappan people after the Āryan military conquest. The archaeological evidence referred to above has been corroborated by the linguistic evidence so ably provided by Grierson. He divides Bhārata exactly into these three linguistic regions. Rhys Davids has rightly held that the course of the Āryan immigration did not alone lay along the valleys of the Gangā and the Yamunā. He postualates at least two other lines of equal importance, one down the Indias round the Gulf of Kutch and so upto Avanti, and another along the foot of the mountains from Kashmir by the way of Kosala, to the Sākya country and River Son as through Trinut to Magadhia and Anga. 20 The archaeological evidence of Harappan and Post-Harappan sites prove the validity of the eastern and the southern routes.

Emigration of Non-Cooparating Bharatiyans

Three factors emerge from the foregoing discussion. First, the Bharativans suffered military invasions of the foreigners and were finally defeated by them. Second, that the foreigners and a section of the original peoples coalesced together and evolved mixed culture in Brahmavarta. Third, the non-cooperating Bharativans left their West Bharata home for other regions of their own kinsmen The Rgveda corroborates these conclusions The Bharatīvans had been apprihilated and subjugated in Dāśarājňa War circa 1100 B C, at the hands of the Brahmaryans After their defeat, a section of the Iksväku-Ahi peoples coalesced with the Brahmaryans such as the Kusikas, the Purus and other constituents of the Bharata people. Those who did not accept the Brahmaryan suzerainty, like a moety of the Viśwāmitra's sons who accepted Sunahśena as their super-lord; were forced to seek fresh homes and pastures new beyound the frontiers of the colonised far-western and western Bhărata.

Pañcha-Dasyus

Dasyu-chief Viśwämitra's fifty sons had accepted the Brahmāryan suzerainty. His fifty sons did not accept the

²⁶ Rhys Davids; Buddhist India; 1959; Page 16.

foreign slavery. They and their progeny remained Dasyus as before. They were forced to live beyond the borders of the Āryan settlements. These Dasyus were the Andhras, the Pundras, the Sabars, the Pulindas and the Müübas. The Brahmäryans did not come in contact with these Dasyu descendants of Viswāmitra till the composition of later Vedas circa 800 B. C. They came in their contact during the period of Aitareya Brāhmana circa 700 B. C. The Brahmäryans had first followed the eastern route. They knew the country of Bhārata to the north of the Vindhyas. Southern Bhārata remained unknown to them till Pānnitš une 2º circa 450 B. C. The country of the Āndhras, the Pundras, the Sabaras, the Pulindas and the Mütibas covered central and eastern Bhārata. These Dasyus were Ikṣvāku-Ahi pecole

Their Territories

Andhras were the non-Arvan tribes residing originally in Eastern India between the Krsnā and Godāwarī rivers.28 They are the modern Telugu people. The Pundras resided in the country whose boundaries were Kāśi on the north. Anga, Vanga and Suhma on the north east and the east, and Odra (Ondra-Odradesa-Utkala : modern Orissa) on the south-east, inhabited the territory which forms today Chota Nagpur less its southern portion. This region is still the habitation of the Mundas 29 The Savaras (a numerous section of Kolarian race) are the southern most of any tribe that still speak a Kolarian language and they have maintained their distincitive title from vary ancient times. These Sobars or Savaras descended from Viśwamitra. This large tribe of the Savaras inhabited in Shahabad and Bihar, Ghazipur and Mirzapur and part of central India.30 Western border of India was the home of Khonds and Savaras. They had a very illuminating civilization and culture of their own. The Savaral institutions of

^{27.} R. G. Bhandarkar, Early History of the Dekkan; 1957; Page 15.

^{28.} Macdonell and Keith; Veduc Index. 1958; Vol I Page 24.

Sylvain Levi; The Pre-Āryan and Pre-Dravidian in India; 1909;
 Paga 86.

W. H. P. Driver; The Sobors; Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal; Vol LX (1891) page 32.

religion, sociology and politics were of the most developed type. They still live in Orissa, Bihar, Bengal, Uttarpradesh, Vishala, Andhra and Madras. Their language so ra has special affinities with the Oriya language 31 It appears that they were widely dispersed over vast area stretching from Eastern Districts of Uttarpradesh to South Bihar and Orissa. Orissa was their speical home. The Pulindas are located in the hills of the Satpuras, the Vindhyas and the Arvalli 32 The country of the Mutibas, as known to me, has so far not been identified. They might have just been the neighbours of the Pulindas. May be, they inhabited Bengal as it has not been included in any of the above territories. The territories covered by these Pańcha-Dasyu-Janah is predominantly a proto-Australoid area. They so remained till they first met the Brahmaryans after Pānini's time: i. e. circa 300 B C. These post-Vedic Kusikas; the descendants of the Dasyu-chief Viswamitra of the Iksvāku-Ahi race, were classed as Dasvus even during the historic period and even now. Many of the modern Adivasi tribes may be traced to have descended from the Kusikas and Kusika Viśwamitra.

3. THE PANCHA-ANAVAS

Five Peoples of North-West

The Pakthas, the Bhalânas, the Alinas, the Visānins and Sivas were the five peoples who glorified Indra who had earlier recovered the cattle of the Ārya from these Trisus, who slew the enemies in battle.³³ Three facts emerge from this Rgwedic hymn First, Indra fought a battle with them and plundered their wealth. Second, they joined the Brahmāryan society and adopted the name of the biggest social group of the Brahmāryan invaders, the Trisus, who played the most important part in the Daśarājna War. Third, they accepted the foreigner's way and accepted Indra's leadership. The word Trisus, in this hymn, is commented by Sāyana as 'Violent

Siddheswar Hota; So: Ra: Loans in Orlya; Journal of the Oriental Institute, Vol XI No. 4 (162), Page 328-329.

^{32,} bylvain Levi; op. cit; Page 91.

^{38,} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 7,

people. 34 This epithet could not be applied to Indra's own people. Indra nowhere flights the Tṛṭsus. These Tṛṭsus must have been his enemies at some time. The word then can reasonably be applied to Tṛṭsu converts. The word Tṛṭsu here, signifies the enemy people converted to Tṛṭsu society. This stikta gives very important details of the Dāśarājān War fought between Sudās, Indra and Tṛṭsus on one side and Pancha-Janāh, Ajās, Sigrus, Yaksas and others on the enemy side. The Tṛṭsu, in this context, can not mean plunderer. 39 He may mean a 'former plunderer' but not a Tṛṭsu of the Brahmāryan society. These five peoples belonged to contiguous geographic cal territories in northern Arachosis.

Pakthas

Pakthas are mentioned in three Rgwedic hymns. In one, they are mentioned as a protege of Aswins. The second reference is in Bălakhilya section which may not be relied upon. The third reference is used by the section which may not be relied upon. The third reference is used in the properties of the Dăśarāja War were forgotten. The Rgweda remembers them as peoples allied to the Brahmāryan people. Zimmer holds that they were a northern tribe. They may be identified with modern Pakthoons of Eastern Afghanistan. Turvāyaṇa was a king or leader of the Paktha people. These Pakthas were the enemies of the Tṛtsus at first; as Divodāsa's fight with Turvāyaṇa, king of Pakthas, goes to show. Sudās in view of impending danger, formed a new alliance with them. 40

Bhalanas-Alinas-Visanins

The Bhalanas were closely allied to Pakthas, Their original home, as suggested by Zimmer, on the comparision of the

- 34. Rgveda Samhitz (V. SM.), op. cit; Vol II Page 306.
- 35. H. H. Wilson; Rgveda; 1927; Vol IV Page 144.
- 36. Rgveda 8. 4. 2 10.
- 37. Rgveda 8, 49, 10.
- 38 Rgveda 10, 5. 1. 1.
- 39. Macdonell & Keith; Vedic Index; Vol I Page 464.
- 40. A. C. Das; Rgvedic culture; 1925; page 361.

name with Bolan Pass, in east Kabulistan ⁴¹ appears to be correct. Roth considers them as allies of Tṛtsus, ⁴² Viṣṭṇins were a tribe of the north west. Alinas were also their neighbours in the north-west of Kafirstan. ⁴³

Stone

The fifth allied tribe to the Pakthas and others were the Sivas. They have rightly been identified with the Sibis or Sivis. Sivapur or Sibipur is situate in Shorkot region of Chang in Punjab. The Sibis were a republic. They extended their habitat as far south as Nagari, Madhyamika and Chittor in southern Rajasthan.44 The earliest reference of a Sivi King, Amitratapana is found in the Aitareya Brahmana 45 Anukramani mentions a Rsi Sibi Ausinara.46 Usinara in not mentioned in the Rgveda but an Usinara lady is mentioned 47 The Usinaras are mentioned with the Kuru-Panchals and the Vasas. 48 The Vasas and the Usinaras were a united people 49 The Vasas were connected with the Matsyas, 50 Usinara descended from the Anavas.51 The Rgvedic Sivas, thus, are Anavas of the Iksvaku-Ahı racıal stock. Their associations with the Matsyas, the Pakthas, the Bhalanas and others testifies to their pre-Arvan non-Aryan blood. After the defeat of the Bharatiyans, they, like other constitutents of the Bharatas, coalesced with the foreigners. Their later association with the Kuru-Panchalas may be traced to their earliest conversions in the North-West-Frontier regions.

- 41, Macdonell & Keith; op. cit, Vol 11 Page 99
 - 42. Macdonell & Keith; op. cit; Vol I Page 39,
- 43. Macdonell & Keith, op. cit, Vol II Page 313 Vol I Page 39.
- 44. B. C. Law; op cit (A. T. A. I), Page 82-83.
- 45. Astareya Brahmana, 8, 23.
- 46. Rgveda 10, 12, 28, 47. Rgveda 10, 4, 17, 10,
- 48. (1) Astareya Brähmana; 8. 14.
- (2) Kauşttakı Upanışad, 4. 1.
- 49. Gopatha Brahmana 1, 2 9.
- 50. Kauşitakî Upanisad, 4. 1.
- 51. F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.); Page 88.

Pañcha-Anavas

The Puranic tradition says that Sivi Ausinara originated the Sivis in Sivapur and extending his conquest westwards founded through his four sons the kingdoms of Vrsadarbhas, Madras (or Madrakas), Kekayas (or Kaikeyas) and Suviras (or Sauviras). The Druhyus ruled in the Punjab at that time. Sivi and his sons must have driven them back into that corner which became known as Gandhara.52 The extension of the Sivi republic upto the borders of Afghanistan is very important. The Sivas and the other four peoples must have been on the frontiers of Western Bharata when the Brahmaryan forces had entered the region of Arachosia. It might be quite possible that Sivi people and their associates the Pakthas, the Bhalanas, the Visanins and the Alinas were related in blood. They prominently appear together in the Rgveda. The idea might have been caught upon by the Puranic rhapsodists of late and these people might have been transferred with transformed names and territories. We find Anus in the Rayeda along with the Sivis. definitely the Anavas. Without pressing the Puranic genealogy too much, it may be gathered that the five sons of Usinara pressed their way to the Arachosian regions and their descendants later came to occupy certain other regions of Punjab and Sindhu. It seems quite reasonable to assume that the Sivas, Pakthas, Alınas, Bhalanas and Vışānıns belonged to the Ikşvāku-Ahi people. They were, as later known, of the Anava ethnic stock.

4. SOME OTHER ĀNAVAS

Ambaşthas.

Apart from the several Anu tribes, we notice in the post-Rgyedic age, some other Anu tribes which played some historical role. The Ambashas, a leading branch of the Anavas, lived in the eastern borders of the Punjab.⁵³ They are mentioned with Sivis and Kşudrakas.³⁴ One Ambasha prince

⁵² F. E. Pargiter; op. cit.) A. I. H. T.); Page 264.

^{53. (1)} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T); Page 109.

^(2) B. C. Law; op. cit (T. A. I.), Page 96.

^{54.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed); 2. 5, 2, 14-15.

is mentioned in the Attareya Brāhmana who performed an Jamamejaya Pārikṣta.⁵⁵ This Ambaṣḥa prince appears to have performed this Aśwamedha in the nineth century B. C. The Brahmāryan society in the minth century B. C.

Kekayas

The Kekayas descended from the non-Āryan Anus. Their territory at one time extended from Vipās (Bias) to Gandhāra. They had matrimonial alliances with the Hgyākus. During the Vedic times, the Kekayas resided between the Sindhu and the Vitastā. Fa Asvapati Kaikeya was a great Kekaya king during the Upanişadic age who imported the knowledge of Ātman to five Brāhmana priests 58

Śįfijayas

The Srnjaya people had come in contacts with the Brahmāryans during the age of Divodāsa. Srnjaya was son of Devavāta. 8° Devavāta was Bhārata. 8° It appears quite reasonable to assume that the Srnjayas were non-Āryan pre-Āryan Bhāratīya people. The tradition that they descended from the Anavas is 8° here corroborated by the Rgwedic evidence; hence this Purāņic tradition is trustworthy. Srnjaya is the ancestor of Ustnara and Sivi and a north-West Ānava. Prastoka was the son of Srnjaya. 8° When we meet the Srnjayas in the Rgweda, we find them allies of the Brahmāryans.

- 55, Aitareya Brihmana; 8, 2, 1,
- 56. B. C. Law; op. cit (T. A. I), Page 77-78,
- F. E. Parguer; Journal of Royal Astatic Society; 1908; Pag 317-332.
- 58. (1) Satapatha Brithmana; 10 6.12, 2.
- (2) Chbandogya Upanišad; 5, 11, 4,
- 59, Rgveda 4, 2. 5. 4.
- 60. Rgveda 3. 2, 11. 2.
- 61. F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.); Page 145.
- 62. (1) Rgveda 6. 4. 4, 25.
 - (2) Sankhystyana Śrauta Stitra; 16. II. 11.

We do not know whether they were subjugated after battles or they made peace without any combat. The Srinjayas appear closely associated with Divodáss; hence it appears that they made peace with the foreign invaders in the initial stages. The Srijayas were held in high respect by Indra. He defeated the Turvasas and gave them up to Srijayas. He subjected the Vrchivants to them. Si Prastoka, son of Srijaya, has been lauded with Divodása for his munificenses. Prastoka gave ten purses of gold and ten horses in Dána (gifts), along with Divodása, who donated the treasure won from Sambaraa sa spoil of war. Si We thus, find the Trisus and the Srijayas closely allied at the initial stages of the Brahmāryan military invasions. The Srijayas were the non-Āryan Ānavas who forged the alliance with the foreign invaders earlies.

Madrakas

The Madrakas, along with the Sindhu-Sauviras, were born in a sinful country. They were Micchehhas. 89 The Purāņic tradition makes them Ānavas Madra, the progenitor of the Madrakas, was a son of Sivi Ausinara. The first reference to Mudras appears in the Attareya Brāhmana. The first reference to Mudras appears in the Attareya Brāhmana. The country of Uttaramdra is mentioned along with the country of Uttarakurus. 86 It appears that this Uttaramadra country was beyond the borders of the Himalayas. The Purānic Madra is located between Paruṣṇ and Vitastā (Ravi and Jhelum respectivelyb). 87 A Kāmboja Aupamanyava, pupil of Madragāra, is mentioned in the Vamsa Brāhmana. This points to a possible relationship of the Madras or more probably the Uttaramadras with the Kambojas, who probably had Irānan as well as Indian affinities. 87 The Madras had become experts in the art of Yajūa. The Madras an unimportant people in the Vedic age, gain importance in

^{63.} Rgveda 6, 3, 4, 7,

^{64.} Rgveda 6, 4, 4 22.

H. C, Ray, Madra; Journal of the Asiatic Society of Benga (N. S.); Vol XVIII (1922) Page 260.

^{66.} Altareva Brahmana, 8.14.

^{67.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T); Map facing Page 368.

^{68.} Mecdonell & Keith; Vedic Index; Vol. I. Page 138.

the Brāhmana and the Upaniṣadic age. Uddālaka Āruņi and Bhujju Lāḥyāyani had received instructions in sacrificial lore from one Patañchala Kāpya of the Madras, ⁶⁹ It appears that the Madrakas made alliance with the Irānāryans even in pre-Divodāsa times when they were struggling for supremacy in northern Iran. The Ambaşhas, the Kekayas, the Śrijayas and the Madrakas were Āṇayas of the Ikwāku-Ahi race.

5. SOME FAR-WESTERN AHIS.

Iranian Ahıs

The earliest battles of the Aryans when they descended over Iran through Pamir range from their northern home were fought on the borders of northern Iran. The military combats had taken place long before the times of Divodása and Sudás. The Iranaryan adversaries in that age have been referred to as Ahis in the Rgveda. They have also been referred to as the Raksas, the Panis, the Dānavas and the Dasyus. These peoples existed in ancient Iran; the far-western province of Bārata and Western. Bhārata. The scholars unanimously agree that they were the pre-Āryan non-Āryan peoples of an ient Iran and Bārata.

Kamboias

We have earlier seen that the Uttarimadras lived beyond the borders of the Himalayas. Kamboja was a country in the vicinity of the Uttarimadras. The Kambojan people had evolved the republican political system. Kamboja was a Janapada and so continued till Mahavīra's time. It is classed as republic amongst the Sixteen Mahājanapadas.⁷⁰ These celebrated peoples had their Janapada in the upper, Oxus region. Its capital Dvārkā has been identified with Darwaz in Pamir-Badakshan region. ⁷¹ Yāska considered the Kambojas as non-Āryans. He maintains that word Savati meaning to go is used by the Kambojas onlyIts modified form Sava is used

^{69.} Brhadaranyeka Upanişad, 3, 3 1; 3, 7, 1,

T. W. Rhys Davids, The Early History of the Buddhists (in Cambridge History of India), 1955, Page 153.

S. B. Choudhari; Ethnic settlements in Ancient India; 1955;
 Page 138.

by the Āryans. ⁷² This north eastern tribe of the Kambojas had always been mentioned in connection with the Yavanas, Sakas and the like. The Kambojas, hence, were non-Āryans. ⁷³ The Kambojas along with Pahlavas, Nāgas, Niṣādas, Dāsas, Dasyus, Raksas, Pāradas and Pulindas had always been outside the Āryan fold. ⁷⁴ Their association with Nāgas is not without historical significance.

Panni beoniged to the north-west quarter of India and hence had an accurate knowledge of the customs and dress of the Kambojas. Pānini speaks of the Kambojas as Munga or shaven headed. The Kambojas were in the habit of completely shaving their heads. ⁷⁶ We also find Munga people in eastern India along with Mallas, Magadhas and Videhas ⁷⁶ The Munda custom of completely shaving the head is an Austric custom. The Kambojas, thus, appear to have belonged to the proto-Australoid racial stock,

Gandharas

The Gāndhāra people are mentioned only once in the Rgweda. The actual word used is not Gāndhāra but Gāndhārin. Rājā Bhāvya, Bhavāyayya of Sānkhyāyana Śrauta Sūtra, ⁷⁷ is the husband of Lomašā, daughter of Bīthaspati. She, when her desires are assented to, clings as tenaciously as female weasel and who is ripe for enjoyment; yields Bhāvya infinite delight. Lomašā replied and prayed her husband to approach her and not to deem her immature as "I am covered with down like a ewe of the Gāndhārins." This Bhāvya dwelt on the banks of the Sindhu. ⁷⁸ Bhāvya was a patronymic of Svanaya who was the patron of Kakṣiyant. Ludwig thinks that Svanaya was the patron of Kakṣiyant. Ludwig thinks that Svanaya was

^{72.} Yaska; Nirukia, 2. 2.

G. P. Grierson; The Language of the Kambojas; Journal of the Royal Anatic Society; 1911 Pages 801-802.

^{74.} A. D. Pusalkar; op, cit (V. A.); Page 313.

^{75.} B. C. Law; op. cit (T. A. I.); Page 3.

^{76.} Sylvain Levi; op, cit; Page 87.

^{77.} Sankhyayana Srauta Sutra; 16. 11. 5.

^{78.} Rgveda 1, 18, 6, 1,

connected with the Nahuşas. 79 The Sindhus were Miechchhas. Nahusas were Ahis. Kakşıvant, son of Dirghatamas, was a Dāsa. Daughter of Brhaspati was married to a non-Aryan leader of Sindhu region.

Zimmer thinks that the Gandhara people were settled in the Vedic times on the south bank of the Kubha upto its mouth in the Indus and for some distance down the east side of the Indus itself. 80 Puranic tradition maintains that Gandhara, from the line of descent from Druhyu, gave his name to the Gandhara region. The Anavas, also according to the Puranic tradition, had pushed west the Druhyus upto Gandhara and established their suzerainty there. The Druhyus are given two lineages. The majority of the Puranas trace the Druhyus from Druhyu bimself. The Brahma and Hariyamsa Puranas divide it into two; assigning to Druhyu the successors down to Gandhara; and Dharma and the remainder to Anu. 81 It appears quite probable that when the Anus pushed west and established the regions of the Sivas, the Pakthas, the Bhalanas, the Alinas and Visanins, they also extended their sway over the Gandhaia proper. The Druhyus merged in the Anu's and the Anu's lineage was given to these mixed people also. The descendants of Anus or Druhyus; the Gandhara people belonged to the pre-Āryan non-Āryan Ahı sub-race.

Though Gāndhāra was forced into submisson in the early period it appears to have gained its freedom soon affer. Gāndhāra, like Mūjavants, Angas and Magadhas, was a disfavoured region for the Brahmāryans They cursed Gāndhāra to be visited by Takman fever. Sandhāras were a Dardic people 3 The Daradas were linked with Gāndhāra and Sivapur. They lived in the north-west frontier of Kāśmīra. Darad means mountain, shence, Dardic peoples and also the

⁷⁹ Macdonell & Keith; Vedic Index; Vol. II Page 103.

^{80.} Macdonell & Keith; Vedic Ir dex, Vol. I Page 219

^{81.} F. E. Pargiter, op. cit (A. I. H. T.); Page 108,

^{82.} Atharvayeda; 5, 22, 14.

^{83.} R. Shaffer; op. cit (E. A. I.); Page 29.

^{84.} B. C. Law; op. cit (T. A. I), Page 86.

Gandhara people were mountaineous people. One Naguajit, king of Gandhara, is reported to have been a contemporary of Nimi, king of Videha, Durmukha, king of Pāńchāla, Bhīma, king of Vidarbha and "Karkandu" king of Kalinga. His views did not conform to traditional Brāhmanism, 85 Uttarādhayayana Sitra states that Nagnajit, also the other kings; belonged to the Jama faith; after having placed their sons on the throne, they exerted themselves as Śramanas.86 Durmukha, king of Panchala, may be referred to the period of sixteen Mahaianapadas which may be placed probably early in the sixth century B. C. 87 Nagnant, hence, may be placed in the sixth century B. C. He appears to have adopted the faith of Pārsva lina. The name of the person may here also be suggestive of his blood. Looking to the nature of the territory. it would not be a hazardous presumption if we give Nagnatit Ahi nationality. Gändhäras, as just referred, belonged to the Ahi race. Ahi race appears to have a very close association with the Jaina faith since the dawn of history. Nagnatit was Nāga Mahāvīra was Nāga-putra. The Gāndhāras were the Nagas. It appears that some Gandharas had adopted the Brahmarvan faith as the above Devastuti of Bhavva suggests. But the stigma against the Gandharas still continued with full vehemence. This event suggests that the Gandharas, to a very large measure, had retained their freedom and their way. They did not give unto the Brahmarvan nushes and presses. The Gändhäras remained Ahı people till at least the middle of the first millennium B. C.

Pārāvatas

The Părăvatas were a people settled on the northern border of Gedrosia. They were originally mountaineers. Ludwig holds a similar view and Geldner recognises a people in

H. C. Rey Chowdhari; Political History of Ancient India; 1950;
 Pages 146-147.

H. Jacobi; Jain Sutras (Scared Books of the East Series), 1895;
 Vol. 45 page 07.

^{87.} H. C. Roychowdhari; op. cit; Page 95, 135,

them.88 The Saraswati has been referred to as Pārāvataghni. 89 This shows that the mountaneous people living about the origin of the Saraswati in the Himalayas upto its entrance in the plains near the Yamuna were also called Paravatas. One Paravata was an enemy of Indra. Indra plundered his wealth and distributed it amongst the kinsmen of the Rşi, 90 a worshipper and follower of Indra. The Paravatas have been included in the list of the victims, who were presumably all Brahmarvan adversaries, at the Aswamedha, 91 The Paravatas were known as people residing on the banks of the Yamuna in the Brahmana period, 92 The Pärävatas appear to have lingered in history till the Anukramnı period. One Paravata Kanva has been made the Rsi of the Royedic Stiktas 8, 2, 7:9 7 1 and 9, 7 2. The Kanyas were the dark non-Arvan people as shown later. The association of the Pārāvatas with the mountains of Gedrosia and with those to the east of Kāśmīra clearly, shows that they belonged to the Ahı racıal stock.

Ralhikas

The Bälhika, along with Gändhära, Kāpiśa and Kamboja, was a famous country of the trans-Indus region of India Kāpiśa related to Kafiristan, between the Kunar river and Hindukush mountain which separated it from Bälhika. The epic Bälhikas have been located somewhere near the Kuru-land but the Vedic Bälhikas are to be located fear away in the north. 93 This location of the Bälhikas further north beyond the Hindukush would place them somewhere near the Uttaramadras. In the epic and later literature, a country and its people, Bälhikas or Bälhikas are also mentioned. They have been located around Stalkot region in Punjab 94 They were different people from

^{88.} Macdonell & Keilh. Vedic Indix; Vol I page 519.

^{89.} Rgveda 6. 5. 12. 2.

^{90.} Rgveda 8, 10, 7, 6, 91. Sukla Yajurveda; 24-25,

^{92.} Pañchavimía Brahmana; 9. 4. 10.

^{93.} S. B. Choudhari; op. cit; Pages 108-133,

^{94.} S. B. Choudharl; op. cit; Page 117.

the northern most Bälhikas, living in the Punjab and the Indus regions in Brähmanic times. 95

The Balhikas have not been referred to in the Rgveda. The Atharvaveda mentions them along with the Müjavats and the Mahāvrsas. They are cursed with the visitations of fever Takman.** The Bālhikas and the Vālhikas were outside the pale of Āryandom.** Their association with the Mūjavats and the Mahāvrsas connects them with the Ahi racial stock.

Mujavants

The Müjavants were a people residing in the country of the same name to whom the fever Takman may visit along with the Balhikas and the Mahāvṛsas. ⁹⁸ Soma is calied Mūjavant as having born on the mountain Mūjavant. ⁹⁹ Mūjavant is equivalent to Muūjavant, ¹⁰⁰ a mountain in the Himalayas. Rudra is asked to go beyond the Mūjavatas rejoicing with his portion of offerings. ¹⁰¹ Sukla Yajurveda gives Mūjavatas for Mūjavats, meaning, thereby, apparently a hill tribe in the north-west Humalayas near Kambojas and Bālhikas The Mūjavant is people did not find favour with the Āryans of Irān and Bhārata They appear to have belonged to the mountainous Ahi racial stock

Mahāvi sas

The Mahāvṛṣa people are also cursed with the Mūjavants and Balhikas to be visited by fever Takman. The Mahāvṛṣas were a neighbouring tribe, looked down upon as gatherers of

- 95. Satspatha Brahmana; 1, 7, 3, 8,
- 96. Atharvaveda; 5. 22, 5, 7, 9.
- 97. B. C. Law; op. cit (T, A. I); Page 71.
- 98. Atharvaveda; 5. 22. 5, 7, 8, 14,
- 99. Rgveda 10, 3, 5 1.
- 100. Yaska; Nirukta; 9. 8.
- 101. Taittitrīya Samhitā; 1. 8. 6 2.
- 102. (1) Sukla Yajurveda; 3, 61.
 - (2) Ralph. T. H. Griffith; The Texts of the White Yajurveda; 1957, Page 29.

dung for fuel, on account of the lack of wood in their country. Los This shows that the Mahāvṛṣa country was in mountainous regions. The women of the Mūjavants, the Mahāvṛṣas and the Bālhikas are called Dāṣī and Sūdrā. The Takman fever is sought to be relegated to these regions not to seek the Dāṣī and the Sūdrā. Los This shows that the peoples of Bālhika, Mūjavant and Mahāvṛṣa were under the matriarchal social system. They belonged to the racial stock of the Dāṣis and the Dāṣss. They belonged to the Ahī racial stock of the Dāṣis and the Dāṣss. They belonged to the Ahī racial stock of the Dāṣis and the Dāṣss. They belonged to the Ahī racial stock of the Dāṣis and the Dāṣss.

6. SOME WESTERN AHIS

HarlyUpiya

It has earlier been noticed that the military troops of the Dăśarājāa confederacy, collectively called Bharatas, under the leadership of Viśvāmirta, crossed the joint stream of the Vipāš and the Sutudrī. The junction of the Vipāš and the Sutudrī, in earlier times, was a bit lower than at the present site. The famous archaeological site of Harappa is very near to it in the west. The Bharatas lived in eastern Punjah, specially round the banks of the Sarasvati and the Dṛsadvatī, and had marched to west to meet the Brahmāryan foreign invasion. This word Harappa had contained in itself the old remniscences of the important city of Hariyūpīya mentioned in the Rgveda. 106

Vzchivants

The city of Hariyūpīya was situate on the eastern part of the river Yavyāvati. Sāyana considers Hariyūpīya and Yavyāvati as identical. 1008 He gives Hariyūpīya as a city or a river. Sāyana appears to be confused here. It appears that Hariyūpīya was a city on the river Yavyāvatī. Yavyāvatī has also been mentioned as a river in the Panchvimsa Brāhmana. 107 Hillebrandt identifies Yavyāvatī with one in Iran.

^{103.} W. D. Whitney; Atharvaveda Samhitz; 1962; Page 259.

^{104.} Atharvaveda 5, 22, 6-7.

^{105,} Rgveda 6. 3, 4, 5,

^{106.} Rgweda Samhitz (V. S. M.); Vol. III. page 106.

^{107.} Pancha-Vimia Brihmana; 25. 7. 2.

the Djob (Zhobe), near the Iryāb (Haliab) but there is no reason to accept this identification. 108

Yavyāvati is Paruşņī

The internal evidence from the Rayeda provides us with a clue which may help us in identifying the river Yavvāvatī. The reading of the two Rgvedic Suktas 6. 3. 4 and 7. 2. 1 provide us the clue. The Rgyedic Sükta 7, 2, 1, parrates the actual events of the Dāśarājāa battle at Parusnī and elsewhere. Chayamana was a descendant of Prthvi. Abhvavartin was son of Chayamana. Indra favourd Abhayavartin. He destroved the race of Varasikha, killing the descendants of Vrchivants. Indra subjected the Vrchivants of Abhyavartin and gave up Turvasa to Srniava, the ally of Indra in this battle, 109 This event happened on the river Yavyavati. In the battle hymn given in the Rgveda 7. 2. 1. we find that Kavi, son of Chavamana, was killed by the opposing Bharativa forces. The evil-disposed and stupid (enemies of Sūdas) crossing the humble Parusni river had broken down its banks and killed Kavi. Turvasas preceded the army; i.e. the Turvasas had been in front line of the combating army; but they, like the Matsyas, Bhrgus and Druhyus were quickly assailed 110 and perhaps taken as prisoners of war. The joint reading of these passages in the two suktas lead us to believe that when the Dāśarāiña battle began between the Brahmarvan forces under Sudas and Indra and the Bharatīvan forces under Viśvāmitra: the Chavamanas and the Turvaśas were on the front lines and faced each other. Kavi Chavamana was kulled. Turvasas were annihilated and captured. Harrytipīya was given to Abhyavartin Chayamāna in compensation of his real brother's sacrifice in war and the captive Turvasas were handed over to another ally, the Srniavas. This battle was fought on the banks and on the waters of the famous river Parusni. This is a very reliable piece of the Rgvedic evidence that helps us in identifying the Yavvāvatī with

¹⁰⁸ Macdonell and Keith; Vedic Index; Vol. II. page 188.

^{109.} Rgveda 6. 3. 4. 5, 7, 8.

^{110.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 6, 8.

the Parusni. Yāska maintains that Irāvatī is called Parusni, i.e. having joints. (shining) winding, 111 Pischel suggests that the name is derived from the "flocks" (Parus) of wool, not from the bends of the river, as understood by the Nirukta, or from its reeds, as Roth suggests,112 But the view of Pischel also appears to be wrong. The Parusni was a great river. It had irrigated vast lands and grown good crops. The Harappans were mainly an agricultural people and not the pastoral people like the Aryans. It may be true that they might have flocks of sheep also but that was only a secondary profession with them. The name, if at all it has certain geographical suggestion, must be related to some agricultural product The name Yavyāvatī itself suggests the crop mainly grown in the region watered by the Parushi; i.e., the yava or barley The Yavvavati was so called because it helped the people to grow good cereal crops of barley. The earlier name of Parusni was Yavayāvatī as its later name had become Irāvatī appears that the Ravi was known as Yavyavati before the Brahmārvan invasions. Parusnī after the Brahmārvan victory and Iravatî in later times which became Ravî in modern times Yavyāvatī, Parusnī, Irāvatī and Rāvī is the chronological sequence. The Yavyavati was the Parusni in the Rgvedic age. Harryliplya was the capital of the Vrchivants, constituent of the Dāśarājāa confederacy.

Vaikarņas

Vaikarna people appear only once in the Rgveda in the Marative details of the events that happened in the Dăsarăjña War. Sudãs overthrew twentyone Jana of the two Vaikarna republican leaders. 113 Sāyana comments the word Vaikarna as a Janapada. 114 It appears that twentyone small local republics; a part of the two Pańchaksitis; or their leaders had been killed by Indra in the Dăśarājňa war. Druhyus had

^{111. (1)} Lakshman Sarup; op. cit. (N & N); page 147.

^(2) Yzska, Nirukta; 9. 26.

^{112.} Macdonell and Keith; Vedic index Vol. I page 499.

^{113,} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 11,

^{114,} Rgveda Samhita (V. S. M.); Vol. III. page 308.

been drowned in the waters. The cities of the Anus and the-Druhyus had been demolished. 115 This clearly connects the twentyone Jana with the Anus and the Druhyus. The Anus and the Druhyus were two great republics; the two of the Panchaksitis. The two Vaikarnas may, here, be identified with the two Anu and Druhyu Janapadas. Zimmer's conjecture that they were a joint people, the Kuru-Krivis, 116 appears imporbable. The Kuru tribe did not exist during the Dāśarājān period. The Kuru tribe was evolved as hybrid mixture of the victors and their vanquished people who coalesced together. The Krivis never were a constituent of the Dāśarājāa confederacy. They resided in the Sindhu and the Askini regions where no battle of the Dāśarājāa war was fought. The Kuru-Krivis were never associated with the Dasaraina war hence they can not be identified with the Vaikarnas. The only possible interpretation of the Vaikarnas, in the present context, makes them the republican Anu and Druhyu people.

Krivis

The Krivi people were settled on the Sindhu and the Aksini. ¹¹⁷ The word Krivi has been used in the Rgyeda for about a dozen times. It has mostly been used in the sense of a well but the sense of people is also quite clear. Indra struck Krivi with thunderbolt and consigned him to eternal slumber on the earth. ¹¹⁸ Indra has overcome Krivi in conflict by his prowess. The Krivis appear to be a minor people who had some uneventful skirmishes with the foreign invaders. They were soon subjugated. They joined the foreign invaders in the regions, of the Sindhu and the Aksini and prospered there under Maruts patronage who bestowed happiness upon them. ¹¹⁹ The Krivis appear to be non-Aryan adversaries of the Sindhu region, later dubbed as a Micchchha country.

^{115.} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 12, 13.

^{116.} Macdonell and Keith; Vedic Index; Vol. II page 327.

^{117,} Macdonell and Kelth: Vedic Index: Vol. I page 198,

^{118.} Rgveda 2, 2, 6, 6,

^{119.} Rayeda S. S. S. 24-25.

Dirghatamas, Leader of Krivis

Sāyana associates Gotama with Krivi where he translates the word as "well." Dīrghatamas who later became Gotami was the son of Uchathya and Mamatia. He married Dāsī Uśijā and had Kakṣivant as son. 121 Dīrghatamas is famous as Māmateya and Kākṣivant as Auśija Dīrghatamas, the blackest and the darkest, and his son, thus, appear to have been associated with the matriarchal social system of the Dāsī people. The matriarchal social system to which Dīrghatamas belonged was a pre-Āryan non-Āryan social institution. 122

When the Krivis were defeated, their leador Dirghatamas entered in treaty of peace with the victors under Maruts who provided or preserved him for the Krivis. 123 Some of the Dasas, the kinsmen of Dirghatamas, did not relish the idea and hurled Dirghatamas down in maternal waters. He was saved to become the Brahma of those who seek to obtain the object of their works, 124 The maternal waters, according to Savana, may mean the well-waters associated with Dirghatamas, the Gotama. It appears that Dirghatamas was thrown into a well by the Dasas He was not thrown into any river. The assumption of a river appears to be absurd. This event took place in the Sindhu region. The river associated with Dirghatamas in the Peranas took him to Anga country. No river goes from the Sindhu to Anga. The Puranic rhapsodists could as well have thrown him into the well following Sāyana. The association of Krivis with Dīrghatamas is well established.

One legend about Gautama given in the \$advim's-Brāhmaṇa throws much light on the Gotamā's race problem. The Devas and the Asuras contended with each other. Between them Gotama practised austerities. Indra went upto him and spoke

^{120.} Rgveda Sambitz (V. S. M.), Vol. 111 page 648.

^{121.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T); page 158, 161.

D. D. Kausambi; Origin of Brahmana Gotras; I. B. B. R. A. S. (N. S.) Vol. 26 pages 41-42.

^{128,} Rgveda 8, 3, 8, 24,

^{124.} Rgveda 1. 22. 2. 2. 5-6.

"Do you act as spy for us here?" "all can not do so," he amwered. "Then let me go in your shape" said Indra. Indra went in the shape of Gotama. 130 Indra in the shape of Gotama deceived them. Asuras considered Gotama their own kinsmas. The association of Dirghatamas, the Gotama, with matriarchal system, with the Dāsas, the Dāsās and the Asuras and with dark, black skin clearly makes him a scion belonging to the Ahı racial group. Dirghatamas was a Krivi leader. The Krivis, therefore, were the non-Āryan Bhāratiya people belonging to the Ahı race residing in the Sindhu and Aksian regions.

Matevas

The Matsyas were a people of note. ¹²⁶ They took part in the Dásarájña war. They along with the Birgus and the Drihyus, ¹²⁷ with the Turvasas in their front, offered opposition to the troops of Sudás and Indra but they were routed. They were connected with the Vasása and the Salvas. ¹²⁶ The Matsyas do not find place amongst the Purafine tribes. The conjecture of Pargiter that they were probably Yadavas ¹²⁹ does not find any corroboration and sound proof. The Matsyas were associated with the non-Aryan Salvas. They were also associated with Usīnaras. ¹³⁰ The Vasa might have been an Anava tribe. The Matsyas are not related to any of the Pañchajiath, It appears reasonable to assume that, like Ajás, Sigrus and others, they belonged to the Ahi race. The Matsyas, the non-sacrificing people, later coalesced with their victors. In the Brāhmanic period, we find Dhyssan Dvattavana performed the Brāhmanic period, we find Dhyssan Dvattavana performed.

^{125.} W. B. Bellee; Şadvimsa Brahmanas; 1, 1, 24; page 19.

¹²b. (1) Gopatha Brahmana 1, 2, 9.

^(2) Satapatha Brithmana 13. 5. 4. 9.

^(2) Kauştıaki Upanişad 4, 1,

^(4) A. C. Das; Rgvedic culture; 1925; pages 162-163.

^{127.} Rgveda 7. 2, 1, 6,

^{128.} Gopatha Brahmana 1, 2, 9,

^{129.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T) page 118.

^{130.} Gopatha Brāhmaņa 1, 2, 9.

ming sacrifice. ¹³¹ The Matsya territory comprised of Alwar, Bharatpur and Jaipur. The Matsyas, after coalescence, are alleged to have become, along with the Kurus, the Päāchālas, and the Sursenas, a constituent people of the Brahmarjucésa. ¹³² They were not a constituent of the Brahmavarta country which comprised of the holy land between the Saraswati and the Dṛṣadvatī It shows that the Matsyas coalesced with the Brahmāryans long after their defeat in the Dāṣarājūā war, probably in the seventh century, B. C.

Śalvas

The Salvas are not mentioned in the Reveda. They were closely associated with the Matsya people. The Salvas inhabited the vicinity of the Kuru and the Trigarta at the western foot of Aravali. 183 Their territory was situate near Mt. Abu. The Salvas were a people of note and were called as Dānavas and Dariyas. 184 The Salvas, thus, appear to have belonged to the Ahr race.

Simvus

The Simyu people were defeated by Sudās in the Dāsarājān battle at the Parusni, ¹³⁵ Indra attacked the Dasyus and Simyus slew them with his thundurbolt. The association of Simyus with the Dasyus clearly indicates that they were pre-Āryan Bhāratiyans belonging to the Ahi race.

Ajās: Sigrus Yakşus

The associated peoples; the Ajās, the Sigrus and the Yaksus, under the leadership of Biteda, met the forces of Sudās and Indra in the Dāšarājāa war near or on the Yamunā and they were defeated. ¹³⁶ This battle marked the final phase of the Dāšarājāa war and the combined military opposition of the Bhāratīya confederating peoples, under the leadership

^{131.} Satapatha Brahmana 13. 5. 4. 9.

^{132.} Manusmṛti; 2 19, 7. 193.

^{133,} S. Levi; op. cit; page 80.

^{134.} F. E Pargiter; op, cit; (A. I. H. T) page 290.

^{135.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 5.

^{136.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 19.

of Viśwämitra, was finally annihilated here. This final battle was fought near Ahasthala, the capital of the Ahi people, and the military conquest of Baārata by the foreign invaders was complete here. Sigrus and Yakşus were the non-faryans. 187 The Ajās, the Sigrus and the Yakşus were the non sacrificing people belonging to the Ahi race.

Kanvas

The Kanvas appear in the Reveda associated with Atri and Kaksivat, 198 They also appear along with Dadhvanch, Angiras, Priyamedha and Manu, 139 Kanya had gone blind. Nāsatyas rendered effective aid to Kanya when blinded. 140 This evidence of Kanya's blindness and Năsatyas help to him in the time of distress comes from Kaksivat Gautami of the Ahı race who is the Rsı of this Sükta. The Kanva-Nāsatya contacts took place during the age of Kasu Chaidva whose donation has been eulogised in this hymn. Kanva was, in the beginning, an embryo-eating and life-obstructing evil being. The association of Kanva with Kaksivat, Atri and Chedi suggests him to be ofpre-Arvan non-Arvan origin. Manu was the father of Brahmanical institution of ritualised sacrifices after the Dăśarājňa war. Kasu Chaidva is also a post-Dăśarājňa war figure as earlier noticed. Hence the first association of the Kanyas appear to be in the eleventh century B C.

Dark Pre-Aryans

The Puranic tradition maintains that the Kanvas sprang from Ajamtdha and the first mentioned Kanva is called a Kasyapa. 142 Ajamtdha was a Paurava and Kasyapa was the progenitor of Ahi. Kanva belonged to the non-Aryan Ikavaku— Ahi race. Kanva, the progenitor of Kanvas, was a dark pre-

^{187.} Hopkins; Notes on Dyaus, Vişau. Varuna and Rudra; I A.O S. Vol. 16 page CLIV.

^{138,} Rgveda 5, 3, 9, 5,

^{139.} Reveda 1, 20, 6, 9.

^{140,} Rgveda 8, 1, 5, 23: 1, 17, 3, 7,

^{141,} Atharvaveda: 2, 25, 1, 3, 5,

^{142.} F. B. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 227.

Äryan. 148 Those Kanvas who adopted the Brahma way were hailed and those who did not were demonized. The Vedic literature affords evidence of both the kinds of treatments meted to the converted and the unconverted Kanwas.

Their Habitation

The Kanva's association with Kasu Chaidya suggests that their habitation might be around the Sarasvati-Drsadvati region. It should have been some desert region as suggested by the Rgveda. Kanvas are spoken to have attracted Indra by their praises. Indra, like the Gaura hastening to the pool filled with water in the desert; goes quickly and drinks freely with the Kanvas. 144 The Rsi of this Sukta is Devatithi of the family of Kanva Two words Gaurah and Irinam are very important in this Rc. Sayana comments upon Irina as a desert (Nistrinam Tatākadešam) which is correct comments upon the word Gaura as deer (Gaura mreah) 145 which is worng. White deer are seldom found in deserts. The word Gaura is a Rajasthani coloquial word which means the "grazing herd of cows." The Raj asthanis residing south of Suratgarh town in Gang anagar District of Rajasthan State still today use the word Gaura for "a grazing field of fodder" or for "the herd of cows going to a grazing field." It appears reasonable to assume that the Kanvas inhabited the desertregion to the south of the Sarasvati Drsadvati joint stream which still is and had been a desertregion since the Revedic age.

Yajña becomes a Peaceful Weapon

The Kanvas became great exponents of ritualised sacrificial system of Manu in the begining of the eleventh century B C. They helped ladra who, through their aid, became accomplisher of sacrifice

The Kanvas declared all weapons

¹⁴³ D. D. Kofambi; Origin of the Brithmana Gotras; L.B.B.R.A.S. Vol. 26 (1950) page 44.

¹⁴⁴ Rgveda 8 1. 4. 2. 3.

^{145.} Rgveda Samblix (V. S. M.); Vel. III page 548.

needless. ¹⁴⁶ This Rc reflects a great historical event. The black skinned Kanu converts had brought with them the spiritual experiences of the land. They helped Indra to evolve a policy of peace and win. The military might was no longer necessary and it always reacts in a violent opposition. The hearts and minds instead the bodies of the vanquished had to be won for making the victory permanently secure. The Kanvas prevailed upon Indrat o see the futility of military arms in the then context in Bhārata. The Yajātic way could become an effective weapon to win the hearts and minds of the defeated adversaries. Retualised Yajāta now took the place of weapons of violence in cementing the political victory won by the Brahmāryans.

The Kanyas, on the admission of their own progenitor, were a timid people and wealth desiring, 147 The Aswins present fairskinned women to black Kanya. On the fulfilment of this event, Kanva regains his sight. The regaining of sight, shorn off the gloss, simply means that he regained the Brahmarvan sight and being unable to see (through distress and turmoil), abondoned his old Bharativan sight. The event narrates the social conversion of the Kanvas to the Brahmäryan Yainic way. The Kanyas were black skinned and Kanva was Kṛṣṇa ṛṣi. 148 They, the Kanvas thenceforward, became great protige of Indra. They glorified him140 and through him obtained the friendship of all the minor Devas. They offered Soma profusely to Indra and the other Devas. 150 The Kanvas not only became subjects of Indra, they also began to magnify Indra's wisdom, manhood and strength. 151 The element of falsehood surreptitiously is introduced. Indra and the minor Devas, in return, extended

^{146.} Rgveda 8. 2. 13.

^{147.} Rgvoda 1. 8. 4. 7; 1. 9. 6. 4; 8. 2. 2. 18.

^{148.} Rgveda 1, 17, 2, 8; 8, 9, 5, 3-4.

^{149.} Rgveda 8. 2. 1. 3 4; 8. 1. 2. 38,

^{150.} Rgveda 1 9. 4, 10; 8, 1. 2, 22; 8, 2, 3, 4; 8, 2, 1, 8; 8, 2, 1, 45,

^{151.} Rgvoda 8, 2, 1, 21; 8, 2, 2, 8,

their protections to Kanva for accepting the Agni or Yajūa way of life. ¹⁵² They were also bestowed upon great material prosperity. ¹⁸³ The dark Kanvas of the Ahi race rendered great services to their new masters.

Bharadvājas

The Bharadvājas are a people of note in later times, though they are very insignificant in the Rgvedic times. Bharadvāja is mentioned only once in the Rgveda though the whole sixth Mandala of the Rgveda is generally ascribed to Bharadvāja or his descendants. The word Bharadvāja is used only as a simile. The Usas is prayed to dawn upon the worshipper with riches as she did on Bharadvāja. ⁵⁴⁸ Bharadvāja appears to have been bestowed upon the riches Usas possessed. Bharadvāja of the Rgveda is not associated either with Dirghatamas or Brhaspati or Bharata to Bharata.

Bharadvāja, according to the Purānic tradition, is the son of Mamata, wife of Uchathya, mother of Dirghatamas, not from Uchathya, but from Brhaspati, who consorted with her, possibly against her will, when she was heavy with Dirghatamas and she had to carry and develop two embryos implanted on her by Uchathya and Brhaspati and gave birth to both possibly at about the same time. This Brhaspati and Bharadvāja are made to have belonged to Vaisāli where Marutta of the Ikşvāku dynasty ruled. This Marutta was adopted to the Turvasas. Marutta adopted Paurava Dusyanta. This Dusyanta had a son named Bharata who adopted Bharadvāja, 155 The racial stock of the Purus and the Turvasas has been earlier established. This Bharadvaja is also referred as a purohita of Divodāsa of Kāśi. 156 The Rgveda knows no Divodāsa, either of Kāśī or of Pañchāla but knows only Tṛtsu Divodāsa, the supreme commander under Indra, of the

^{152.} Rgveda I, 8, 1, 10-11; 1, 8, 4 9; 1, 9, 4, 5; 1, 16, 7, 5,; 8 2, 2, 18; 8, 2 3, 20; 1, 9, 2, 5; 1, 4, 3, 2, 8, 1, 19,

^{183.} Rgveda 1. S. 1. 17, 1. S. 1. S; S. 5. S. 3.

^{154.} Rgveda 6. 6. 4. 6.

^{155.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.); pages 158-159; 163-164.

^{156,} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.); page 154.

invading Brahmarvan forces. The Rayeda does not mention Bharadvāja either with Divodāsa or with his grandson Sudās. Bharadvāja is associated with the age of ritualised Yajñas which developed in the post-Dāśarāiña times. He is not mentioned as a Rsi but only as a minor worshipper. The association of Bharadvāja with Dirghatamas or Pūrus or Vaisalis leads us to believe that he belonged to the dark pre-Ārvan Iksvāku Ahı blood.

Atris

The Atris claim an important position in the Rgveda. As many as fortytwo Atra Rsis are Mantra-seers. The Atras do not belong to the four original Brahmana Gotras. The Atri racial group descended from one Prabhākara who married ten daughters of Bhadrāśva or Raudrāśva, a Paurava king 157 They stood in close relationship with Privamedhas, 108 The Priyamedhas belonged to the Paurava line. 159 They were associated with Kanyas, 160 Gotamas 161 and Kaksivants, 162 The Atris (Rsi Śvāvāśva of the Śūkta is an Atreva) were spread over from the Parusni to the Yamuna, 163 The Atris are not associated with any major or minor historical event. They were minor people in the Rayedic times. Their association with the Iksvākus. Pūrus or Ahis leads us to presume that they belonged to the non-Arvan pre-Arvan Bharativa racial stock. They appear in the Rayeda during the post-Dāśarājāa period. They are priests and worshippers of Agni. The Atris, along with the large mass of the Bharatas, joined their new masters and adopted their way.

^{157.} F. E. Parguer; op. cit (A. I. H. T); page 228.

^{158, (1)} Rgveds 1, 9, 2, 3; 1, 20, 6, 9; 8, 1, 5, 25, (2) Aitareya Brahmana 8, 22,

^{159.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T); page 245.

^{160.} Rgveds 1, 17, 3, 7; 5, 3, 9, 4,

^{161.} Rgveda 1, 24, 4, 5; 5, 4, 8, 12,

^{162.} Rgveda 10, 11, 15, 1,

^{163.} Rgveda 5. 4. 8. 9; 5. 4. 8. 17.

CHAPTER VI

THE PRACHYA IKSVAKUS

1. THE PĂNCHĀLAS

Prachya Bharata

When the Brahmāryans conquered the western Bhārata stated in the Brahmārvatar region; the policy they adopted was to win over their vanquished adversaries to their way of life so that the victory won may be consolidated. For three hundred years or so, they did not take to military expeditions in the east. King Janamejaya, of the hybrid Kuru tribe, ruled from Taxila to Asandivat or Ahisthala circa 850 B. C. ² The whole of the Prāchya Bhārata was populated by the proto-Australoid (ksyākus by this time

The dwellers to the east of Ahısthala were known to the Brahmäryans as Prächyas. The word Prächya does not occur in the Vedic literature. It first occurs in the Altareya Brähmana, composed in the seventh century B. C., mentioned in the list of the peoples, 2. The nearest noted Prächya people to the Kuru region apart from the minor Chedi and Vasa peoples, were the Päńchälas. There were no Udichya Päńchälas. The Prächya Päńchälas. There were no Udichya Päńchälas. The Prächya Päńchälas owold therefore, refer only to the Päńchälas of the East; belonging to the eastern region. Oldenberg includes only Käślis, Kosalas, Videhas and perhaps Magadhas as Prächyas. Till the Satapatha Brähmana times circa sixth century B C, s all the Prächyas were considered by the Brahmäryans as Aurras i.e., non-Äryans. The word Asura had now become transferred from

H. C Roychowdhari; Political History of Anotent Indra, 1950; pages 36, 39.

^{2.} Aitareya Brahmana 8. 14.

A. Weber; The History of Indian Literature; 1961; page 34, Note 25.

A. A. Macdonell and A. B. Ketth; Vedic Index; 1868; Vol. II page 46.

^{5.} Satapatha Brahmana 13, 8, 1, 5; 13, 8, 2, 1,

she Beshmärpan people to their adversaries, the Bhärattyan people. In these Prächya Asura regions, Agni was very difficult to be built up; * i.e. it was very difficult to establish the Yajtac way of life. The peoples of Anga, Vanga, Kalinga, Puqdra, Suhma and Prägjyotişa were all Asura regions as notsced earlier. The word Prächya, thus, refers to the whole of eastern Bhäratta from Padchāla to Prāgjyotişa. All the people from Padchāla to Prāgjyotişa.

The Prāchyas may be divided into Madhyadeśa Prāchyas and the Purāna Prāchyas. The Madhyadeśa Prāchyas in-cluded Paŭchāla, Kāši, Kośala, Videha and Magadha regions. This region comprises Oldenberg's Prāchya minus Paūchāla. The Prāchāla is the first important Prāchya territory.

Federation of five Peoples

The word Pāhchāla denotes a federation of five peoples. The chemical fusion of these five peoples made them one nation, but the separate identity of each of the five peoples afterwards went out of history. History knows them no more after this period. The Satispatha Bráhmana manitans that Krzu was the older name of the Pāfichālas. But it also includes the Turvasas among the Pāfichālas. This shows that Krzu were not the only people who constituted the Pāfichālas; they might have been a predominating constituent of them. They probably constituted in the probably constituted in the probably constituted the Pāfichālas; the Kesins, the Kesins, the Krjusyas and the Somakas.

Panchalas are Iksyaku-Ahis

We have earlier noticed that the Krivis belonged to the Ahi racial stock. The Turvaéas were of the Ikşvaku-Ahi race. The Sṛṇhayas were a branch of the Anavas who belonged to the Ikşvaku-Ahi race. The Puranas make the Pānchālas a younger branch of the Pūrus. The Kesins and the Somakas might have been some unimportant sections of the Pūrus. The

^{6.} Satapatha Bekhmana 9, 5, 1, 64.

^{7.} Satapatha Brahmana 18. 5, 4, 7.

^{8.} Satapatha Brithmane 13, 5, 4, 16.

^{9.} H. C. Roychowdharl; op. cit; page 71.

constitution of the Panchala people make them a people pre-Aryan and non-Aryan. Perhaps it was for this reason, that the neighbouring Kurus forged an alliance with them. The Panchalas were peacefully converted. They were not conquered by military might. The Panchalas entered in close alliance with the Kurus and pursued common objectives. They both became famous in history as Kuru-Pāfichālas. Kuru-Panchala alliance was effected in the Aitareya Brahmana period in the seventh century. B. C. It knows kings of Kuru-Pāfichālas 10 Pafichāla king Durmukha made extensive conquests in every direction. 11 Jaina tradition knows one Brahmadatta, king of the Panchalas and one Dvimukha, also a king of the Panchalas. 13 This Dvimukha may be identified with Durmukha Double mouthed (Dyimukha) is always a bad-mouthed (Durmukha) Pravāhana Jawali was the most famous Panchala king in the Upnisadic period. The earliest Upanisadic period may be placed in the middle of the sixth century B C. Pravähana Jaivali engaged in philosophical discussions with the Yainic scholars Svetaketu went to the Parisad of the Panchalas 13 over which Pravahana Jaivali was presiding Parisad was the executive organ of the pre-Āryan Bhāratīva Jana-republics 14 Švetaketu is also spoken of as going to the Samiti of the Panchalas 15 He imparts the Yaifuc scholar Svetaketu the Doctrine of Transmigration of Soul. 16 This Jaivali, a Pānchāla, belongs to Kasyapa Gotra. 17 The Pravahaneyas are still found in the list of

^{10.} Astareya Brahmana: 8, 14,

^{11.} Aitareya Brahmana 8, 23,

Hermann Jacobi; Jain Stirms (S. B. E. Series); Vol 43 pages 60, 61, 87.

^{13.} Crhadaranyaka Upanişad 6, 2, 1.

^{14.} R. C. Jain, The Most Ancient Aryan Society; 1964; Page 30.

^{15.} Chhandogya Upanisad 5, 3, 1,

M Winternits; A History of Indian Literature; 1989; Vol. I Part I page 201.

D. D. Koézmbi: Origin of Brithmana Gotras; I. B. B. R. A. S. Vol. 23 (1947); page 26.

Bhārdvājas. Bhardvāja was the son of Mamatā, mother of Dīrghatamas; not from his father Uchathya but from his brother Bṛḥaspati who consorted with her and his son was Bhardwāja. ¹⁸ Dīrghatamas as noticed earlier, belonged to the Krivi section of the Ahi race. Dīrghatamas was acreat spirtutal leader who gave spirtutal contents to the Brāhmaṇical institutions. There is nothing strange in it that his descendant Pravāhaṇa Jaivali imparted the knowledge of the transmigration of soul to the Yājūtic scholars. Pravāhaṇa Jaivali was a great Krivi who belonged to the pre-Āryan non-Āryan Bhāratīya Ahi sub-race. The Pāūchālas, thus, appear to have been constituted of the Ikṣvāku and Ahi elements wherein Ikṣvāku appear to have been in the majority while the more important intellectual role was played by the Ahis.

2 THE MADHVADES A IKSVĀKUS

Region of Pure Iksvākus

When we proceed further east of the Panchala region, we meet the region of pure Iksvakus. Kosala had been the seat of the Iksvākus since remotest antiquity. Ayodhyā had always been acclaimed as the most ancient city. The Brahmanical. the Jama and the Buddhist sources all hold Ayodhyā as a holy city. It had rivalled Harappa and Moheniodaro in the third and second millenniums B. C. Jaina tradition establishes the origin of the Iksvāku race at Avodhyā in Kośala even before Manu Vaivasvata. The Brahmanical tradition maintains that Kośala had been an Iksvāku region after Manu Vaivasvata. The epic Kośala comprised the territories of modern Oudh which lay to the east of the Kurus and the Panchalas and to the west of the Videhas from whom it was separated by the river Sadānīrā, probably the Gandak, 19 Kośala was divided in two parts in Vedic and the pre-Vedic times; the northern and the southern. While the northern Kośala is the country of Oudh

^{18.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 158.

B. C. Law; Historical Geography of Ascient India, 1954; page 99.

to the north of the Ganges, the southern Kośala extends on one side upto Berar and Orissa and on the other upto Amarkantak and Bastar. The region of Chattisgarh along the upper course of Mahānadi is its nucleus. ²⁰

Kośalas

The earliest reference of an Iksvāku prince is given in Tenth Mandala of the Rgyeda,21 and also in the Atharvaveda,22 The earliest Iksvāku leaders are Purukutsa and his levirate son Trasadasyu, Tryaruna was also an Aiksvāka, 23 The most important Iksvāku personages, known to the Bhāratīva tradition, are Dasaratha and Rama. They find mention in the Brahmanical, Jaina and Buddhist literature. The word Dasaratha finds mention in the Rgveda 24 but it is not a proper name here. Sāyana translates Daśaratha as ten chariots 25 Rāma is mentioned as Asura in the Tenth Mandala of the Rgveda. 28 Buddhist Dasaratha Jataka makes Dasaratha, a ruler of Vārānasī or Kāsī; who was father of Rāma and Sītā, 27 It appears that Kāśī was a part of Kośala in the age of Rama. The Kośalas were connected with Kaśi and Videhas. 28 Suddhodana and Siddhartha were Iksvakus. 29 Buddha has been referred as Kośalan 30 The Iksvakus ruled over the land of Kośala. Branches of the family are represented as ruling at Kuśinārā, at Mithilā and at Viśalā or Vaišāli. 31 Kośala Videhas are also said to be the offsprings

^{20.} S Levi; op. cit page 64.

^{21.} Rgveda 10 4, 18 6.

^{22.} Atharvaveda 14. 3. 9. 9

^{23.} Pańchavimsa Brahmana 13. 3. 12

^{24.} Rgveda 1 18.6 4.

^{25.} Rgveda Samhua (V. S. M.) Vol I, page 799. 26. Rgveda 10. 8 3 14

B. A. Kauśalyāyana, Daśratha Jātaka (461); Jātaka; 1951;

^{28.} Sankhyayana Srauta Sutra; 16. 29. 5.

^{29.} H. C. Roychowdhari; op. cit; page 102,

^{30.} Majjhima Nikāya, 2. 124.

^{31.} H. C. Raychowdharl, op. cit; page 100.

of Videgha Māthava. ³² This very important Brāhmanic statement negatives the Purānic theory of Ikvakus' decem from Manu. This legend of Manu's descent had not been fabricated till the sixth century B. C. This simple statement of the Satapatha Brāhmania establishes the historical event that Videgha Māthava was the first Brahmāniyan leader who first Brāhmanised Kośala and Videha. ³³ The Brāhmanisation of Kośala, a bit earlier, and of Videha, a bit later, took place in the seventh century B. C. Kātīs

Käsi was bounded by the rivers Varunä and 'Asi respectively on the north and the south which gave rise to the name of its capital city Väränasi. Käsi was an important Mahājanapada in the sixth century B C. It was conquered by Kosaia some time before Buddha. ³⁶

Käsi is the birth place of Pärśva, the twenty third Jaina Tirthaimkara. He was son of Vāmāderi, queen of the mighty Ikṣyāku king Aśvasena of Vārānasi. ³⁰ He flourished circa 877 B. C. ³⁰, Vedic literature does not know Käśi before the period of the Satapatha Brāhmana. The Käšis are not mentioned with favour even in this period. The Käšis were raided by the Yajāk people. The Käši people did not kindle sacred fire in this age. ³⁷ We have no evidence to believe that they kindled the sacred fire before. The Ikṣvākus of Käši were not subjected to the sacred fire till Satapatha times. Even in the Upaniṣadic age; the kings of Käši did not take up to sured fire Ajātāstīrā, king of Käši imparts the

^{32, (1)} Satapatha Brahmana; 1, 4, 1, 10,

⁽²⁾ J. Eggeling. The Satapatha Brahmana, 1963, pages XLII & 104.

^{33.} Śatapatha Brahmana; 1, 4, 1, 10,

H G, Law; North India in sixth century B. C. The age of Imperial Unity; 1953 page 4.

³⁵ M. Bloomfield; The Life and stories of the Jain Saviour Parsvanatha: 1919: page 106.

³⁶ H C Roychowdh arl; op. cit; page 97.

^{37.} Satapatha Brah mapa 13, 5, 4, 19.

knowledge of Atman to Drpta-bālākı of the Gargya clan; 38 but he is in no way still associated with the Yajnic fire. It appears that independent Kāśī was never brahmanised. Independent Kāśī rather opposed the brahmanisation of Kośala and Videha, Janaka of Videha had accepted the Yainic fire. Ajātašatru of Kāśī really disapproved of the persons going to Janaka of Videha 39 whom he did not consider a fit man to impart spiritual knowledge. Ajātaśatru considered that he rightly knew Brahman as self which Janaka perhaps did not know. Janaka, for his personal ends, had established monarchy in Videha in place of republic which event was disliked by the other republican powers of the region. They conferred together and the Vajnan confederacy overthrew the Videhan monarchy. The Kāśī people had a share in the overthrow of the Videhan monarchy. The Lichchhavis who succeeded Janaka's dynasty as the strongest political power in north Bihar and formed the most important element of the Vajjian confederacy, were the offsprings of a queen of Kāśī. These Lichchhavis had later, in Mahāvīra's time, formed a league with nine Mallakis and eighteen Gana-rājās of Kāśī-Kośala 40 to collectively guard their republics against the rising monster of monarchy in Magadha The mention of eighteen Gana-rājās of Kāśi Kośala reveals that Kāśi during these times, had become a part of Kośala It also appears that after the fall of Videhan monarchy. Kośalan monarchy also diluted itself and restored partially the republican character of the sate. Though the king was retained; the republican leadership (Gana-rajaship) was restored. King Chetak; the central figure of the confederation of Vajjis, Mallas and Kāśi-Kośala, actually assembled this confederation including the Gana-rajās of Kāśī and Kośala. to ask whether they should surrender to Ajātaśatru or fight

^{38. (1)} BrhadKranyaka Upanijad; 2, 1, 1,

⁽²⁾ Kauşītaki Upanışad, 4. 1.

^{39. (}A) Brhadaranyeka Upanisad, 2, 1, 1.

^{40.} H. C. Roychowdhari; op. cit; page 83-84, 125

him. 41 Kāšī remained non-brāhmaņised till it was absorbed in the Magadhan rule.

Videhans

The Videhans belonged to Iksvāku dynasty according to both the Jama and the Brahmanic traditions. The Videhans are called Prāchvas (Asuras) in the Satapatha Brāhmana; The legend of the Mathava's brahmanisation of Kosala and Videha 42 18 also narrated in the Satapatha Brahmana. The Great King Janaka flourished in Videha in the seventh century B. C. 43 These historical events collectively prove, that Brahmanic vainic civilization did not reach Videha before seventh century B. C. It was only during the age of Janaka that sacred fire could establish itself in Videha. Janaka has been termed as a Samrat. This shows that monarchy was established. It appears that Videha, along with Kāśī, Vaiśālī and other neighbouring regions had republican system which was displaced by monarchy of Janaka, at the instance and with the support of the Brahmaryans which event was disliked by the rest of the neighbouring republics who finally joined together to overthrow the Janaka's new-born monarchy in the region. The Brahmanical vainic civilization reached Kośala and Videha in the seventh century B. C. and not earher.

Magadhans

The Brāhmanical tradition concedes that the Dānava dynasty of Jarāsandha ruled over Magadha till the times of Ripufijaya. Then, according to some scholars, the Pradyotas succeeded them and they were themselves succeeded by the dynasty to which Bimbisāra or, Śrenika belonged. Some scholars hold that Jarāsandha's line was directly succeeded by ancor of Bimbisāra. Bimbisāra according to Purāņas belonged to Śiśunāga dynasty established by a chieftain of Kāšī named

Radhakumud Mukerjee; Risc of Magadhan Imperialism (in A. I. U); pages 23-24.

^{42.} Satapatha Brithmana; 1, 4, 1, 10 etecq.

^{43.} H. C. Roychowdhari; op. cit, page 52.

Sisunaga, 44 The latest view is that Bimbisara belonged to Mahā-Nāga dynasty and Sisunāga dynasty succeeded this Mahā-nāga dynasty. 45 Jama tradition, as noted earlier, makes the Mallas, the Magadhas and the Angas belonging to the Iksvāku race. These Iksvāku people have been termed by the Brahmaryans as Prāchyas, Asuras and Dānavas. We have also noted earlier that the vanquished people of the great Ahi sub-race had migrated to the east via Gujerat and Madhya-pradesh to the Anga and other adjoining regions. The establishment of Naga rule in Magadha in the seventh century B. C. might commemorate that historical event. The Nagas appear to have wielded power in the east since this period. Magadha remained under the Iksvāku rule till the times of Bimbisara who afterwards established Naga power in Magadha. This Naga power was succeeded by the Sudra power of the Nandas. Nandas were succeeded by the Mauryas; Chandragupta Maurva being the founder of this dynasty The Mauryas originated from the Moriva clan. The Morivas sent a messenger to the Mallas claiming a portion of the relics of the Buddha, 46 The Sakvas and the Mallas both belonged to the Iksvaku race. The Morivas, thus, appear to have been a clan of the Iksväku race. The Sungas under Pusyamitra, the Brahmana, finally displaced the Iksvaku power in Magadha in the second century B. C. 47 Magadha (South Bihar) was not Brahmanised till the middle of the third century A. D. 48

The Veduc evidence does not view Magadha with favour. The Rgweda makes reference to the Kikajas *9 who do not mix milk with Soma. They do not need any vessel for libations. The Kikajas were a non-Āryan non-sacrificing people. Yāska declares that Kikaja is the name of a country where non-Āryans

^{44.} B. C. Law; The Magadhas in Ancient India; 1946; page 6.

^{45.} Radhakumud Mukerjee; op. cit (R. M. I.) page 19.

^{46.} Mahāparinibbānasutta;

^{47.} B. C. Law; op. cit (M. A. I.); page 18.

D. R. Bhandarkar; Aryan Immigration into Eastern India A. B. O. R. I. Vol 12 page 113.

^{49.} Reveds 3. 4. 15. 14.

dwell. ⁵⁰ Yāska flourished in the seventh century B. C. Kīkat has been identified with Magadha. ⁵¹ The Sukla Yajurveda decries Māgadha as of excessive noise and classes him with a harlot, a gambler and a eunuch. ⁵² The Atharvaveda associates Māgadha with Vrātya. ⁵³ Manu decries him as a Vrātya. ⁵⁴ Durānas associate Māgadhas with the Dānavas. Magadha throughout the long course of Brāhmanical history has always been disliked. Magadha had been an lkşvāku region throughout with an interception of the Ahi race for three centuries circa 620-324 B. C; the Bimbisāra-Nanda period.

It, thus, becomes quite clear that Madhyadesa comprising Kosala, Kāšī, Videha and Magadha continued to be under the Iksvāku power, sometimes independantly, sometimes under the Magadhan power; more or less till third century A. D. The brahmanisation of Kosala and Videha in the seventh century B. C. was only a temporary phenomenon. The Brahmanical yajnic way could not firmly establish itself even in these regions. It actually waned in the following centuries. The eastern frontiers reamined safe till the advent of the Christian era.

3. THE PANCHA-PRÄCHYAS

Pancha-Prachyas

The Madhyadeda Ikyukus were closely related with the territories of Ańga, Vanga, Kalniga, Pundra and Suhma which may be collectively termed as Pancha-Prāchyas. These regions overlap the regions occupied by the Pancha-Dasyu-Kuśikas who immigrated from Udtchya to Prāchya. Purānic tradition makes Dānava Ānava Bālī the progenitor of peoples of Aṅga, Vaṅga, Kalniga, Pundra and Suhma. Purānic tradition places Bālī before the Purānic Dāśarājūa war but the Vedic literature neither testifies nor suggests such a fact.

⁵⁰ Yaska; Nirukta; 6. 32.

^{51,} H. C. Roychowdharl; op. cit; page 112.

^{52.} Sukla Yajurvoda; 30, 5, 80, 22,

^{53.} Atharvaveda 15, 2, 1-4.

^{54.} Manusmyti; 19. 11.

The post-Dāśarājān history has more or less been well-constructed. We do not find any Bāleyas in the first millennium B. C. occupying the above region. Bāli and Bāleya-Ksetra are pure myths.

Their five Territories

Anga corresponds to the District of Bhagalpur and Vanga to the districts of Birbhum, Murshidabad, Burdwan and Nadiva in Bengal. Kalinga comprised all the Eastern coast between the Utkals, on the north and the Telingas on the south. The Vaitarant flowed through it, the Mahendra mountains (The Eastern Ghats) were within its southern limits Kalinga comprised, therefore, the modern province of Orissa, the district of Ganjam and probably also that of Vizagapatam 55 The ancient Pundra; as already noticed in the previous sub-chapter; comprised the region of Chhota Nagpur and whose boundaries were Kāśī on the north. Anga, Vanga and Suhma on the north-east and east and Odra on the south east. 86 Pundras inhabited the territory of Chhota Nagpur less its southern portion. In the epic, their country corresponds with Bengal and Bihar, 57 Pargiter also places the Puranic Pundra south of Anga and north of Suhma and Vanga. 88 This transference of name of one geographical region to another is glaring instance of Brahmanical freedom of wishful transferences. Suhma is situate to the east of Utkala, west of Vanga, south of Anga and north of Kalinga.59 The territory occupied by the ethnic group of Pancha-Dasyu-Kusikas overlapped the above geographical region and extended far more to the west and the south. The Sabaras, a part of Pundras and Mütibas occupied the territories of Pañcha-Prāchyas. This region was never a Bāleya Ksetra.

F E. Pargiter; Mürkandeya Purana P. 334 quoted from Sylvain Levi; op. cit; page 74.

F. E. Pargiter; op. cit; page 329; quoted by Sylvain Levi, op. cit.; page 86.

^{57.} Macdonell & Keilh; Vedic Index; Vol. I page 536.

^{58.} F E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.); Map facing page 368.

^{59.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.); above map.

These geographical names have been exploited by the Purāṇic bardic lords for fabricating an edifying religious tale. ⁶⁰ This region was occupied by the Brahmāryan adversaries; the Rgwedic term for whom is the Dasyu, the Brāhmaṇic the Prāchya, and the Purānic the Asura. They were finally brāhmaṇised only about the middle of the third century A. D. ⁶¹.

Pañcha Prāchyas were Iksvākus

Angas and Vangas were non-Āryans. ⁶² Anga and Vanga had long remained suspect to the Āryans of India. Baudhāyana ⁶³ prescribes in the fourth century B. C. a sacrifice of expiation after a travel amongst the Āraṭṭa, the Kāraskara, the Punḍra, the Sauvira, the Vanga, the Kalniga and the Prānūna. This chain of hatred was started during the Atharvanic times. These people were unknown to the Brahmāryans before Atharvanic times. Angas along with Gāndhāras, Mūjavants and Magadhas are cursed to be visited by Takman desease. ⁶⁴ Anga-Magadhah ⁶⁵ and Vanga-Magadhah ⁶⁶ are also mentioned. Vanga-Massyah are also referred together. ⁶⁷ These people were despised because they were Brahmāryan adversaries. Baudhāyana maliciously calls them half breeds. ⁸⁸

Anga and Magadha were the territories of the Vrjiji-Lichchavis and Mallas. Champā was the capital of Anga and was chaoquered in Mahāvīra's time by Śrepika Bimbisāra. 69 Champā was the most flourishing city of the region. The

- 60. F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.); page 71.
- D. R. Bhandarkar; Aryan Immigration into Eastern India;
 A. B. O. R. I. Vol 12 page 113.
- C. V. Valdya; op, cit (Solar & Lunar races); I. B. B. R. A. S. Vol 23 page 56.
- 63. Baudhayana Dharma Sutra; 1. 2. 14.
- 64. Atharvaveda; 5. 22. 14.
- 65. Gopatha Brahmann; 2. 9.
- 66. Altareya Aranyeka; 2. 1. 1.
- 67. Atharvaveda Parisista; 1, 7, 7,
- 68. Baudh#yana Dharma Sütra; 1. 1. 29.
- 69. B. C. Law; Maintefra; 1937; page 7, 33.

Digha Nikāva refers to it as one of the six principal cities of India. 70 The Kalinga as a tribe had always been associated with the Angas and the Bangas, 71 Kalinga was ruled by a Jaina King Kharvela circa 170 B C. 72 Kalinga was unknown to the Brahmaryans till Mahavira's age. The Pundras were linked with the Angas and the Vangas, 73 It appears very probable that the Angas, the Vangas, the Kalingas, the Pundras and the Suhmas belonged to one ethnic group. The Mallas and Lichchhavis belonged to the Iksväku race. 74 The Pancha-Prachyas were, therefore, of the Iksvaku race. When the Pancha-Dasyu-Kuśikas migrated from western Bharata to southern and eastern Bharata; they, the Iksvaku-Ahis; came to their own kinsmen and intermixed with them. Their culture and civilization were the same. The Paticha-Dasyu-Kusikas; after their coalescence with the Pañcha-Prāchyas" became fullfledged lksvåkus as they originally were,

Mahāvīra Nāgaputra

The corroborative evidence of this Iksvāku-Ahi and Iksvāku coalescence comes from a curious quarter. Mahāvīra, the twentyfourth Jaina Tirthankara, belonged to the Iksyāku race. He was of Kaśyapa Gotra and Nāyaputta, a scion of the Nāyas. Nāyaputra has wrongly been translated as jūatrputra so far. The correct translation of the Prākrts word "Nāya" is not jūatr but Nāga Nāya means Nāga and Nāyaputta means Nāgaputra Mahāvīra was not jūatrputra but he was Nāgaputra 7º All the three epithets of Mahāvīra are of great historical importance. He was an Iksvāku, a Nāga and a Kāšyapa, the descendant of Kašyapa, the progenitor of the Nāga or Ahī race. It appears that when the Paācha-

⁷⁰ E C Law, North India in the sixth century B. C. (in the Age of Imperial Unity); 1953, page 4.

^{71.} B. C. Law, Tribes in Ancient India; 1943; page 158.

^{72.} K. P. Jayaswal; Hindu Polity; 1955, page 183.

^{73.} B. C. Law, op. cit.; page 278.

^{74.} B. C Law; Mahavira; page 19.

Achtrya Tuisi & Muni Nathmal; Jain Bharati (Hindi) Vol 10 Number 46 dated 18, 11, 1962; page 892.

Dasyu-Kusikas, the Iksvāku-Ahis; had finally merged in the Pancha-prāchyas, the pure Iksvākus; the epithet Nāga assumed importance also in this region. Mahāvīra immortalised this historical event by assuming to himself the epithet Nāga.

Anga and Vanga, so much detested by the Brahmaryans were very holy to the Jamas. They top the list of the sixteen Mahajnapadas 76 and the first two amongst the "Pure Regions." Their capitals respectively were Chapa and Tamralipti, 77 The word Tamralipti is of Austric origin. It bordered the territories of Kamarupa. The word Kamarupa is also of Austric origin. 78 The words Anga, Vanga and Kalinga might have their origins in the Austric language. 79 These pre-Arvan and pre-Dravidian proto-Australoid people were of the Iksvāku race. Anga, Vanga and Kalinga, the most impure regions to the Brahmaryans, were the purest regions for the sramanic Iksvākus. The people of Anga. Vanga, Kalinga, Pundra and Suhma were not the eastern branches of the Anavas who never migrated from the west to the east. They were the pure Iksvākus, termed as Prāchyas and Asuras; who held their sway till the Gupta period when they were utterly routed. The Brāhmana power advancing from the west to the east forced them into political and cultural submission circa 300 A. D.

4. THE MINOR PRÄCHYAS

Kuru-Pāñchāla Alliance

When the Brahmäryans settled in the Brahmävarta and, in association with their converts, redacted the Rgyeda circa 1000 B. C., the whole of the country east to Ahisthala was populated by the Prächyas or the Dānavas. The Brahmävarta people occuped the territories around the Saraswatt and Drsadvatt

^{76.} B. C. Law; op. cit (N. I. S. C. in A. I. U.), page 1.

Acherya Vijayendrasuri; Tirthamkara Mahavira Vol I; 1960 page 42.

^{78.} Sylvain Levi; op. cit; page 116, 119.

B. Kikati; Certain Austric-Sanskrit, Word-correspondence; New Indian Antiquary Vol VI (1948-44); page 50.

regions. It comprised the regions of Kuruksetra and Kurujangala; the modern eastern Punjab and northern Raiasthan. The Kuru king Janamejaya in the ninth century B. C. had not extended his sway beyond the Brahmayarta region though he exercised political authority in the west and the north upto Taxila. The Panchala King Durmukha made extensive conquests in every direction. 80 Panchala, thus, appears to be an independant country in the earlier part of the seventh century B. C. Durmukha's military expeditions appear to have touched the Kurus We may also conjecture some combats between the Kurus and the Patichalas. But ultimately the peace was forged between the two contending parties and the Kurus and the Patichalas became allies. It appears that both formed a sort of political confederation. The Aitareva Brahmana that extols the military activities of Durmukha also mentions the kings of Kuru-Pānchālas. 81 The Kuru-Pānchāla alliance might have been effected in the later part of the seventh century B. C.

Śwrasenakas

The Sūrasenakas had their capital at Mathurā, a great city of long antiquity. They occupied the territory of Mathura district and possibly some of the territory still farther south \$^{-2}\$ The Sūrasena country lay to the east of the Matsyas. They claimed their descent from the Yadus mentioned in the Reveds Sūrasena was one of the sixteen Mahājanapadas. The Sūrasena Janapada was occupied by Vṛṣṇis and Andhakas, branches of the Yadu tribe. Sūrasena janapada was a republican corporation. Sūrasena was an independant republic till it merged in the Magadhan empire in the third century B. C.83 Sūrasena has not been mentioned in the Brāhmanucal literature before Pānini's time circa 450 B. C. It appears that Sūrasena did not come under the Brāhmanucal influence till the fall of

^{80.} Attareya Brahmana; 8. 23.

⁸¹ Aitareya Brahmana: 8, 14,

^{82.} B. C. Law; op. cit (T. A. I); page 39.

B. C. Law; North India in Sixth century B. C. (A. I. U.); page 12.

the Magadhan empire. The brahmanization of the Yadu territory of Sūrasena republic can not be placed before second century B. C.

The Matsyas had belonged to the Ahrrace. We find one Dhyasana Dyartayana, a king of Matsya following the Brāhmanuc yajūte way. ⁸⁴ The Matsyas were brāhmanised in the seventh century B. C.

Brahmarşidesa

Manu defines Brahmāvarta as the holy land, created by gods, which is between the two dwine rivers Saraswati and Drsadvati. So This is perfectly true. The country which lies between the Himavat and the Vindhya mountains to the east of Prayāga and to the west of Vināšana (the place where the river Saraswati disappears) is called Madhyadeśa. 66 Manusmṛti was compiled circa 200 A.D. The Brahmāryans held no sway beyond Prayāga till 200 B.C. This statement also appears to be correct. The plains of the Kurus, the country of the Matsyas, Pānchālas and Sūrasenakas thes formed indeed the country of the Brahmarşis (Brāhmaqueal sages) according to Manu, immediately after Brahmāvarta. 87 This statement of Manu appears to be partially wrong.

Sūrsenakas not in Brahmarsideša

The Sürasenakas were not brähmanised till the second century B. C. The conception of brahmarisis ceased to exist after the period of the early Upanisads in the sixth century B. C. The Brahmarisis denote those Rais who composed the basic Vedic literature which concept may in no case be extended beyond the early Upanisadic age. Sürasena was anti-brähmanical till this period. The Brahmaridesa till the Upanisadic period could comprise only of Kurukşetra, Päñchäla and Matsya regions. Brahmaridesa of the Purāṇic age could include the republic of Sürasenakas but that is not

^{84.} Satapatha Brahmana; 13, 5, 4, 9,

^{85.} Manusmrtl; 2, 17,

^{86.} Manusmrti; 2. 21.

^{87.} Manusmrti; 2, 19,

what Manu means. He perhaps included the Sürasena territory in his difinition of Brahmarsidesa as Mathura, the ancient city of great repute having significant associations with the Sramanic religion, could not be obliterated from history and the Brahmaryans could not control the temptation of such a city of high intellectual and spiritual repute from inclusion in the territory of the Brahmana sages. This inclusion of Sürasena republic in Brahmarsidesa gave Brāhmanical literature edifying religious legends; so pointedly mentioned by Pargiter, that helped them in constructing their false history on the ruins of the true history of their vanquished adversaries The association of Surasena with Brahmanical culture is just like the association of Pururavas and Puru, son of Yayati, with Prayaga and Kāśi. Prayaga was associated with them because it was the centre of their culture in the Puranic age circa 300 A. D. The subsequent position of Prayaga misled the Puranus' last editors88 in associating Prayaga with them Similarly the last position of Surasena in the second century B. C led Manu to wrongly associate it with Brahmarsidesa. Brahmarsidesa, by this time, had been replaced by Madhyadesa and had already gone out of history. Surasena was wrongly tacked afterwards with Brahmarsidesa. 59 Surascna republic was never a constituent of Brahmarsideśa.

Satvants

The Satvants are a branch of the Yadu tribe. They belonged to the south The Satvants are said to have prepared for an Asvamedha. They were defeated by Bharata who carried away their horse. 90 Bharata Dausyanti existed long before Satvant and his son Bharata Dausyanti existed long before Satvant and his son Bharata Satvant s'and could not come in conflict with the Satvants. Satvant stands down by twentyone degrees from Bharata. Rāma's brother Satrughna

C. V. Vaidya; Solar and Lunar Kaatriya Races in Vedas, IBBRAS Vol 23 (1914) page 48-49.

^{89.} Altareya Brahmana; B. 14, 3,

^{90.} Satapatha Brahmana 13. 5. 4. 21.

^{91.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.) page 146.

killed Lavana son of Madhu. ⁹² Lavana was a Rākṣasa as his father was. But Satvant was four degrees down to Madhu. Hence Satvants could not have been defeated during Rāma's age. The legend of the carrying of the sacrificial horse of the Satvants is a pure myth without any historical foundation. The Satvants, further, could not perform the Yajha, It appears that the Satvants did not find favour with the Brahmāryans till Satapatha times, i.e. the sixth century B. C. The Satvants are not allowed to peform Asvamedha. The bringing in of Bharata Dausyanti or Rāma's brother Satrughna is only a fairy tale. The Satvants appear in history later in postuder of Poetics and the southern regions. They played their part in historical times. Their association with the past is only a case of Brāhmanical transferance for creating edifying religious legends.

Chedis

The Chedi people are mentioned in the Rgveda. One Kasu Chaidva is a liberal donor. He gave in Dana 100 camels, 10000 cows, ten slaves and gold. There was no other pious man, a more liberal benefactor, 93 The Chedis under Kasu Chaidya appear to have been brahmanised in the eleventh century B. C. before the redaction of the Rgveda. The epic Chedis lived in Bundelakhand. It is suggested that in the Vedic times they were probably situated in much the same locality 94 But this identification appears to be wrong. The Reveda does not know the Surasenakas and the Satvants. The Revedic people had not advanced beyond the Matsya region either to the east or to the south. It was certainly impossible for them to know the people beyond these boundaries. The Revedic sages did not know the Chedis of Bundelakhand. Bundelakhand was not the home of the Vedic Chedis and their leader Kasu Chaidya. The Chedis in the epic are associated with the Matsyas. According to the the Puranic tradition: the Chedis were Yadavas and the Paurava Vasu Uparichara conquered

^{92.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T) Page 170.

^{93,} Rgveda 8, 1, 5, 37-39,

^{94.} Mecdonell & Keith; Vedic Index; Vol 1 page 263.

Yādava kingdom of the Chedi. The Chedi is also said to have descended from Paurava Vāsava. The This the Chedis become associated with the Yadavs and Pūrus of the Rgvedic fame who were Dānava and belonged to the Ikyvāku-Ahi race. The Pūrus of the Rgveda inhabited the upper Sarasavati region and the Yadus the lower Sarasavati region. It appears that the Chedis inhabited the region lying east of the joint Sarasavati-Drasdavati stream and south-west of Matsya.

Matsyagandha legend

The Rgveda associates two important historical events with the Chedis. One Parăśara played active role in the Dăśarājña war Parāsara was the destroyer of hundres of Rāksasas. 90 Parăśara was such a great destroyer that his name became equated with violence. Indra is Parasarah, the discomfiter. 97 His alleged son puranic Vyasa does not find any place in the Rgyeda. The Rgyeda was redacted 100 years after the conclusion of the Dăśarāiña war. The purănic tradition maintains that Achhodā was born as a Dāsevī from king Vasu of Chedi as a fish and she became Satyavatī, mother of Vyāsa by Parāśara and of Vichitravirya and Chitrangada by Santanu 98 Her virgin name was Matsvagandha. She was born from a fish in the river Yamuna. Her twin brother was Matsya. She was a glamorous girl. She was driving Parāśara in a boat to the other bank of the Yamuna, Parasara fell in love with her in the hoat and had sexual intercourse with her. She became the virgin mother of Vyasa and became Gandhavatī from Matsyagandhā, 99 The legend centres round river Yamuna and the Matsva region

Vegetorian Yaiñas

The Brahmāryans had been offering living animals in the Yajūas. When they converted many of the original people

^{95.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A.I.H.T.); pages 104, 118, 281, 294.

^{96.} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 21,

^{97. (1)} Rgveda 7. 6. 15, 21,

⁽²⁾ Egyeda Samhita (V. S. M.) Vol. III page \$15.

^{98.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A. I. H. T.); page 69-70.

^{99.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed); 1. 57. 47-48, 50, 55, 67-69,

following the fatth of non-violence; the practice of offering animals in Yajnas became repulsive and reprehensible. Yādava or Pētru Kasu had become a Brahmāryan convert after the Dāšarājna war. He made a great Yajna in which nothing living was offered, for though he donated his wealth and possessions liberally. The Vedic Kasu Chaidya may be identified with Vasu Chaidya. Such a change in name was not improbable in the Purāņic age. Vedic Lomašā became Purānic Romašā, for and Vedic Kasu became Purānic Vasu. The Vedic, the epic and the Purāņic evidences go to prove that the Chedis lived near the Matsyas near Yamunā and they introduced the element of non-violence in the violent Vedic sacrifices. The Chedis, of the Ikayaku-Ahi race, coalesced with the Brahmāvarta people in the eleventh century B. C.

Eastern Migration of the Chedis

Vasu's descendants appear to have migrated to the east in the early part of the first millennium B. C. They settled in the eastern part of Bundelakhand. The epic speaks of these Chedis of Bundelakhand. According to Chetiva iataka, 102 Upachara was grandson of Mandhata. His five sons established kingdoms of Hathipura. Assapura, Sihapura, Uttarapäñchāla and Daddarpur. They may be identified with kingdoms of Kuru, Gandhara, Anga, Panchala and Naga kingdom of Dardistan. 103 Assapura has been identified with a town in Anga also, 104 Then the Chedi migration to Gåndhåra becomes improbable. All other regions lie to the east of the region of the Vedic Chedis, This Buddhist Upachara has been identified with the Puranic Paurava Vasu Uparichara. After Upachara, the Chedis sunk into unimportance since with Brhadratha, the eldest son of Vasu, according to the Puranas. Magadha takes a prominent part in traditional history. Though Chedi was one of the sixteen Mahajanapadas

^{100.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.) page 316.

^{101.} F. E. Pargiser; op. cit. (A I. H. T.) page 316.

^{102.} B. A. Kauśalysyana Chenya Jataka (422/; Vol. IV page 114.

^{102.} Ratilal N. Mehta; Pre-Buddhists India; 1939; page 18.

¹⁰⁴ H. C. Roychowdhari; op. cit; page 130.

¹⁰ B.

in sixth century B. C., it appears to have been of smaller importance. No Chedi king or leader plays an important role in this age.

Vaśas

The Vasas are a people mentioned in the Rgveda. Their country lay to the east of Kuruksetra. The Vatasa or Vasas of Kausämbi are a later and different people. Vasas were connected with the Matsyas and the Śalvas. 105 Elsewhere Vasas are connected with Matsyas, Kurus, Uśinaras and Pāfhchālas. 106 These Vasa people are associated with the Iksvāku Ahı or Ahı people. They appear to be a minor people. They coalesced with the Brahmāryans in the Rgvedic age. Vasa son of Aśva is protected by Aśvins. 107 He also receates gifts. 108 The later Vatsas of Kausämbi belonged to the Iksvāku race. Macdonell and Ketth place the Vasas just in the north of Kuru region and south of the Ušinaras between the rivers Yamunā and Gangā. 109

^{105.} Gopatha Brahmana 1 2.9

^{106.} Kauştrakı Upanışad 4, 1.

^{107.} Rgveda 1, 16. 7 10; 1. 17 1. 21, 8. 2. 3. 20; 8. 4. 4. 14.

^{108.} Rgveda 8, 6 4-21-23.

Macdonell and Keith; Vedic Index; Vol. I Map facing the front page.

CHAPTER VII

THE BRAHMA ETHNOLOGY

1. THE DEVAS

Characteristic of Devas

The undivided Aryans were divided into Ganas, the tribal collectivities The leader of a Gana was known as Ganapati Ganapati presided over Devas, his sub-leaders. The rest were the common members of the Gana Devas constituted the Gana aristo.racy The Devas were ordinary human beings taking birth, growing young, decaying old and finally dying just like any living object on earth. The Devas possessed head, face, eyes, check, hair, soulders, chest, abdomen, hands, feet and fingers just like ordinary men. They were continued to the control of th

Historical Interpretation of Henothesim Theory

The Āryan leaders and sub-leaders had derived their names from the phenomena of nature. They imitated these forces in the belief that they would imbibe in themselves the powers possessed by these forces. The words Indra, Agni, Varuna, Mitra, Dyaus, Prihvi, Rudra, Savitr and others represent one or the other force of nature. The supreme leader of any one Gana assumed any one of these names and his sub-leaders the rest of them. Varuna and Sürya are subordinate to Indra. ² Varuna and Asvins prostrate before Vişuu. ³ Indra, Mitra, Varuna, Āryamān and Rudra do not transgress the edicts of Savitr. ⁴ All the Devas are supreme at some places and subordinate at other. No Deva is the first always

^{1.} R. C. Jain; The Most Ancient Aryan Society; 1964; Chapter III.

Rgveda 1, 15, 8, 3,

^{3.} Rgveda 1, 21, 17, 4,

^{6.} Rgveda 2. 4. 6. 9.

and no one is the last always. Even the Devas of a decidedly inferior and limited character assume occasionally in the eyes of a devoted poet a supreme place above all other Devas including the highest like Indra, Agni and Varuna. This was quite natural in the very nature of the basic Aryan society. A particular Gana was presided over by Agni but it also had sub-leaders like Indra, Varuna and others. The other Gana was presided over by Aryaman but it also had Indra, Agni and Rudra as sub-leaders. The different Ganas were presided over by Ganapatis assuming one or the other name of the forces of nature. No name was considered a great or low All the names inspired the primitive Aryans equally. When one Gana assumed sovereignty over the other Gana in war or through peace; the ideas of great or low began to arise. The Ganapatis of the defeated Ganas began to be degraded and given inferior positions in the amalgamated higher Gana. This historical fact has not so far been observed by the oriental scholars Maxmuller read in the celestialised Devas the worship of one god after another. The gods worshipped as supreme by each sect stand still side by side. He proposed the name Kathenotheism or Henotheism 5 to this aspect of Deva-hood He missed the real historical significance of the early Aryan life due to the Vedic gloss of the ritualisation and celestialisation. The primitive tribal collectives of the undivided Aryans had various Ganas headed by different military war-lords who, under the strong impact of spiritual culture of their adversaries, were later celestialised which led Maxmuller to wrongly propound his Henotheism theory

The Āryan Ganas, headed by Ganapatis and supported by the sub-leaders, the Devas, penetrated west Asia circa 2000 B. C. They came from the north and first occupied Cappadocia in Asia minor and Iran. They, by and by, extended their sway over the rest of west Asia. The supreme Āryan sovereigns supported by the nobility class politically subjugated the whole of west Asia by the middle of the second millennum B. C. The whole of west Asia cawled under the violence of the Hittites, the Kassites and the Hurrians and

^{5.} F. Maxmuller; The Vedas; 1956; page 85.

other minor groups of the Āryans. When the Āryans in West Asia mingled with the indigenous people, they found their culture superior to their own which could withstand the in fluences of the original culture. The original culture of west Asia triumphed over that of the foreign Āryan invaders.

The original Aryan society that advanced to Bhārata from west Asia was a homogenous unit. It was a universal tribal collective termed as Brahma. The undivided Brahma society constituted mostly of the Aryan blood though some minor non-Aryan blood might have crept in due to social contacts or conversions. Devas were the prominent leaders of the Brahma society who later became Rşis* and Brāhmanas. They stand at the foundation of the Brahma, the Brāhmana and the later Varnic ethnology.

2. THE ASURAS

Triumph of Sramanic Culture

When the Ganapatis, Devas and their military hordes won political victory in west Asia and settled to peaceful life; they came in contacts with the original people. The original people had also to make peace with their political masters. This amalgamation of the two peoples brought their cultures face to face. The Materialists of the Mountains had brought their Materialistic culture and civilization. West Asia was then, under the influence of the Sramanic culture. The Materialist and the Sramanic cultures reacted upon each other and when history records the celebration of the triumph of the original culture upon that of the peoples of the mountains, 8 it refers to the victory of the Sramanic culture over the Materialistic culture of the foreign masters.

Origin of Asura

When the Asiaryans poured down upon West Asia; Varuna was their supreme leader. Varuna was a leader of the undivided Aryan society. Indra is only a late Iranaryan leader

- 6, Rgveda 1, 22, 8, 15,
- 7. Satapatha Brahmana 2, 2, 2, 6; 2, 4, 5, 14,
- 6. S. Moscate; The Face of the Ancient Orient; 1960; page 156.

subordinate to Varuna who enjoys his sovereignty over the whole Iranaryan society till circa 1400 B. C. Varuna and his subordinate Devas had established their political sovereignty by methods of violence, exploitation and knaivity. The Rgveda records that in ancient times Varuna slew Vrtra. 9 Varuna, alongwith Indra destroyed Vrtras, 10 Varuna was destroyer of foes, 11 Varuna possessed all wealths, 12 The culture of peace of west Asia had begun slowly penetrating the rulers. Varuna and Mitra renounced methods of violence and became righteous, peaceful and moral leaders of their society. They adopted the epithet Asura. Varuna and Mitra were great sovereigns and Asuras amongst the Devas. 13 They remained Asuras amongst the Devas, 14 possibly even after the final cleavage. The Asuras became weaponless, 15 They renounced the Cult of the weapon. The violent Arvans became peaceful, non-violent people due to the impact of the culture of their adversaries: the Sramanic culture.

These Sramanised Devas adopted the epithet Asura to denote their changed way of life. The epithet Asura in the Rgweda is used in the sense of wise, illustrious, powerful, mighty, supreme knowledge, life-bestowing, great renown, life-giver, invigorator, irresistible, strong, great, lord and conqueror The Zend Avesta uses the epithet Abura in the same sense. The word Asura or Abura in the sense of most supreme, the greatest, goes to the undivided Irjänigran period.

The word Asura is alleged to be a compound word with prefix 'A' to the word 'Sura' in the negative sense. But we do not meet with the word sura either in the Rgveda or the Zend Avesta. The word "sura", in the sense of intoxicant, appears

^{9.} Rgveda 6, 6, 7 3.

^{10.} Rgveda 7, 5, 13, 1.

^{11.} Rgveda 2, 3, 5, 10; 2, 3, 6, 7

^{12.} Rgveda 8, 5 12. 1.

^{18.} Rgveda 1. 21 12. 4; 7, 3, 3, 2; 8, 4, 5, 4,

^{14.} Rgveda 7. 4. 10. 2.

^{15.} Rgyeda 1, 10, 3, 9,

in the Rgveda. 16 Varuna himself was a great Soma-drinker in the initial stages. The new influences sharply reacted upon him and he led the revolt against Soma-drinking. He degraded the word Soma to the word Sura and classified it along with wrath, gambling and ignorance, the causes of sin. Somadrinking had deeply ingrained in the exuberant Iranaryan victors, the vices of wrath, gambling and ignorance, The equivalent word "Hura" also appears in the Avesta, 17 Yaska also understands the word in the sense of an intoxicant, 18 Soma was a very good intoxicant and was not associated with evil, as later on, in these days. It was positively associated with good. Sura was an object of use for people. The good Sura was used by the good people. The good people were known as Devas who drank Soma. The word "Sura" has not at all been used in the Reveda but Yāska etymolises this word. It appears that this word came in popular vogue during his times in the seventh century B. C. He traces the word Sura to ./Su in the sense of good, 19 The good people came to be called suras. The good drink of the suras was Surā. The Surā-drinkers were the suras. The Soma-drinking Devas were Suras. That section of the society which adopted the original Sramanic way renounced Soma-drinking. Varuna and Mitra, alongwith their followers, gave up Soma-drinking. These Devas who did not drink sură or Soma came to be called Asuras. No reproach or calumny was attached to the word Asura in this age but Somadrinking definitely came to be looked down in west Asia. till the continuance of Varuna sovereignty. The goodness of the Sura-drinkers was transferred to the non-Sura-drinkers. An objection may be raised that when we do not meet the word Sura either in the Raveda or the Avesta, why this arbitrary for-

- 16. (1) Rgveda 7. 5. 16. 6.
 - (2) Rgweda Samhuta (V, S. M,); Vol. III, page 469. Surā Pramzdakāriņul the Intoxicant,
- A. F. Khabardar, New Light on the Gathas of Holy Zarthusthra;
 1951; page 768.
- 18. Nirukta; 1, 11.
- 19. Nirukta; 3. 3.

mation of Asura from Sura in the negative sense? The word Sura is a Vedic word, hence we may not doubt that the word Sura could also be a Vedic word. The word Sura was not given prominence in the Vedic literature as the word Deva was then reigning supreme. Sura had not divided the homogenous Iranaryan society. The non-Sura-drinker Devas were raised to a high social status in the society. The Sura-drinker Devas accepted a junior position but the society still remained homogenous. The word Sura was only a derisive word for Soma but the wise leaders of the Iranaryan society did not permit the disruption of their society on that count. The word Sura was not given prominence to keep the social unity intact. The Sura-drinking Devas favourably reacted to this change and voluntarily accepted the superiority of the non-Surā-drinking Devas. To say that the word Sura is a classical Sanskrit word only is to ignore the Rgvedic history The stress was meant to be given on to a particular way, not to the person. The word Sura gained prominence in later history when the cleavage was complete and the emphasis was transferred from the way to the persons or their social groupings. But the JSu gave birth to the word Sura and to the word Asura in the negative sense, not in a bad sense but in a qualitative and illustrious sense reflecting the then social conditions. Varuna and Mitra had now become the righteous and moral leaders of the Aryan society in west Asia. The word Asura became a dignified and illustrious word with them and all the leaders of the society adopted this word. The word Asura to the undivided Iranaryans meant great power, great prestige and great glory.

Asuras are Purva-Devas

The Devas of the undivided Aryan society became Asuras in the Irānāryan age. The Rgvedic record keeps the chronology of the Devas and the Asuras intact. Devas are former and ancient to Asuras in point of time. The former Devas later became Asuras under the impact of the \$ramanic culture.

The Asuras are former Devas. 20 Agni is a former Deva

^{20.} Rgveds 7, 2, 4, 7,

proceeding to the place of the aggregation of wealth 21 and Agni, as later noted is an Asura. The ancients have been equated with Devas who kindled Agnı and Agnı is true to them. 22 It appears that the Iranaryans had brought their Agni cult with them. Neither the ancients, nor their successors, nor the recents attained the prowess of Indra. 28 This Rc contains in itself all the three stages of the development of the Iranaryan society. The first are the ancients, the Devas. The second are their successors, the Asuras. The third are the new additions, the recents, the converts from the original people. The ancient followers of Indra, the Devas, were exempt from blame and were irreproachable and unharmed. 26 The memory of the pure Aryans and the new converts has also been preserved at another place in the Rgyeda. The ancients and the recents both originated praises for Indra. 28 Asuras are remembered as former Devas till very late times. 26 Sayana also identifies Asura with Deva and Deva with Asura, 27 The Pürva-devas have now become the Deva-Asuras

Deva-Asuras

The Irānāryan Asuras had inherited great qualities of head and beart from their adversaries. They became non-violent people by abjuring force of the sword. Sons of Asuras are very powerful and generous. ²⁸ Asuras were endowed with Māyā. They were wisdom all. ²⁹ Asura Varuna is possessor

- 21. Rgveda 5, 1, 3, 8.
- 22. Rgveda 5. 2, 11. 2,
- 23. Rgveda 5, 3, 10, 6, 24. Rgveda 6, 2, 4, 4,
- 25. Rgveda 7. 2. 5. 9.
- 26. Amarakosa: 1, 12.
- 27. (1) Rgveda 5, 6, 11, 6; 7, 1, 4, 7,
 - (2) Rgveda Samhitz (V, S, M,); Vol. II page 986; Vol. III page 321.
- 28. Rgveda 1, 18, 2, 2; 3, 4, 15, 7; 8, 10, 4, 1,
- 29. (1) Rgveda 5. 5. 7. 3. 7.
 - (2) Reveds Samhitz (V. S. M.); Vol. II, pages 938-939.

of all wealth, 80 He mitigates evil, 31 Asura Indra is scatterer of foes, possessor of wealth and giver of strength, 32 Asura Indra is giver of wealth 33 Asura Indra is repelled of enemies and giver of rain, 44 Asura Dyaus excludes the wicked and bows to Indra. 46 Rudra is Asura, the life bestower, 11. The Maruts, sons of Rudra, are Asuras, the conquerers of their foes, 37 Asura Agni is productive of food and progeny, 38 Agni is Rudra, the expeller (Asura) of foes from heaven 39 Asura Agni is parent of sacrifice. 40 Agni is Asura, the invigorator of the wise. 41 Agni is Asura, strong. 42 Agni sends his voice upwards and downwards as Asura (Sun) diperses his rays, 43 Asura Savitr, expeller of foes from heaven. drives away Rāksasas and Yātudhānas 44 Asura Savity grants dwelling to the offerer 45 Asura Suparna has illuminated the three worlds 46 Asura Vavu is bestower of happiness. 47 Asura Pūsan 18 scatterer of foes and bestower of prosperity. 48 Asura Soma has made the three

^{30.} Rgveda B. 5, 12 1

^{31.} Rgveda 1, 6, 1, 14.

^{32,} Rgveda 1, 23, 10, 1,

³³ Rgveda8, 8, 9, 10, E

³⁴ Rgveda I, 10, 4. 3,

³⁵ Rgyeda 1, 19 5, 1

^{36,} Rgveda 5 3, 10 11,

^{37,} Rgveda 1, 11, 7, 2,

^{38.} Rgveda 4. 1. 2 5.

³⁹ Rgveds 2 1. 1. 6.

^{40.} Rgycda 8 1. 3. 4.

^{41.} Rgveda 5, 2 1, 1,

⁴² Rgveda 7. 2. 13, 3, 7, 1, 2 3 43. Rgveda B. 3, 7, 23.

^{44.} Rgveda 1, 7, 5, 10; 5 4, 5, 2,

^{45.} Rgveda 4, 5, 8, 1,

^{46.} Rgveda 1, 7. 5. 7.

^{47.} Rgveda S. S. 10, I.

^{48.} Rgveda 5, 4, 7, 11.

worlds exalted. 49 Viśvedevas, the Asuras, are possessors of wealth and distributors of riches, 50 Maruts grant male perogeny vigorous and intelligent (Asura). 51 These activities and functions of the Deva Asuras significantly depict their peaceful character. They are very little associated with violence and plunder so characteristic of the Aryans.

Schism

The Deva Asuras in West Asia stood united under the leadership of Varuna till 1400 B. C. Hittite-Mittani war was fought circa 1365 B. C. 52 The Mittanis lost the war. This event is recorded in Boghaz-Keui inscriptions which reveal the supremacy of Varuna and Mitra over Indra and Nasatvas.53 Varuna and Indra and all other subordinate Devas were united in a single Brahma or their universal tribal collective and they were all Asuras. The defeat of the Mittanis gave a new upsurge to the Iranarvans. That section of the Iranaryan society who did not fully subscribe to the peaceful ways of Varuna set a dessenting note and started considering afresh the ways and means of again establishing their political supremacy, They reorganised for fresh military victories. The violent and aggressive section of the undivided Iranaryans denounced the leadership of peaceful Varuna and Mitra. They accepted the leadership of war-loving, aggressive and adventurous Indra and Agni. Indra and his associates, the Deva-Asuras; the leaders of the Brahmarvans; an offshoot of the Iranarvans, could not keep company with the peaceful weaponsless Deva-Asuras and abondoned them, 54 They again took to the weapon-cult, The Asuras also began to prepare the Soma juice. 85 The initial schism has occurred but there was no final schism in

^{49.} Rgveda 9 4, 6, 1,

^{50.} Rgveda S. 4. 7. 20.

^{51.} Rgveda 7. 4. 1. 24

^{52.} S. Moscate; op. cit; page 190,

^{53.} James, B. Pritchard; Ancient Near Eastern Texts; Relating to Old Testament; 1955; page 206.

^{54.} Rgveda 8. 10, 5. 9,

^{55,} Raveda 1, 16, 2, 6,

culture. Indra and his associate Devas advancing east to Bharata still remained Deva-Asuras. The word Asura has been used in the Rgveda for more than 115 times but ninety per cent, it has been used in good sense. It is used in a bad sons only in a few cases. It appears that Deva-Asura culture had so dominated the Aryans in Iran that they could not altogether do away with it. The use of the epithet Asura had been made in an illustrious sense and that tradition could not die so soon. The Brahmaryans won final victory in the Dāsarājān war circa 1100 B. C. and settled in their new home. The followers of their arch-enemy Viśvāmitra, along with others, had coalesced with them. Sons of Asura had begun bestowing riches upon Viśvāmitra now 56

Fall of Asura

We have earlier noticed that Indra had to contend with enemies whether Arvas or Dasas. He might have to contend with his erstwhile Asura brothers. The Reveda preserves the remniscences of such conflicts. The word Asura has been used in the Rayeda only 10 or 15 times in the sense of an enemy Rşı Vasıştha started the process. He made Asura Agni, the Asūraghna Agni. 57 Bharadvāja, the Bārhaspatya, originally a convert and later an Angirasa Rsi, makes Asura Indra, the Asuraghna Indra, the subduer of foes, abounding in wealth 58 Brhaspati, the chief of Asura warriors (Asurasya Viran), is respresented as piercing the Asura warriors with his shaft in the like manner as he did formerly slav Vrtra by his prowess. He is now prayed to destroy the enemies, 59 The Asuras are rendered here as enemies. They also now appear as related to Vrtras. The Soma plant in its original form has Asuralike colour which he loses in the process of extractaction and becomes green-tinted. He guards against the Raksasas, 60 Indra and Visnu demolished the 99 strong cities of Sambara.

^{56.} Rgveda 3, 4, 15. 7.

^{57.} Rgveda 7. I. 18, I. 58. Kgveda 6, 2, 7, 4,

^{59.} Rgveda 2, 3, 8, 4.

^{60,} Rgyeda 9, 4, 4, 1-2,

they slew at once without resistance the hundred thousand heroes of Asura Varchin. ⁶¹ We have earlier noticed that Sambara and Varchin are Disass and also Dasyus. Disas and Dasyus are, here, equated with Asuras. The most peaceful Varuna, endowed with real Asura wisdom, is also made the destroyer of Asuras or their relations. ⁶²

Final Cleavage

The Asura Brahmāryans of Bhārata had engaged in minor skirmishes with their erstwhile kinsmen, the Asura Irānāryans of Iran. The west Asian Asuras were vying with the political power of the Deva-Asuras of Bhārata. Asura queen Samuramat of Assyia in west Asia led an expedition into Bhārata in the later ninth or earlier eight century B. C., 42 where she was offered a desperate resistance and her forces were utterly routed with only twenty soldiers alive. This great event finds a minor place in the historical records but it played the most important part in the future Irano-Brahma relations. This historic battle gave a very revolting experience to the Bhārattya Deva-Asuras. This battle widened further the schism earlier effected in the Irānāryan society and brought the final cleavage.

The Rgveda clearly depicts this final cleavage. The Asuras, after their defeat, lost all their wisdom. The Devas overcame them. They preserved their Deva-hood after killing the Asuras. *4 The Tenth Mandala of the Rgveda composed circa 800 B. C. refers Indra not only as Ytra-hā, Amitra-hā, Sapatna-hā and Dasyu-hā but also as Asura-hā. *6 Indra is not depicted as Asura-hā in the main body of Rgveda. He becomes Asura-hā very late. Asura, enjoying great power, prestige and glory, was "dethroned in the Tenth-Mandala of the Rgveda, an influence of time." *68 The Tenth Mandala of the Rgveda was

^{61.} Rgveda 7, 6, 10, 5,

^{62.} Rgveda 5, 6, 13, 5.

^{63.} Buddhaprakash; Vṛtra; A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 30 page 177.

^{64.} Rgweda 10. 4. 11. 4; 10. 10. 12. 5; 10. 12. 6. 4.

^{65.} Rgveda 10, 12, 19, 2,

Siddheswar Varms; The Etymologies of Ysska; 1953; page 3.

appended to the main body circa 800 B. C. This historical cleavage has also been echoed in the religious literature of the two peoples. Zoroastrianism extols the Asura and decries the Deva. Asura is a beneficent good and Deva, a destructive demon in Zoroastrianism. The opposite occurs in the Tenth Mandala of the Rgyeda. Here Deva is a beneficiant god and Asura, a destructive demon. This cleavage between the Rgyedic religion and the Zoroastrianism happened towards the end of the Rgyedic period. The enmity became bitter and bitter in the post-Rgyedic times. 67 Varuna reigned supreme in Iran and Mitra travelled even upto Europe in the later part of the first millennum B. C

Linguists on Asura

We thus find that the process of abomination of the word Asura started circa 800 B. C. The evil sense began to be attached to it. The linguists were not far off to justify the nopular bad sense of the word Asura. Yaska clearly saw the good and the bad sense of the word Asura; he derived both the meanings of the word Asura from the word itself. He had no idea of time and was ignorant of historical outlook He gave popular etymology to the word Asura in its later perorative sense-as a + Su + ratāh "not very happy." The word has been traced to As, lit, "thrown out of their positions" He has also given the real correct derivation of the word to As "to throw" "life being thrown into the body." It is interpreted as "Powerful Lord," having connection with Avestic "Ahū" "Lord" The word is also traced to "Asu" with a possessive suffix 'r' lit, "full of energy of spirit," 64 If "body" is taken to be an inanimate object, the "throwing of life" into it means the association of the body with the soul or the union of spirit and matter. Here the spiritual aspect is emphasised. Asura means a person full of spiritual qualities. The later Brahmaryan Pundits arbitrarily created the word 'Sura' in an unjustified manner by isolating the

V. K. Rajavade; Asura, Proceedings and Transactions of the first Oriental Conference; Poona; 1922; page 19.

^{68.} Siddheswar Vszma; op. cit.; pages 3, 27, 126.

initial 'a' from the word Asura. 69 This Brahmanic arbitrariness betrays the rules of grammer and brutally twists history. Yaska led this process of twisting of history in the linguistic field. There was no need of giving any popular etymology to the word Asura in this arbitrary manner, Had he fully known and appreciated real history, he could have derived the good sense of the word Asura from ,'Su; also deriving the word Sura from the same root. But he fell pray to false popular stories in ignorance of history. The words Sura and Asura both carry in the Brahmaryan sense, good meanings The illustrious word Asura was degraded very late for which no grammatical pun was necessary. The analysis of historical events could have done the task. Yaska fell in this error on account of the absence of the word Sura specifically in the Rayeda. The absence of the word Sura in the Rayeda had led several scholars to give mechanistic meanings to the word Asura. It has been suggested that the primitive conception of "magic" "occult" power underlies the word Asura The Vedic word Asura is much older than the classical Sanskrit word Sura: Asura does not represent the opposite of Sura. (= god). The word was originally used in the Vedic literature in the sense of a being possessing the highest occult power, 70 This is only a partial truth, It is right to maintain that the word Asura does not represent the opposite of Sura. The Asura stood not only at par with Sura but very much above him. But the assumption that Sura is a classical Sanskrit word and Asura a Vedic word leads to some wrong conclusions. Sura may as well be a Vedic word The prefix 'a' to the word 'Sura' in the negative sense refers only to the difference of qualities. It, in the present context, does not denote contradiction or contrariness. The Asuras alone did not possess the highest occult powers. The Devas also possessed such occult powers. The difference between the Asura and the Deva sections of the undivided Iranaryan society were much more fundamental than this. The former

Sylvain Levi; Pre-Āryan and pre-Dravidian in India; 1929;
 page 132 Note i.

^{70.} R. N Dandekar; Asura Varușa; A.B.O R.i., Vol. 21 page 179.

renounced the cult of the weapon and Soma while the latter still adhered to them. This spiritual sense of the word Asura clearly proves that the Asuras were "Sramanised Devas." Both belonged to the Aryan racial stock.

Devāsura-Samgrāma a Myth

The Brahmana literature throws illuminating light on the Deva and Asura problem. Though Asuras had begun to be looked down by the Devas they do not yet join the array of the Iranarvan adversaries, the Dasas, the Dasyus, the Panis and the Vrtras. Aitareya Brāhmaņa records a family found between the Devas and the Asuras but also records an arrangement entered between them. 71 The family feud between the Devas and the Asuras appears to have been insignificant or the memory of the Deva-Asura battle might have been obliterated by the Satapatha times. Satapatha Brahmana definitely records that the tales and legends relating the fight between Devas and Asuras is not at all true 72 The Asuras are still distrint people-from the Vrtras and the Raksasas. Mitra and Varuna smite not only Asuras but also Raksasas.73 Both the Asuras and the Raksasas impede the oblations, 74 The slaying of Vrtra has numerously been averred; 75 but the Vrtras and the Raksasas have nowhere been equated with Asuras. The Dasas, the Dasyus and the Panis have not been referred in the Brahmana literature. The earlier Upanisads. the Brhadaranyaka and the Chhandogva, do not refer to the Vrtras, the Rakrasas, the Panis and the Dasyus. They refer to the Dasas and the Dasts76 but not in the sense of an adversary.

^{71.} Attareya Brahmana, 6 15.

^{72.} Satapatha Brahmana; 11. 1. 6. 9.

^{73.} Attareya Brahmana 6. 4.

^{74.} Kaustuki Brahmana 28. 2.

^{75. (1)} Attareya Brahmana 1. 26; 2. 4, 3. 16; 3, 20, 3, 21; 4, 22; 7, 28

^(2) Kausttaki Brahmana; 7, 2; 8 2; 15, 2; 18, 9; 23, 2,

^(3) Śatapatha Brahmana 13, 4, 1, 13,

^{76. (1)} Brhadaranyaka Upanişad 6. 2. 7; 4. 4. 23.

^(2) Chb#ndogya Upanişad 5, 13, 2; 7, 24, 2,

They have been used in the sense of slaves. It is, therefore quite clear that the Asura Aryans were slowly assuming a low position in society. They were also considered as enemies by the Deva Aryans but they still remained in the Brahmarvan society till, at least, the sixth century B. C. The Puranic tradition records great battles between the Devas and the Asuras. No battle between the Devas on one side and the Vrtras, Raksasas, Dasas, Dasyusaand Panis on the other is recorded in the Puranas The Puranas, like the Rayeda, also do not record any battle between the Asuras and the Vrtras, and their allies. The Puranas record battles only between the Devas and the Asuras. The ancient Puranas had wholly been recast in the Gupta period and imagination and fancy had played no lesser part in the fabrication of the Gupta recensions of Puranic history Asura Indra. Asura Agni and other Asura Devas are recorded in the Reveda to have vanguished their adversaries the Vrtras, the Raksasas, the Dassas, the Dassus and the Panis. This genuine history was brutally and ruthlessly falsified in the Paramic tradition. The Brahmaryan adversaries, the Vrtras and the r compatriots were equated with Asuras. Ahi Vrtra bromes Vrirāsura; Dasyu Sambara becomes Sambarāsura; Pant Vala becomes Valāsura and Dāsa Varchin become Varchinasura in the Puranas It is in the Puranic age in the beginning of the Christian era that the epithet Asura was transferred to the Reveduc adversaries of the Brahmarvan foreign invaders in a mischievous attempt to cast thick gloss on the real historical events. The Puranic tradition makes the Arvan Asuras non-Arvans to which racial stock they never belonged. The Asuras were never non-Arvans.

Non-Arvanisation of Arvan Asuras

The scause of this transference of the Aryan Asuras to the non-Aryan racial stock is very obvious. A section of the Irānāryans, as stated earlier, had adopted the Sramaņic culture of non-violence, peace and penance and had become moral and righteous people. The Asuras in the Purānas and Mahābhārata are associated with penance, self-control and other spiritual qualities. Twistf was a great penance-practising sage. Vitra, his son, belonged to a peaceful family and

practised penance for 60000 years. 77 Vala was his real brother. 78 Madhu, the father of the Asura race, practised great penances and possessed very high spiritual values. 79 Dhundhu, his son, was a great Tapasvi sage. 80 We may multify these instances by hundreds from the Mahabharata and other Puranic literature where we find Asuras, Daityas and Dănavas practising penance. The Brahmāryan Devas never practised penance. Penance divided the Asuras from the Devas. It has been suggested that Indra incurred the sin of Brahmanicide by killing Vrtra and Namuchi and these two famous Dānavas were Brāhmanas 81 But when Vrtra and Namuchi were killed, the Brahmaryans did not believe in the sanctity of penance and certainly they did not slay the Brahmanas of their own racial stock. It is only a later shrewd attempt to associate peace, non violence and panance with the Brahmanic culture that they are called Brahmanas and Indra is dubbed as a sinner for Brahmanicide. Vrti a and other .non-Arvan adversaries of the Brahmarvan foreign invaders had in their society the Sisnadevas and Munis who practised peace and penance and hence they were held in high esteem by their followers. These amongst them converted to the Brahmic way took the memories of their erstwhile Gurus into their new fold with force and influence. Brahmana represented the new equivalent office. These new converts held Indra guilty for Brahmanicide, or, may be, that when a section of the Iranaryan and Brahmaryan society adopted the Sramanic way; these Brahmas became Asuras Asuras were a section of the Brahma tribal collective. The Asuras were thus Brahmas also, the Brahmasuras. When the epithet Asura was transferred to non-Aryans, it was transferred with its Brahmic association. The non-Aryan Vrtras became not only Asuras but Brahmasuras. The two different events of history became amalgamated together and this

^{77.} Mahabharata (Cr Fd.); 5. 9. 42; 12. 272. 36.

^{78.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed), 1. 59 32.

^{79.} Mahibharata (Cr. Ed): 3, 194, 24.

⁸⁰ Mababbarata (Cr. Ed); 1, 59, 32

^{81.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 307.

coalesced concept was then ceremoniously transferred. The later descendants of the pre-Arvan Sramanic culture in Bharata: the Buddhists and the Jamas have also been made Daitvas and Asuras by the Brahmanical sages. 82 The association of the Arvan Asuras with Sramanic culture had to be obliterated from history by the later separatist Brahmana Rsis and the easiest way discovered by them was to transfer the epithet Asura itself to the people of Samanic culture with whom, according to them, they had eternal conflict. This was the state of affairs till circa 150 B. C., the age of Pataniali, 83 We may thus safely conclude that the Asuras belonged to the Aryan racial stock They were despised by their own kinsmen for their Sramanic associations. They were finally ex-communicated from the Brahmanic society circa 200 A. D. They henceforward began to be identified with the non-Arvan Sramanic peoples: a clear case of historical transposition

3. THE ANGIRASAS

Angiras Scientists

The Angurasas were a distinct people within the Āryan fold. They were the first Āryan scientists to separate living coal from the mass fires in their original home, the Uttara-kuru-land. ** They derived their name Afigiras from Angārā, a live charcoal. ** Angārā became Angurasāh. ** The Europāryan (Indo-European) parallel of the word Angārā is "Angurasa" in the sense of messenger. The Greek parallel word "Angarā," also senses. ** Anāgrā was a messenger of the mass fires to the human society. It carried the message that Agni was of great service for the material development of the human society. The scientific use of

^{82.} F. E. Pargiter; op cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 68, 291.

^{83.} B. N. Puri, India in the time of Patanjali; 1957, page 191, 11.

R. C. Jain; The Most Ancient Aryan Society, 1964; Chapter 4 section I.

^{85.} Nirukta, 3 17.

^{86.} Aitareya Brahmana, 3 34.

^{87.} Siddheswar Varma; op. cit.; page 27.

Agni, thus, originated, for the Aryans, in their undivided social stage.

Angiras and Agni

The Angirasas are sons of Agni. As The Angirasas first discovered Agni, hidden in secret, taking refuge from wood to wood. They churned it with great force, hence Agni is called son of strength. By The Angirasas, thus, become the fathers of Agni. It appears that the Angirasas carried on two-fold scientific experiments. Some attempted to separate a living charcoal from the mass fires. The others churned it from wood. Both the set of scientists were successful. The savage Aryan society benefitted much from the discovery of Agni.

The morphothestic Āryan leaders were in the habit of assuming to themselves the names representing Natural Powers. 50 That Deva scannists-in-chief who led the Angirassas to this discovery assumed to himself the cpithet Agin, 191 Angiras, thus, became identified with Agin 192 Agin is Angiras, son of strength 197 Agin is Angiras, son of strength 197 Agin is Angiras, son of food 197 Agin is chief and butter, 294 Agin is Angiras, son of food 197 Agin is chief of Angirasas, 204 Agin is the first, and the chiefs and the eldest of Angirasas, 297 Agin was the chief weapon of the Aryans during their extensive military conguests of Europe and Assis. Agin was a great Āryan military commander who wielded fire and other weapons in hattles against the Āryan diversaries. The military importance of commander Agin to the Āryans is next only to Indra in West Asia and Bhārata

^{88.} Rgveda 10 5. 2. 5

^{89.} Rgvcda 5 1.11 6.

^{90.} R. C. Jain, The most Ancient Aryan Society, 1964, Chapter 8.

^{91.} Rgveda I 7. I. I

^{92.} Rgycda 1. 1 1. 6; 4. 1. 3. 15; 4. 1. 9 7; 5 1 8. 4, 5. 1. 10. 7; 6. 1. 2 10; 6 2 1 11

^{93.} Rgveda 1. 17, 1. 5; 8, 7, 1, 2

^{94.} Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 11, 8, 5, 1, 11, 8 8, 6, 5,

^{95.} Rgveda 8. 9 4. 4.

^{96.} Rgveda 1, 13, 2, 2; 8 4, 3, 10; 8, 6, 1, 18, 8 6, 2, 8,

^{97.} Rgveds 1, 7, 1, 2; 1, 19 1, 1,

Though Agni is the first and the chiefest Angiras; other Aryan Devas soon acquired efficiency in the use of fire and fire weapons Indra, in due course of time, also became chief of the Angirasas. 98 The intelligent Soma also became chief of the Angirasas and sprinkled oblation with exhibarating juice. 99 Indu dropped butter and juice on the Angirasas. 100 The Angirasas were thus associated with the Soma-drinking Ārvans. Usas was also an Angiras chief. 101

Angurasas are very ancient people. They are remembered in the Rgveda as father, 102 our father 103 and forefathers, 104 They had Dadhikrayan, the swift steed, in front of their chariot, 105 They were great supporters of Indra and praised his deeds. 10th Indra or Viśvedevas bestowed wealth upon the race of Angirasas. 197 Usas bestowed riches upon them. 108

Military expeditions of Angirosas

The Angurasas were great mulitary warriors. Agni, the chief of Angurasas, consumed Raksasas 109 The greatest military feat of the Angirasas was directed against the Pani leader Vala Angurasas praised Indra, 110 Praised by the Angurasas. Indra broke open the defences in the mountains and destroyed Vala, 111 He forced open all his gates, destroyed cities and

```
101. Rgveda 7. 5. 5. 1; 7. 5, 9 3
102 Rgveda 10 5, 2, 2,
103 Rgveda 1, 12, 7, 2,
104 Rgveda 1 11. 5. 2, 1. 12 7. 2; 4 1, 2, 15; 4, 1, 3, 11;
     5 1, 11, 6, 5, 4, 1, 8, 6, 6, 4, 5, 7, 3, 9, 1; 7, 3, 19, 3;
```

105. Rgveda 7, 3, 11 4, 106. Rgveda 1, 16, 2, 2, 1, 18, 1, 1,

98 Rgveda 1 15 7 4: 1 19 4, 3. 99. Rgveda 9, 7, 4 6. 100 Rgveda 9, 3, 2, 7,

107. Rgveda 1. 18. 1. 3. 108, Rgveda 4, 5, 6 4.

10. 1. 14. 3-6.

- 109. Rgveda 8, 6, 1, 27,
- 110. Rgveda 1. 11. 5. 5; 4. 2. 6. 8.
- 111 Rgveda 2, 2, 4, 8,

slew Vala. 112 Indra hurled Vala headlong and liberated cows for Angirasas, 113 Brhaspati is son of Angiras and belonged to his gana. It appears that the whole of the military might of the Angiras gana was employed for the destruction of the Vala power. The destruction of the Vala power opened the way for the Angurasas to acquire all the wealth of the Panis comprising horses, cows and other cattle. 118 The second military encounter of the Angirasas was against Asva. Angurasas obtained the help of Indra and Usas for the demolition of his ancient cities and won cattle, 116 The third military encounter of the Angiras gana leader Brhaspati was with Parvata. Brhaspati under the leadership of Indra, set free the herd of kine concealed by Parvata 117 In the fourth encounter. Angirasas themselves preceded Aswins to the covern and recovered the cattle, 118 It appears that their adversaries fled and did not throw any opposition. Indra assisted Angirasas in opening the herd of cattle, 119 So did Soma. 120 Indra and Angirasas, with the help of Sarama, set on the search of cattle 121 of the Panis and plundered them. The Angirasas, with the help of their compatriots, undertook these military and pillaging activities for winning the wealth and riches of their adversaries.

Angirasas as Asura Warriors

The Angurasas were great Asura warriors, 122 It appears that when the Iranaryan society became divided in Suradrinking and non-Surā-drinking sections, led respectively by

```
112, Rgveda 6, 2, 3, 5, 2, 1 11 20,
```

^{113,} Rgveda 8, 3, 2, 8; 8, 7 4, 3,

^{114.} Rgveda 4, 5, 5, 5,

^{115,} Rgveda 1, 13, 10, 4,

^{116,} Rgveda 2. 2. 9. 5.

^{117,} Rgveda 2, 3, 1, 18.

^{118.} Rgveda 1, 16, 7, 18,

^{119,} Rgveda 1, 10, 1, 3; 1, 19, 6, 4

^{120,} Rgveda 9. 5, 1, 23,

^{121.} Rgveda 1. 11, 5, 8.

^{122.} Rgveda E. 4, 15, 7,

Indra and Varuna; the Angirasas sided the former. They afterwards maintained their supreme position in the military hierarchy of the Brahmaryan section under the supreme commandership of Indra. They were reckoned only next to Indra. Asura Angirasas played significant historic role in Brahmārvan victories over Vrtras, Dasas, Dasyus and Panis in far western and western Bharata The efficient fire-wielding commanders, the Angirasas, owe an explanation to the history of mankind for ruthless, inhuman and barbarous burning of important ancient cities in these regions. The archaeological explorations in these regions supply evidence of burning at the end of the Rana Ghundari III c phase. The Sohar-Damb settlement at Nal was so violently burnt that its name Sohar-Damb meaning "burnt red" still persists for more than three millentums and stands testimony to the Brahmaryan brutality and savagery. At Dabarkot, the upper feet of the tell showed no less than four-thick ash layers, implying repeated destructive confligrations of the later settlements. One of the later settlements at this site was that of Harappa people, 123 Asura Indra could not have accomplished these great military feats without the active participation of his efficient Angiras scientists. Asura Angirasas, the great military commanders of the Brahmarvan Fire-Brigade, played a decisive role in subjugating these regions

Beginning of Retualisation of Yajña

The Brahmäryan final voctory in Däśarājňa war circa 1100 B. C securely established their political power in Bhārata. The Angirasas, who played a very important historical role in undivided Āryan and Irānāryan times, maintained their supremacy in the Brahmen herarchy in the post Dáśarājňa period. They rendered yeomen service in cementing the Brahmäryan foundations in Bhārata. Yajña, as previously, still was their great weapon. They were the masters of the original Yajña. They, in the changed circumstances, continued to be the masters of the ritualised Yajña. The moety of the Viśwāmitras, under the Bhrgu influence, had joined the

Brahmaryan fold. They soon recognised the importance of the Angirasas in the Brahma society and developed friendship with them. The Angirasas also appreciated the historical significance of the powerful Viśvāmitras in furthering their political and social supremacy in Bharata. Viśvamitras, along with other Bharativa convert Rsis, helped the Brahma Rsis in the ritualisation of the Yajña The institution of Yajña was ritualised within the Brahma framework. The ritualised pattern of Yama, as finally evolved under the leadership of the Angirasas, had the final stamp of the Angiras way. Every Rsi and worshipper, thenceforward, performed Yajhas in the Angiras way. Visvamitras were the first to popularise this Angiras way of Yaina Visvamitra worshipped like an Angiras 124 Angirasas, priests at the magico-ritualities bestowed great riches upon Visyamitra at the magico-rituality 1.5 The non-Aryan convert Rsi Bharadvaia became the most earnest adorer amongst Angirasas. 126 The adorer of Maruts praised like Angiras, 127 The new convert Rsi Nabhaka addressed new prayers as by Angiras 128 The vast mass of the adolers adored Agni like Angirasas, 129 The Brahmaryan society was still a universal tribal collective, the Brahman. The Brahma Rsis; like Angirasas, Vasisthas and others, and the convert Brahma Rsis like Viśvāmitra, Bharadvāja, Kanya, Kasyapa and others, jointly evolved the institution of the ritualised Yaiña, under the leadership of Angirasas Devas gave to the Angirasas the milch cow which Arvaman milked for Agni 10 for the advancement of Agni, i.e. Yajña. We, thus, find that the Angirasas played a very great historical role from the very beginning. They are significantly associated with the Arvan

^{124.} Rgveda 3, 3, 2, 19,

^{125,} Rgveda 3, 4, 15, 7,

^{126.} Ŗgveda 6, 1 11, 3

^{127,} Rgveda 6, 4, 6, 11,

^{128,} Rgveda 8, 5, 10, 12,

^{129.} Rgveda 1. 7, 1. 17; 1. 9, 2 3; 1, 11, 5, 1; 1, 13, 5, 3, 2, 2, 6, 1; 8, 6, 1, 13,

^{130.} Rgveda 1. 20. 6. 7.

progress in Europe and Asia through all the stages till the beginning of the first millennium B. C.

4. THE ATHARVANS

Iranaryan People

The Atharvans are a lesser people than the Angirasas. They are also lesser criminals in history than the Angirasas. The Atharvaveda is known as Atharvangiras Veda. The Atharvans are, here, the priests of white magic while the Angirasas of black magic. The Atharvans are Iranaryan people. The Atharvans are known to the Avestic literature. They are associated with Agni 131

The Atharvans are nowhere associated with Soma or Surā It appears that when the Iranarvan society was divided into the two-sections of Surä-drinkers and non-Surä-drinkers; the Atharvan joined the non-Surā-drinking Varuna people. Hence, they enjoy more prestige in the Avestic literature than the Angirasas Indra for this very reason entertained hostility towards them. Indra admits that he slew Atharvan Dadhyanch, son of Atharvan, 132

Agni People

The Atharvanas are fathers like the Angirasas and the Bhrgus. 133 They generated Agni, 134 The Agni generated by Atharvan is the messenger of Vivasvan. Vivasvan in this context, appears to be a human votary, a follower of Atharvan. He could have been an Atharvana Rsi. The "messengership" of Agni begins in the post-Dāśarājňa war ritualistic age. Atharvan, first by Yagñas discovered the path and then the Sūrya was born. 135 Vivasvān and Sūrya both have the same meaning and hence may be identified. Vivasvan is known to the Avestic literature 136 but not Manu. After the

^{131.} Rgveda 7. 1. 1. 1

^{132.} Rgveda 10. 3. 17. 2. 133. Rgveda 10. 1. 14. 6.

^{134.} Rgveda 6, 2, 1, 13,

^{135.} Rgveda 1, 13, 10, 5,

^{136.} A. F. Khabardar; op. cit.; page 364,

Dāśarājāa war, it appears, that the Atharvans made peace with them and along with Manu and Dadhyanch engaged in making hymns in praise of Indra 137

Atharvan-Vivasvan Manu

Atharvan, in Avestic literature, has been identified with prophet Zarthusthra himself. Atharvan, thus, becomes deeply associated with Ahura Mazda. Vivasvan is father of Yima or Yama 139 Twastr is mentioned there but not as father-inlaw of Vivasyan but as Ahura Mazda himself, the motion giver, 140 Ahura Mazda and his messenger Zarthusthra were against animal sacrifices and soma-drinking. Manu is not at all mentioned in the Avestic literature. Atharvan and Yama are the only connecting links Atharvan, in the Vedic literature is father of Vivasvan Atharvan Agni is his messenger 141 Yama is real brother of Manu, 142 Manu, in the Rgveda is Vivasvan himself 149. But he is better known as son of Vivasvat or Vivasvant 144 Vivasvat married Saranvu alias Savarna, 145 Manu, after her, is also called Savarni, a matriarchal epithet Saranyu or Savarna was daughter of Tvastr She was also the mother of Yama, 144 It appears that Vivasvant married the daughter of Tvastr in the Iranaryan age and only Yama was born to her. Manu is only a Brahmaryan leader. It may, hence, fairly be assumed that Manu was, not the son, only a descendant of Vivasvant. He was associated with Yama very late in the Tenth Mandala of

```
137, Rgveda 1, 13, 7, 16,
```

^{138.} A. F. Khabardar, op. cit., page 285

^{139.} A. F. Khabardar, op. cit.; page 363.

^{140.} A. F. Khabardar, op. cit , pages 182-183.

^{141.} Rgveda 8, 2, 4, 7.

^{142.} Rgveda 10, 1, 10.

^{143.} Rgveda 8 Balakhilya 4. 1.

^{144, (1)} Atharvaveda 8, 10, 24,

⁽²⁾ Šatapatha Brāhmana; 13, 4, 3, 3,

⁽³⁾ Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.), 1, 10, 11,

^{145.} Nirukta: 12, 10,

^{146.} Rgveda 10, 2, 1, 1-2,

the Rgveda. Tvaştı was father of pre-Āryan non-Āryan Ahi Vitra. 147 Ahi Viţra was the arch-enemy of the Irānāryan foreign nuvaders in Far Western Bhārata who was killed not by Indra but by Varuna who humself and his followers were later conquered by the Vtra culture. Irānāryan (Indo-Irānian) Indra is not Vṭtrahan. 148 Tvaṣṭṭ, father of horned Vṭtra, 149 is three-horned. Horn was the emblem of power and prestige with the non-Āryan dignitaries. Tvaṣṭṭ is considered an Asura by Sāyana 191 as late as fourteenth century A D Vivasvant or Tvaṣṭṭ have been described, as sons of Kaṣyapa, the father of the Ahi or Nāga sub-race. Tvaṣṭṭ appears as independantly associated with the Ahi sub-race. Manu, on the maternal side, if not on the paternal side, is associated with the pre-Āryan non-Āryan Ahi blood.

Atharvana Manu-prophet of Yajña Ritualisation

Āthervana Manu, a descendant of Vivasvat is the first magico-ritualist. 188 He is the inventor of magico-ritual rites, 185 Aneirats alone has been given in the Rgweda the fatherhood of Yajha. What, then, is the significance of Manu, Agni, being the father of Yajha. Angiras was the originator of the Yajha, the tribal activity of the undivided Āryans. 186 When the institution of Yajhā began to be ritualised during the post-Dāsarājhā period, it still remained associated with the human Yajha. The convert Brahma Rajis had taken with them the ideology of non-violence into their new fold. That ideology sharply reacted upon rituals associated with violence in

^{147.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.):25, 9. 43.

^{348.} E. K. Ghose; Indo-Iranian Relations (in Vedic Age); 1957; page 222.

^{149.} Rgveda 1, 7, 3, 12,

^{150.} Rgveda 5, 3, 11, 13,

Rgveda Samhitt (V. S.M.); Vol. I page 578 (Rv. 1. 14.
 4); Vol IV page 218 (Rv. 6. 5. 12. 3.), Vol. II page 414 (Rv. 3. 4. 10. 4.)

^{152.} Rgveda 10. 5. 3. 7.

^{153.} G. Bublar, The Laws of Manu; 1886; page LlX.

R. C. Jain; The Most Ancient Tryan Society; 1964; Chapter IV. section I.

the then Yainas. The new doctrines with the passage of time gained wide acceptance. Viśvāmitra saved Sunahaśepa from ritualistic death 185 Manu originated the new form of Yajña along with seven Purohitas. The seven Purohitas included Viśvāmitra. Kaśvapa, Atri and Gotama also Manu became the father of Vaiña ritualisation in the newer form without human oblations though; under the influence of Indra and old Brahma Rsis animal sacrifices and Soma-drinking continued even at these reformed Yajñas. Manu was a departure from old Angiras way of Yajña. The later Yajñas followed the Manu way of Yaina ritualisation. 186 Manu perfected the art and science of ritualisation of the institution of Yajña. Yajña, in the Manu form, became divorced from the old Brahmic (tribal) activity though its Brahmic foundation was kept in tact. Ritualisation of the institution of Yaina, was the effect of the spiritual reaction due to the strong impact of the original Sramanic culture. Yajña in the olden days, signified the tribal activity of violence and exploitation in its brutal way. The primitive Aryan society had developed the social institution of Purusamedha with tribal dances and promiscuous matings. The Ganapati himself willed his death This volition was not ego-centred but a collective impersonal volition The tribal collective willed the death of its supreme leader and he voluntarily sacrificed himself. Purusamedha was performed on the occasions of grave "national" emergencies either when some enemy gans was to be conquered or the tribal collective had to defend itself from some foreign invasion. The eating of the flesh, blood and bones by the tribal collective was either the Federation-Feast or the Unification-feast. The ultimate aim was to establish or strengthen the oneness of the original or the augmented tribe, Convert Brahma rsi Viśvāmitra, the former Dasyu chief, joining the Brahmarvan fold with his fifty younger sons led by Madhucchandas, had given death blow to the Purusamedha. The ritualisation era of Yajūa had been inaugurated and Purusa was ritualised into Horse. It was a great revolution in the

^{155,} Aitareya Brahmana; 7, 13-18,

^{156.} Rgveds 1, 13, 3, 5.

history of Yajña. Aśvamedha took the place of Puruşamedha. Taittiriya Brāhmana manifestly narrates the purpose of Aśvamedha like this 157

"After he (Prajāpatı) had created the creatures, Prajāpatı through love entered into them. He could not disengage himself from them and re-assemble his parts together. He said, "He shall prosper who shall disengage me from these and restore me to unity." Then they prospered. Whosoever offers the Asvamedha, he restores Prajapati to unity and (consequently) prospers." The sacrifice of Prajapati is known only to the Tenth Mandala of the Rgyeda. The conception of Ganapati, now, in the changed circumstances, had been transferred to Prajapati Ganapati was transformed as Prajapati, Ganapati (Purusa) or Prajapati represents the whole will of the tribal collectivity. Horse, now, was identified with Ganapati or Prajapati Horse, hence, came to represent the whole will of the tribal collectivity. All had to be sacrificed to activise the whole The Horse could be arrested by somebody at the cost of military engagement with the whole society, the horse represented Aśvamedha was the latest invention of the Brahmanic society to keep its homogeneity and unity in tact and to further increase its strength and power. Janameiava, called son of Pariksit, in the post-Revedic period circa the ninth century B. C, performed a great Asyamedha. 58 Asyamedha ritualisation appears to have gained supremacy in the first two centuries of the first millennium B. C The ritualisation of Yajna placed the Brahmic social exploitation on a human basis and in a rational form. The violence associated with Purusamedha became very much diminished though the horrers of animal sacrifices still continued. Social changes do not come in a day The Asyamedha, still, was a great advance on the Purusamedha. The Angiras way of Yajna had given way to the Manu way of Yajna. Manu was the prophet of this great social revolution in

^{157.} Tattiriya Brähmana 3 9, 8

^{158 (1)} Attareya Brahmana 8, 2, 1

⁽²⁾ H. C. Roychowdhari; Political Hutory of Ancient India, 1950; pages 36-37.

the history of Yajña, Manu, hence, may rightly be called the father of Yajña ritualisation.

Age of Manu

The Arvan Ganapatis, Devas and Rsis all took active part in military combats with their adversaries. The Angirasas, Vasisthas and Blirgus, along with Indra, Brhaspati, Maruts and others, had played glorious roles against Vrtras, Panis and others of their stock. We do not find Manu taking part. actively or construtively, in any military combat. This is very significant. Manu appears in the Rgveda along with Angiras and Yavati, 159 Bhrgu and Angiras, 160 Atharvan and Dadhyanch 161 and Dadhyanch, Angiras, Atri and Kanva; 162 the old and the new Brahma Rsis But he himself is not an old Rsi. No Brahmärvan leader reamined aloof, from military activites before Dăśarājāa war. Manu, hence, may be placed ın the post-Dâśarājňa period. Manu had Uśanas Kāvva as his Guru. Usanas, son of Kavi, established Agni as the ministrant priest, as the offerer of magico-rituality for Manu 163 Usanas Kāvya may be identified with Usanas-Sukra belonging to the Bhrgu tribe Usanas Kavya was the preceptor of Daityas and Dānavas. 164 Bhṛgus, though originally pure Aryans, had become a mixed tribe They had fought along with the Dasyus and the Dasas against Sudas in the Dasaraina battle at Paruşni. 165 Usanas-Kāvya could have imparted the learning of the Yajña rituals to Manu only after the Dāśarātāa war when the victors and the vanguished had amalgamated. Manu perfected the art of Yajña ritualisation with scientific expertness and won many followers who began to be called followers or sons of Manu. 166 He could not yet

```
159. Rgveda 1. 7. 1. 17.
```

^{160,} Rgveda 8 5 1, 13,

^{161,} Rgveda 1, 13, 7, 16,

^{162.} Rgveda 1. 20 6. 9.

^{163.} Rgveda 8, 4, 3, 17.

^{164.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit (A ! H T.) page 18, 194

^{165.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 6.

^{166.} Rgveda 4. 4. 5 1

win the tutle of fatherhood of all the ritual priests in the kernal of the Rgveda. He himself is still a minor rai contributing only an insignificant part in the making of the Rgvedic hymns. He is called the progenitor of Yajha ritualisation only in the Tenth Mandala of the Rgveda composed and added to the kernal of the Rgveda crica 800 B.C. We may, thus confidently place the Manu way of Yajha ritualisation between circa 1000-800 B.C.

5. THE VASISTHAS

Vasisthas of Trisu Tribe

Vasistha was born of the common seminal effusion of Mitra and Varuna from the womb (Water-jar) of Urvasi, the fairest of the Arvan Apsarasas, 167 Vasistha belonged to the race of white-complexioned people, 168 Vasistha, thus, belongs to the pure Aryan racial stock. He was very popular amongst his own people He did not overlook even the very lowest amongst his own people 149 Vasistha and his descendants were Trtsus 170 Divodasa, grandfather of Sudas, was a commonder who rose to the status of Indra. Sudas became senior of Indra. 171 It appears that Divodasa was the founder of the Tstsu tribe which attained great power and prestige during the times of Sudas. Vasistha rose to great eminence in the Trtsu tribe. He was Vasistha: 172 eminent, creator and the first; amongst his Trtsu people, He became the Pura-eta or Purohita 173 of the Trtsus The Trtsu people, thenceforward, greatly prospered 174

Vasiştha and Dāśarōjña War

Vasistha was on a great masterplan for the strength and glory of his people. He sought the help of Indra, who, at

```
167 Rgveda 7 2 16, 11, 13,
```

^{168,} Rgveda 7, 2, 16, 1

^{169.} Rgveda 7 4. 4. 3

^{170.} Rgveda 7, 5, 13, 8,

^{171.} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 16.

¹⁷⁴ Rgveda 7. 2. 16. 6.

the instance of Päsadymna Väyata, was helping the Prihus and Parsus ¹⁷⁶ in Far Western Bhārata. Indra understood Vasisha and hastened to the help of Trisus, ¹⁷⁶ Sudās had to encounter a minor opposition on the river Sindhu, but he, through the help of Indra and Vasishas, easily slew his fors. ¹⁷⁷ Sudās and his Trisus encamped between Sindhu and Parusian. The famous Dāšarājnā war ¹⁷⁸ between the Brahmāryan-Bhāratiya forces was then fought Sudās through the help of Indra and Vasishas, won the Dāšarājnā war annihalated the Bharatas and conquered their vast country ¹⁷⁹

Vasistha-Vtsvamtra Rıvalrı

Viśvāmitra led the Bharata forces of Dāśarājāa confederacy and took his forces from east to west crossing the joint stream of Vipās and Sutudrī 180 The Sudās forces had come from west to east. Both the opposing forces first met at Hariyuniya, 181 Several scholas consider that Sudas was the leader of the Bharatas and his forces crossed from east to west in the Dāśarāiña war. This is manifestly wrong, 7 he Bnaratas were quite different from the Trtsus A wrong and mechnical interpretation of certain Rgvedic hymns have led the scholars to such fallacious results. The Dāśarāiña war sūkta clearly gives the right directions. Vasistha of the west and Visvamitra of the east stood face to face against each other in the first fateful war fought on the present Bharatiya soil that for the next three thousands years or more led to the chain of foreign invasions leading consequently to peoples enslavements, time and again. The rivalry between Vasistha and Viśvāmitra is

^{175.} Macdonell & Keith, Vedic Indea, 1988, Vol I, page 523 Ludwig sees in Paśadyumna Vāyata a priest of the Prihus and Paršus. This view is very probable

^{176.} Rgveda 7. 2. 16. 2

^{177.} Rgveda 7, 2, 16, 3

^{178.} Rgweda 3 3 4, 7 2, 16, 7 5, 13, 7, 2, 1; 6 3, 4,
Dāsarājān War is maltily described in these Rgwedic Süktas.

^{179,} Rgveda 2. 2. 16. 5. 6.

^{180.} Rgveda 3, 3. 4. 11-12.

^{181.} Rgveda 6, 3. 4. 5, 6.

very true and this historical rivalry has rightly been referred in the later Brahmanical literature. Only its true, historical significance had never been rightly appreciated. Viśvāmitra has been described as a Ksatriya prince who practised great penances and austerities to win Brahma-hood. Vasisthas always opposed his getting the Brahmahood. This Brahmanic and Puranic legend contains in itself a great historical truth. He has been denounced as a Kşatrıya because he belonged to the pre-Arvan non-Arvan racial stock. The Brahma, at this period of Brahmaryan history, was an undivided universal tribal collective of the foreign invaders; having no Ksatriya class at this stage Though the Vasisthas opposed the move of the admittance of the Viśvāmitras to the Brahma fold: Bhrgus. the earstwhile enemies of Vasistha and Trtsus; along with the Angirasas, supported the move and Viśvāmitra and his fifty younger sons led by Madhuchchhandas, who contributed much to the creations of the Rgvedic hymns, were converted to the Brahma way. Viśvāmitra and his sons, along with the older Brahma rsis, became the first convert Brahma rsis. They did not primarily belong to the Brahma fold. They adopted it. These black-skinned convert Brahmas were cleverer, wiser and more intelligent than the white-skinned ones, 182 The Vasisthas opposed the conversion of the Bharativa people because they were superior to them. 183 They also feared the loss of monopoly to tribal wealth and riches which was exclusively preserved for them. The fears of Vasistha proved true, Viśvāmitra won Purohita-ship of Sudās, 184 Vasistha overcame the sons of Sudās in revenge for the slaying of his sons, '85 Material loss could not be left unrevenged. The Viśvāmitras, inspite of the Vasisthas and in face of their active opposition, grew more in their adopted society.

^{182.} Buddhaprakash; Vrtra, A. B. O. R. I. Vol., 30, page 194.

^{183,} Satapatha Brahmana; 12, 6, 1, 41

^{184. (1)} Nirukta; 2, 24-

^(2) Śankhayana Śrauta Sūtra; 26, 12, 13,

^{185.} Macdonell & Keith; Vedic Index; 1958, Vol. II page 275.

¹² B.

Pañchāgnis 1 -

The Vasisthas were great sacrificers. They were the first to profit by the spoils of war won in the Dāśarājňa war and from the later chain of exploitations of the original people. They glorified Indra, 186, Varuna, 187 Agni 188 who art also Mitra and Varuna, 189 Usas, 180 Indra and Vayu, 191 Asvins 193 and Heaven and Earth. 193 The Vasisthas settled on the banks of river Saraswatt and established Vasistha Agni, 194 The Vasisthas, on the banks of auspicious Saraswati, set open the two doors, (the east and the west) of sacrifice. 195 It appears that Vasisthas, the conservative non-progressive people, evolved two forms of sacrifices; one for the pure Brahmas and the other for the convert Brahmas as generally happens in history. History has witnessed such social and religious differences between the Muslims and their converts and the Christians and their converts. Vasistha may be credited with the fatherhood of the historical differential treatments. It appears that in opposition to Vasistha Agni, Bhārata Agni 196 was invented by the convert Brahmas. We also know the most ancient Angiras Agni. Angiras Agni, as shown earlier, was invented from the separation of Angara from the mass fires. Vasistha Agni is the Trisu edition of Angiras Agni. Bhārata Agni was generated with ten fingers by Devasravas and Devavata. sons of Bharata on the excellent banks of the Drsadvati; Apaya and Saraswati. 197 Bharata Agns displays a human aspect being associated with ten fingers. It is not a Deva

```
186. Rgveda 7, 2, 6, 1; 7, 3, 4 4; 7, 2, 6, 6
```

^{187.} Rgveda 7, 5, 16, 5,

^{188.} Rgveda 7. 3, 9 6, 7. 1. 7. 7, 7. 1 8, 7.

^{189.} Kgveda 7, 1 12, 3,

^{190.} Rgveda 7. 5. 10. 1.

^{191.} Rgveda 7. 6. 1. 7; 7, 6 2, 7.

^{192,} Rgveda 1, 16, 7, 9; 7, 4, 15, 6,

^{193.} Rgveda 7. 3. 6 7; 7. 3. 7 7.

^{194.} Rgveda 7. 1 1. 8.

^{195.} Rgveda 7. 6. 6. 6.

^{196,} Rgveda 2, 1, 7, 1, 5; 4 8, 4, 4; 6, 2, 1, 19.

^{197.} Rgveda 3, 2, 11, 2-4.

Agni but it is a human Agni. It is significant that this reference occurs in the third Mandala of the Rgyeda composed by a convert Brahma Rgi. Bhārata Agni may, therefore, well mean Viśvāmitra Agni. We have already alluded to the Atharvan Agni and the Bhrgu Agni. All these Pañchāgnis merged and developed into Manu Agni which, thenceforward, became the universal pattern for all the Brahma followers all alike.

CHAPTER VIII

TWO EARLIEST MIXED TRIBES

1. INTRODUCTION

Purity of blood is a myth since eternity. We may tentatively speak of this or that blood but that is indicative only of certain groups, territorial or social. The fusion of cultures, civilizations, ideas and ways along with their bearers is a historical truth. Hindu society is the mixture of the Āryan and the Pre-Āryan bloods. The admission of the existence of Hindu society presupposes a proto-Hindu society divided into the Bhāratiyan and the Brāhmanic societies. Hindu society was the result of the fusion of the two societies. There were mixtures in the two aforesaid societies since their contacts in the later part of the second millennium B C. Their earliest contacts were primarily on the battlefields. But when the sounds of the war-drums receded, both settled down to peace and mixed together. This mixing was a two-way traffic, joined by manifold streams.

We today witness thousands of mixed castes in India. But inspite of our casteic diversities into the Brāhmanas, the Kṣatriyas, the Yaisyas and the Sodras and their unaccountable and unaccounted divisions, we are all one. We do not differ. This is an ever-going phenomenon of Indian history. Our earliest mixtures may be traced to the dawn of the Rgvedic history 3000 years ago. History records the two earliest ethnic mixtures. The earliest mixture of the Brahmāryan and the Bhāratiya blood resulted in the origin of the Bhīgu ethnic group with the former elements predominating. The second earliest mixture of these two resulted in the origin of the Kuru ethnic group with the later elements predominating. The second earliest mixture of these two resulted in the origin of the Kuru ethnic group with the later elements pre-dominating.

2. THE BHRGUS

Archaeology and the Rgveda

D. H. Gordon, a sympathetic archaeologist who also draws upon the literary sources to find out truth, has made very pertinent remarks in establishing some relationship between archaeology and the Reveda as follows—

"These invasions appear to have been the result of the movements of warlike people exemplified by those of Givan II and Hissar III Such movements and in fact the general unrest at the start of the second millennium B. C. which spread throughout all the countries south of the Caucasus from Anatolia to Elam, must be associated with the appearance of the Arvans upon the historical scene . . The hymns of the Royeda indicate that some of the invaders came to terms with the Harappans . It seems likely also that these Arvans or semi-Arvan tribes of Turvasa, Vichivants and Yadus, who were located in the south-west and called Dasa in one passage of the Rgyeda (Rv. 10, 62, 10) and who are referred to as having been brought by Indra from across the sea (Samudra = Indus?), were descendants of the Jhukar people. They fought the true Vedic clans of the Trtsu Srajava from the time of the battle of Hariyupiya, which may well indicate Haranna to the decisive battle many years later when the confederacy of Ten Kings, an alliance of all the western clans under the leadership of the Yadus and the Turvasas, was beaten by the Trtsu and the Śrājaya under Sudās." 1 He commends the original research of Stuart Piggot who traced the connections of the Shahi Tump grava-goods with Hissar III. Anau III and Jhukar and indicated that Circa 1800 B. C. 18 a reasonable date to start period of invasion.2 He has repeated some of his arguments in a more important late work of his. 3

D. H. Gordon—The Pottery Industries of the Indo-Iranian Border, Ancient India No. 10-11, page 170.

^{2.} D. H. Gordon, op. cit, page 168.

D. H. Gorden, The Pre-Historic Back ground of Indian Culture, Bombay, 1988, pages 77 to 97.

D. H. Gordon includes the disintegration of the Harappa culture during the period of invasions. He suggests the resistance of Harappan people to invaders Circa 1700-1650 B. C. and the fall of Mohenjodaro Circa 1550 B. C. thus slightly amending the chronology of Mortimer Wheeler from Circa 2500-1500 B. C. to Circa 2600-1550 B. C. 4

Stuart Piggot parallels one ornamented stone bead from Lohunjodaro to one found at Hissar III c and Anau III. He also maintains that Shahi Tump cemetery, which is pretty well dated, is contemporary with the end of the Harappa culture in the Indus Valley. Mackay excavated seven bronze or copper pins and suggested that they are in the nature of a Harappa survival into the Jhukar period. Stuart Piggot, disagreeing with him, maintains that they are the type of the Jhukar culture, and in no way associated with that of Harappa. He accords it chronological position of Hissar III and Anau III. Wheeler finds fallacy in equating objects in date on the basis of level. §

Arvans in West Asia

Piggot and Gordon agree that the great Āryan movements began from Russian Turkistan Circa 2000 B. C. ? The first division of the Āryans had taken place before this period and the Europäryan brother might have migrated to Europe south of Urals from their undvided home, the northern parts of the South Russian Steppes, which part in Bhārattya mythico-geography may be called Uttarakuru. 8 The remaining Asiāryans migrated South of Caucasus to Anatolia and Northern Mesopotamia and South of Pamirs to Iran Circa 2000 B. C. Those Asiāryans who went to Anatolia founded

^{4.} D. H. Gordon, op. cit., Ancient India, pages 165, 170, 178.

^{5.} Stuart Piggot, Pre-historic India, Pelican, 1952, pages 225, 114.

Mortimer Wheeler-Technical Section, Ancient India, No. 3 pages 145 to 147.

Stuart Piggot, op. cit., page 240. D. H. Gordon, op. cit.,
 (P. B. J. C.) p. 87.

^{8.} R. C. Jain, The Most Ancient Aryan Society; 1964, Chapter II.

the Hittite Power.9 Those who went to northern Mesopotamia came to be known as the Hurrians in history. They founded their powers at Aleno. Mukishe and other sites chief of which was the Mittani power. They joined into Hurri confederacy with Mittani at their head, 10 The capital of the Mittanis was at Wassukani which still remains unidentified, 11 Those Arvans who crossed the Parmirs to Iran had to wage hardest battles with the local inhabitants and their early history still remains obscure. Hammurabi was a great king who ruled Babylonia Circa 1700 B. C. 12 who defeated Rimsin, the Elamite King of Larsa. 18 By the time the Iranaryans had gained supremacy in north and west Iran; Great Hammurabi's dynasty came to an end about 1530 B. C. in Babylonia and she entered a long period of decadence during which power went in the hands of Kassites, the occupants of nearby Iranian mountains. These Iranaryan Kassites took over power in Babylonia about 1500 B. C. and remained there for nearly 400 years, 14 They used the word "Surias" to designate the sun, 16 The Historians generally assign the date Circa 1750 B. C. to Kassites in establishing their power in Babylonia. Then we may travel back by 200 years and understand the above chronology in this light.

It appears that these semi-cultured, nomadic, barbarous Asiatyans had trifurcated from southern Russia according to a plan. These catalysts of history set up three independent states in western Asia but never fought amongst themselves till they consolidated their power in the middle of the second

B. K. Ghose—The Āryan Problem in Veduc Age, 1957, page 206.

^{10.} Leonard Woolley-A Forgotten Kingdom, Pelican, 1953, pages

<sup>122, 131.

11.</sup> Sabatino Moscati—The Face of the Ancient Orient; 1960;

p. 189, 12. S. Moscati, op. cit. page 63.

^{13.} Percy Sykes -- A History of Persia, 1958, page 77.

^{14.} S. Moscatl, op. cit., pages 64, 154.

S. Piggot, op. cit., page 250 (He gives Circa 1600 B. C.)

B. K. Ghose, op. cit., page 205.

millennium B. C. (About 1500 B. C.) ¹⁶ They took five centuries to consolidate their role. The Assăryans in Iran, who may be called the Irānāryans were the latest in establishing their state. It may be due to three reasons. Firstly that the original inhabitants were better organised; secondly, that their culture was far superior to the culture of the original inhabitants whom the Hittites and the Hurrians had to deal with; thridly, that Babylonia possessed only a little lesser quantum of culture than the Iranians but had a better political and multary organisation.

All these people of the mountain, as these Asiāryans were called, had formed only the upper ruling classes of the population, the major base remaining indigenous. The Hittie upper classes, the Hurrian noble classes and the Kassite leaders had brought with them their language and their divinities but they could not thrust them on the original inhabitants; rather they succumbed to their superior language and culture Despite its political decadence, Mesopotamia in the age of the peoples of the mountains celebrates the triumph of its culture. If effecting the Asiāryans of the west and the east. The Irānāryans of the east were largely 'converted' to the superior original Sammanic culture.

Internecine Aryan Conflicts

After the consoldation of power in the above mentioned three States, the greed and ambition of these States came in conflict with each other. Though we little find the Kassites in military involvements, the Hittites and the Mittaneies (leader of the Hurrian confederacy) came in conflict more often till the latter finally accepted the overlordship of the former as is evidenced by the record of Boghaz-Keiu treaty concluded between Hittle King Suppliuliumus and the Mittani King Mattivaja son of Dusharatta or Tusharatta in 1400 B. C. or 1380 B. C. or 1365 B. C. ²⁸ This treaty mentions the Āryan

^{16.} S. Moscati, op. cit., page 153-154,

^{17.} S. Moscati, op. cit., page 156.

^{18.} B. K. Ghose, op. cit., page 204.

S. Piggot, op. cit., page 250.

S, Moscati, op. cit., page 190.

leaders Varuna, Mitra, Indra and Nasatvas along-with Babylonian Ishtar, which clearly shows that the Asiaryans including the Iranaryans were still an undifferentiated people.19 The Iranaryans in Anatolia. Babylonia and Iran were adopting the superior culture of the land but a portion of Asiaryan society did not reconcile with this state of affairs and gave the former tough opposition. Boghaz Keui treaty accelerated the process and the differences became acute. Till now Varuna enjoyed more power and prestige than Indra, the leader of the oppositionists. After this time, a permanent schism occurred in the undivided Iranaryans and Indra-led Āryans (the Brahmāryans) planned to advance towards the much famous east, the land of peace and plenty. The Brahmarvans after the schism, advanced towards the east through two routes, one through Arachosia and the other through Gedrosia. Piggot refers to the times of troubles, of insecurity and raiding, arson and pillaging in north Baluchistan (Arachosia), in Rana Ghundaii where we witness repeated destructions. The last phase of Zhob-ware settlement at Nal was so much burnt down that the Tell is still called Sohr Dumb, the Red Mound, from its fire-reddened soil. At Dabarkot, the upper 6 feet of the Tell, exposed in section, showed no less than four thick ash lavers, implying repeated destructive conflagarations of the later settlements and here occurred abundant fragments of the encrusted ware of Rana Ghundan V Type on the surface. Piggot gives this period as about 1400 or 1350 B. C. in his chronological table II. 20 He gives the same period to the destruction of Harappa as he gives to Rana Ghundau III and to Moheniodaro little later from which D. H. Gordon has arrived at his chronology.

It appears that Indra-led Brahmāryans br-furcated to the East somewhere from the Elamite mountains. They must have drawn their land forces from northern Mesopotama and northern Iran. The southern wing must have included some expert mariners and good navigators as the Brahmāryans of the Gedrosian wing had waged one or more naval battles also.

^{19.} B. K. Ghose, Indo-Iranian relations, op. cit., page 220.

^{20.} S. Piggot, op. cit., page 215, 242.

The Aurvas

During this period, Circa 1400 B. C., Babylonia was governed by the Kassite King, Kuri-galzu II who was an impassioned builder. 21 Kassite Kingdom was enjoying a great era of peace and prosperity and she had no battles to win. A great call from the 'mountains of Elam' was roaring in west Asian sky. The great navigators of the port-city of Ur, who had no martial activities to perform at home and were the beneficiaries of the lucrative trade with Bharata joined these Brahmarvan forces probably with the blessings of the Kassite ruler. These people owned a good navy and had sufficient experiences of naval warfare and the oceans. The inhabitants of the port city of Ur, the Auryas played a very important part in this military expedition. The Kassite Auryas had learnt the art of metallurgy from the former inhabitants of Ur who were taught the art of metallurgy along with the art of writing and agriculture by one Oannes 22 who alongwith his comrades had earlier colonised the land of Sumer. They were very efficient in the technical know-how of the use of fire since their journey from south Russia. Bharata had her industries at Sutkagen-dor, the trading Harappan outpost and at some other towns of Sumer. The Aurvas learned from them the metallurgical art, and they had become excellent fire metallurgists at Ur. With such Brahmaryan ground and naval forces, Indra advanced through Gedrosia to Bhārata.

Beginning of Brahmarvan Invasions

Sutkagen-dor was the far western trading outpost of Harappan State 300 miles west of Karacht. ²³ It was a fortified station for sea-borne trade up the Persian gulf. ²⁴ Jiwanry is another sea-port in south Baluchistan. At these ports, naval battles were fought between the Brahmäryan and the Bhärattya forces. Who were in occupation of this Harappan region during those times ?

- 21. Leonard Woolley-Excavations at Ur, 1955, page 198.
- 22 Leonard Woolley-op. cit., page 50.
- 28. Mortimer Wheeler, The Indus Civilization, 1953, page 2.
- 24. S. Piggot, op. cit., page 137, 210.

We learn from the Rgveda that peoples known as Panchajanah inhabited the river Saraswati region 25 The River Saraswati crossed right upto the ocean and joined it.26 The Saraswatī was the most important river to the Rayedic people. The Rayeda speaks of seven sisters or seven tributaries of the Saraswati. 27 It appears probable that Sindhu (Indus) with its five tributaries Vitasta (Jhelum). Asiknī (Chenab). Parusnī (Iravati or Rayi), Vipas (Beas) and Sutudri (Sutlej) joined the great Saraswati river a little above the sea-shore near about Chanhu-daro, a few miles south of Mohenjodaro and this great Saraswati river joined by other rivers flowed to the ocean. This literary evidence is corroborated by the geological evidence that this sacred Saraswatt river in the Vedic times flowed to the sea through the eastern Punjab and Raiputana.28 The Panchaianah who inhabited the Saraswati Valleviregion were the Yadus, the Turvasas, the Purus, the Anus and the Druhvus.29 The Yadus and the Turvasas were the sea-faring people and good navigators. The Purus, the Anus and the Druhyus were the plains people. The Pürus occupied the northern part of the Saraswatl region. The Anus and the Druhyus had their strongholds in the middle of the Saraswati region. The Yadus and the Turvasas occupied the southern and south western portion of the Saraswati region occupying northwest Rajasthan and Sindh territories extending upto the mouth of the Saraswati, close to the sea-shore. 30 West to the mouth of the Saraswati flourished the sea-port city of Sutkagendor which was controlled by the people who were masters of the Harappan State. Nearest people to them were the Yadus and the Turvasas, hence it appears all the more probable that the masters of the sea-borne trade and export navigators, the Yadus and the Turvasas, extended their decentralised re-publican organisation upto Sutkgen-dor. These five peoples

^{25.} Rgveda 6, 5, 12, 12.

^{26.} Rgveda 7. 6. 6. 2.

^{27.} Rgveda 6, 5, 12, 10.

^{28.} D. N. Wadia-Geology of India, 1958; page 56-389.

 ⁽¹⁾ Radhakumud Mukerjee—Ancient India, 1956, page 54.
 (2) A. C. Dass—Revedic Culture, 1925; page 160.

^{30.} A. C. Dass-op. cit; page 353.

or five republics (Pańchajanāḥ) were non-Āryan pre-Āryan people of Bhārata. 31

Naval Battles

Sutkagen-dor could not have easily been surrendered by the Yadus and the Turvasas as it was the life-line of their foreign trade. A well-fought naval battle must have been waged here by the contending forces in which the Bharatiya forces seem to have been annihilated by the invaders. Their battleships were drowned and they suffered large-scale casualties. The victorious Brahmärvan Commander-in-chief Indra, also a shrewd politician, brought some of the Yadus and the Turvasas. having crossed the ocean, 32 safe to the sea-shore entered into an alliance of friendship with them so that he may know something of the country he was in near future to conquer for the sake of wealth, 39 Jiwanry people who were very near to the Sutkagen-dor people must have come to their assistance and shared the same fate. After its destruction, it was occupied by the Brahmaryan invaders and we find their cemeteries here which have been dated 1100-1000 B. C. 34 In the same period, the Brahmarvan land forces occupied Shahi Tump. Shahi Tump cemetery of the Aryan warrior is contemporary with the fall of Harappa culture and it has its nearest parallel, significantly enough, with the princely graves of the chieftains of barbarian tribes beyond the fringe of the oriental urban civilizations at Maikon and Tsarkava in south Russia, 35 This conclusively proves that they were the same Asiarvans who had entered Iran from Russian Turkistan Circa 2000 R.C. After these battles, we witness a peaceful era. The Brahmaryan armies felt a little fatigued and some of them were summoned to the north where ferocious conflicts were in full swing. The Mohenjodorsans might have sensed the ensuing danger and the

^{31.} R. C. Jain, Chapter IV Supra.

^{32.} Rgveda 6, 2, 5, 12.

^{33.} Rgveda 6, 5, 12, 5,

^{34.} S. Piggot, op. cit.: pages 240-256.

^{35.} S. Piggot, op. clt; pages 62, 114, 219, 220.

adjoining belt might have stood in unity which might have halted the advance of the invading forces. But some of the components of the Brahmäryans specially the Aurvas, who might have developed some intimate contacts with the Yadus and the Turvassa pushed their peaceful penetration to the east. The Aurvas peacefully occupied the cities of Jhukar, Lohumjodaro and Chanhu-daro without any opposition and without any burning or violent destruction of these cities. These people, in archaeological parley, are known as the Jhukar people.

The Jhukar People

As shown earlier, Piggot parallels Hissar III, Anau III and Jhukar and D. H. Gordon accepts his conclusions and assigns the date Circa 1800 B. C. for the period of Invasions. 30 He later modifies his chronology and assigns the date Circa 1750-1300 B. C. to the Āryan invasions. 37 The Jhukar people had peaceful friendly relations with the people of Mohenjodaro and did not take part in its destruction, when Mohenjodaro fell to the invading forces. They came to Bhārata before the invaders of Mohenjodaro came. Hence he presumes that the Āryans occupied Jhukar Circa 1800 B. C. 38

This hypothesis is full of inherent internal contradictions even from the archaeological standpoint. The conventional date of the first incursion of the Aryan invaders into India has generally been accepted by eminent scholars including Wheeler, Woolley, Majumdar and Piggot, Circa 1500 B.C. 39 The

D. H. Gordon—op. cit; (Ancient India No. 10 & 11), page 168,

^{37.} D. H. Gordon-op, cit, (P. B. I. C.), page 87.

^{38.} D. H. Gordon-op, cit. (P. B. I. C.), page 82

 ⁽¹⁾ M. Wheeler-Harappa 1946. The difences and competery p. 37. Ancient India No. 3, page 81.

^(2) Leonard Woolley-History Unearthed, 1958, page 82.

⁽³⁾ Majumdar—Revedic civilization in the light of Archaeology, Annals of Bhandarker Oriental Research Institute, Volume 40 page 4.

^(4) S Piggot-op. cit., page 241.

first incursion (into Harappa State) not the final destruction, that is very important here.

Occupation of Jhukar by the Brahmäryans Circa 1800 B. C. is imporbable on the following grounds---

- Mohenjodaro, according to Gordon himself, fell Circa 1550 B. C. There is no explanation of the hiatus of 250 years in between.
- 2. Piggot compares certain spiral headed pins, animal headed pins and rods from Jhukar sites and a mace head from Chanhu-daro with certain west Asian parellels, dates them a few centuries earlier to 2000 B. C. 40 from which Gordon comes at the dating of 1800 B. C. for Jhukar culture as given above. But at another place, Piggot states that Jhukar people came as the destroyers of the Harappan civilization or following hard in the wake of the first raiders.⁴¹ The Harappan culture was destroyed not earlier than 1500 B. C. hence this contradiction remains inexplicable.
- 3. Piggot has earlier said that the Shahi Tump cemetery is contemporary with the fall of the Harappa culture. Then it obviously cannot belong to circa 1800 B. C.
- The dating of Hissar III is 2300-2100 B. C. ⁴² Anau
 III is parallelled with Hissar III. If Jhukar is parallelled with them, the situation becomes ludicrous.
- 5. This dating betrays the other conclusions the west Asian archaeololy has arrived at. When the Elamite Mountains were subject to the hardship of Babylonian Hammurabi's dynasty Circa 1700 B. C., the weaker people could not have advanced to the east. They were busy consolidating their power in the northern and the southern Iran to wrest power from Babylonia.
- 6. This dating has not given due value to the significant historical remarks of Mortimer Wheeler. The area of the

S. Piggot—Notes on certain metal pins and a mace head in Harappa culture, Ancient India No. 4 page 39.

^{41.} S. Piggot. op. cit, (Pre-Historic India), page 226.

^{42.} S. Piggot--op. cit. (Pre-Historic India), page 63.

region that the Brahmāryans had to cover was so vast that no succession of invaders from the little lands of the western plateux could fill such a vastness It was a question simply of pushing forward until impetus ceased and initiation supervened. The sole frontier was that imposed by the stamina and discipline of the invader. He acknowledges the triumph of sheer acreage over the puny efforts of man whose delimiting factor was not only mountain, desert, wast acreage but also human fatigue.⁴³ The Asiāryans could not also simply walk from Maikop and Tsarkaya in south Russia to Chanhudaro on the Saraswatt river within 200 years.

Indian archaeology also does not corroborate the view of D. H. Gordon, Moheniodaro after its fall was not occupied by the invaders. We find cemetery H at Harappa which belongs to some foreign invaders. There is a clear debris that intervenes the runned city and those foreign graves. It does not show the occupation of the invaders whom Gordon calls Rays people but only that these people burried their dead there. It is more probable that their settlement was just near the ruined Harappan city and they used the Harappan site only for the disposal of their dead. Cemetery H people, on the strength of their pottery, are called the grey-ware people. At Ruper on the Sutlej river in the Punjab, the Harappan habitation is succeeded after a distinct break by the grey-ware culture.44 At Hastmapur, the grev-ware culture is found in period III which has been assigned the date Circa 1100 to Circa 800 B. C. If we take the mean date, we come to the conclusion that the grey-ware people came to occupy Hastinapur Circa 1000 or 950 B. C. We also witness a distinct break of occupation between periods II and I. 45 In the new dried up Ghaggar bed, the ancient Saraswatt, about three dozen or more Harappan sites have been located. The holy rivers. Saraswati and Drsadwati had their confluence three miles

^{43.} Mortimer Wheeler-Editorial, Ancient India No. 4, page 3.

^{44.} B. Subbarao-Personality of India, 1958, page 100.

B. B. Lall—Executations at Hastinapur and other explorations, Ancient India No. 10 & 11, page 23.

north of Rangmahal, the epr-centre of the Rangmahal culture, in Ganganagar District of Rajasthan State. 46 Numerous grey-ware sites have been located in this archaeologically very important region, but no grey-ware settlement is found on the Harappan settlements. It seems that the people who used grey-ware pottery as a rule avoided settling on the old Harappan sites. 47 It is most likely that it was a general habit of the grey-ware people that they avoided settlement or immediate settlement on the ruins of the cities they destroyed in their battles with the Bhāratiyans. Even if some of the sites have been occupied, they had been occupied after distinct breaks.

The scholars are veering round the view, though hestatingly due to circumstantial nature of the evidence, that the cemetery H people or Grey Ware people or Ravi people were noneelse than the Aryans who had ruthlessly destroyed the Bhāratlyan strongholds. To the criticism that it is premature to identify these people with Aryans, the remarks of A. Ghose that it is doubly premature to say that the Aryans had nothing to do with the disappearance of the Harappans 4st are very significant. The existence of the grey-ware people in Bhārata during the period of violent destruction of Harappan State and the nonexistence of any other foreign power in this period in this region clearly proves and should be accepted as clearly proving that these people were noneelse than Aryans.

Then, how can we explain the general habit of the Brahmāryans avoiding settlements on the ruined Harappan cities? There may be three reasons for this situation. Firstly, the Brahmāryan military onslaught was planned on a grand scale for the purpose of whole-sale simultaneous extermination of their adversaries, and the destruction of their strongholds. They were constantly on the move without preferring any fixed abode or settlement. They took to settlement only after their final victory became secure. Secondly, the wholesale massacre of the Bharatiyans by the foreign invading army

Hannah Rydh-Rangmahal, 1959, Figure 8. The number has now increased to morethem fifty

⁴⁷ Hannah Rydh, op. cit., page 42.

^{48.} A. Ghose, Editial (Ancient India No. 10 & 11) page 3,

on the battle-fields led them to abandon their towns and cities and they fled in the directions they found secure. Before fleeing, they pulled down their houses whereby the streets were filled with filth and debris. The ruined cities presented the pictures of dead cities. After this almost wholesale abandonment, the ruined cities were plundered by the victorious invaders where stray cases of arson and murder also occurred. That is the evidence Moheniodaro and Harappa provide us. It appears that the vanquished followed the scortch-earth policy before they left their dear homes for ever, never to occupy them in future. In this process, the ruined cities became unfit for habitation and they did not remain attractive to the Brahmaryans for their dwelling. Thirdly the Brahmarvans wanted to avoid any contact with the Bharativans and their culture in the beginning. This separatist mentality is clearly visible in the Rgyeda. The Brahmaryan rsis prayed Indra to keep the darkskinned Dasyus and Raksusas away from them. Indra crushed Vrtra but did not occupy his town and leaving it on the left hand advanced forward. Indra after slaying the Dasyus did not occupy their towns. He only divided their fields amongst his whitecomplexioned friends. These Revedic references 49 clearly prove the truth of the hiatus existing between the ruination of the city and the future contact of the ruiner with it. Apart from corroborating the existence of this archaeological truth, they also definitely explain the causes of this state of affairs. Even if we assume the negligible military opposition of the Bharatiyans to the Brahmarvans, this evidence of Indian archaeology clearly establishes that it was very hard and tough affair for the Brahmarvans to scatter and settle over this vast area. It took sufficiently long time

In this discussion for the fixation of chronology, Hastināpura gives the final Judgment. Hastināpura was occupied last circa 10 0 B. C. by the Brahmāryans after they had destroyed Harappa and other connected places during the Dāśarājāa war. Lall concludes from the inscriptional evidence from Boghaz

^{49.} Rgveda 7, 2, 4, 5; 2, 1, 11, 18; 1, 15 ", 18.

Keut that the Āryan-speaking people had made their appearance in western Asia by the fourteenth century B. C. Moving eastwards they are likely to have reached the Ghaggar and Sutlej Valleys during the following couple of centuries. ⁵⁰ This dating would resolve all the inherent contradictions and improbabilities that have arisen due to the wrong assumption of the aforesaid date.

The Rgveda is the ne arest narrative of the Brahmaryan military victories and it may be appropriately designated as the commemoration volume presented to Indra for firmly establishing the Brahmaryan hegemony in Bharata The Rgveda was redacted in its present final available form circa 1000 B C.51 It narrates times without number the martial exploits of Indra He enjoys more power and prestige than Varuna in Bharata whose inferior he was in western Asia where Varuna's supremacy over Indra is unmistakably established by the said Boghaz Keui treaty. We find a colloguy between Varuna and Indra in the Rayeda, 52 Here Varuna's previous glory as a peaceful leader had given place to the martial exploits of Indra He had to give in to Indra and accept a position subordinate to him. A. C. Das also holds that abilities of Indra to lead men to war and victory gave him the position of supremacy over Varuna who was the upholder of the eternal laws and order of the universe. 53 This clearly establishes that Varuna was the supreme leader of the Asiarvans till 1400 B. C. but after this date his position began to dwindle. Indra took over the supreme leadership of the more aggressive section of the undivided stock and consolidated their supreme power in Bhārata within 300 years.

To fix up the chronology of Jhukar people within this broad ambit, we need now to explain the important fact i.e. who are the Jhukar people? May they be identified with

^{50.} B. B. Lall, op. cit. page 150.

^{51. (1)} B. K. Ghose, op. cit. (Vedic Age), page 225.

⁽²⁾ A. S. Altekar—Presidental Address printed in proceedings of Twenty-second Indian History Congress, page 100.

^{52.} Rgveda 4, 4, 10.

^{53.} A. C. Dass-op. cit., page 85.

any of the Brahmäryan tribe? Who were the successors of these Jhukar people, the expert manners, the good navigators, the efficient firecraftsmen, the experienced traders and the excellent naval soldiers?

Jhukar People became Bhigus

In the Rgweda, we have certain references to a Brahma tribe called the Bhrgus. Bhrgu is father of Agm, also his friend. The Bhrgus cherish and glorify Agm. They knew the use of Agm in waters and on earth. Agmi gave them wealth. Agm was their benefactor. The Bhrgus placed Agm by the strength of all beings upon the naval of the earth. ⁵⁴ The Bhrgus were great navigators, expert mariners and enterprising tradesmen. They were the agents of the foreigners. ⁵⁵

The Bhgus were connected with Anus, one of the constituents of the people who formed the Dåsaråjña or Ten-Republics confederacy. The Anus were led by them in the Dåsaråjña war. 80 After the destruction of Mohenjodaro, I discuss just below that Mohenjodaro fell before Harappa, Ten Bhäratya Republics including the Pañchajanish already alluded to and the Ajas, the Sigrus, the Yakşas, the Matsyas and the Vrchīvants combined together and formed a confederacy under the leadership of Visvāmitra They were collectively called the Bharatas. 87 Their troops crossed the Paruşai (Modern Ravi) to the west of which was the seat of the Harappa state, the Haryppiya (Modern Harappa) where Vṛchīvants joined them. The first battle of the Dåsaråjña war was severely fought on the waters of Paruşai.

- 54, (1) Rgveda 6, 1, 15, 2, 1, 11, 1, 6, 1, 19, 1, 7; 4, 1, 7, 1; 3, 1, 2, 4, 1, 21, 4, 4
 - (2) Atharvaveds 18-1-58; 20, 10, 2; 4, 14, 5.
 - (3) Taittiriya Samhita 1, 5, 5, 1; 2, 12, 6; 4, 6 5, 2; 6, 5, 8, 6.
- 55. A. D. Pusalkar; Purāņa; Volume 3 Part I Page 19.
- 56. Radhakumud Mukerjee, op. cit., page 55.
- R. C. Jain, Pre-Āryan Bharatas of Bhārata; read before the Twenty-third Session of Indian History Congress at Aligarh in Ancicat India Section on 27, 12, 1960.

The Bhrgus took part in this naval battle on the side of the Bharatiya forces, ⁵⁸

These qualities of the Bhrgus appear suprisingly similar to those of the Jhukar people I have no hesitation in identifying the Jhukar people with the Bhrgus, This identification here would help us in appreciating better the evidence that further corroborates this identity.

The Bhrgus are associated with the southern region of the Saraswati valley They are closely associated with the Yadus. They took to the southern direction for their activates. They founded the port of Bhrgukachchha, Later the Bhrgus had much to do with the Narmada valley. The archaeological explorations in the Narmada valley have yielded a widely distributed influence of the Jhukar people over the chalcolithic culture of the south. This shows the spreading of the influence of the Jhukar people to the south. 59 We do not find any evidence of the spreading of the influence of the Jhukar people in the north from any surchaeological site.

Gordon maintains that even after the occupation of these towns by the Jhukar people, Mohenjodaro continued to hold its own against the invaders. The expert navigators and experienced tradesmen saw big possibilities of profit by coming to terms with the people of Mohenjodaro as it was international commercial port during those times. They came to terms with them and established peaceful contacts. They might have also allied with the citizens of Mohenjodaro ⁶⁰ The Rgyeda provides us with clearer evidence of such compromises and influences. Before Aryan invasions, Bhârtaips people followed the culture and civilization of Munis and Sramanas. The Brahmāryans came in contact with this prevedic pre-Aryan culture of Munis and Sramanas. ⁶¹ The Auravas, the progressive section of the Brahmāryas, tended

^{58.} Rgveda 3. 3. 11-12; 7. 2 1. 6.

H D. Sankalia—The Excavations at Maheswar and Navdatoli, 1958, Page 250.

^{60,} D. H. Gordon-op cit, (P. B. I. C.), page 80.

^{61.} G. C. Pande-Studies in the Origins of Buddhism, 1957, page 261.

to migle with this culture. They, like other Brahmäryans, were the wealth-seeking, power hungary and materialistic people that they could not adopt the complete non-violent conduct of the munis and the framanas. They mixed some of the spiritual qualities of a Muni to their materialist way and became Yans. §2 These Yati Bhrgus had not renounced wealth. Indra plunders the wealth of his non-sacrificing adversaries and gives that over to Yati Bhrgus. §3 The institution of Yati-ship is a compromise between spiritualism, of the original inhabitants of Bhārata and, materialism, of the invading foreigner Brahmāryans. The Bhrgus were the first Yatis. It was under the influence of the spiritual culture of Bhārata that Jhukar people maintained friendly relations with the Bhārattyans.

The Rgveda and the Rgvedic Brähmana, the Attarcya Brähmana, know Aurva Bhrgus. ** Tatturiya Samhitä mentions Aurva Bhrgus. ** Aurva Bhrgus. ** Aurva Bhrgus means Bhrgu descended from Aurva. The Pańchavimśa Brähmana considers Jāmadagnyas as Aurvas, the descendants of Urva. ** Authors of Vedic Index corroborate him. ** Jamadagni is mentioned in the Rgveda and later scriptural literature several times but nowhere he has been given the fatherhood of Rchika from the Rgveda down through the Upanisadic literature to Pāṇini. Rchika as father of Jamadagni is a pure fabrication of the Mahābhārata though Rchika has also been admitted to be a son of Aurva, ** 8* which admission perhaps could not be avoided. It was not earlier than second century B. C. when the Bhärgava reconsion of the great epic was under sway. ** 9* Before this great epic,

^{62.} Rayeda 8, 2, 1, 18,

^{63,} Rgveda 8, 1, 3, 9,

^{64.} Rgveda 8, 10. 9. 4; Astereya Brahmana 633.

S. D. Satvalekar—Tatturiya Samhitz, 1957, 3, 1, 11, 6, page 117.

W. Calland — Pancbavimás-Brāhmaņa, 1931; 21, 10, 5-6-7, page 563.

^{67.} Macdonell & Keith; Vedic Index, Volume I page 129, 1958 Ed.

^{68.} Mahabharata 1, 60, 45-46.

V. S. Sukathankar — Annals of Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Volume 18, page 76.

Jamadagni is, significantly enough, remembered as an Aurva only, never son of Rchika.

The Bhrgus are mentioned in some of the late post-Mahāvira Kchika or Aurva. This shows that Aurva was getting to be forgotten and Rchika had not yet been speculated. The evidence from the Vedic and the Brahmame literature does not make reference to any mythical Rsi Bhrgu belonging to hoary past in the good old days when the Āryans were still undivided people and the Aurva descending from Bhārgava Chyavana or any other descendant of Bhrgu. But it clearly proves the descent of Bhrgus from Aurva. These references to Aurva Bhrgus are very significant and they are directly corroborated by the archaeological evidence. The settlers on Jhukar sites were also Aurva; the citizens of the Babylonian city, Ur. Archaeology and literature happily find a meeting place here.

Did Jamadagni flourish before or as contemporary to the Dåśarājān war? Vasisha was the leader of the Tṛṭsus. He gave a great helping hand to Sudās in organising Brahmāryan forces against Ten-Republics confederacy under Viśvāmutra. The River Saraswati is spoken of being glorified by Vasisha. Before him, Saraswati was glorified by Jamadagni. 70 it proves the antiquity of Jamadagni to Vasisha. It appears that Aurva Jamadagni was member of the invading Brahmāryan military forces and was the leader of those Aurvas who settled in Chanhudaro and other stets before Vasistha rose to power.

We know from the Rgweda that Indra wielded his war weapon, the thunderbolt. Whatever may be the other weapons with the Aurvas, we definitely find them weilding an ancient weapon of war, the Aze. Gordon Childe¹¹ and S. Piggot¹² maintain that Copper Shaft-Hole Azes have been found at Jhukar culture sites and at Shahi-Tump cemetery. Jamadagni, though later a peaceful rs. was earlier a wielder of the Aze. Bhīgraya

^{70,} Rgveda 7, 6, 7, 3.

Gordon Childe—New Light on the Most Ancient East, 1958, page 187.

^{72.} S. Piggot, op. cit. (Pre-historic India), Figure 27, page 225.

Parasurama was the Axe hero par execellence. The association of Axe with the Bhrgus and the Jhukar people goes a long way to prove their identity.

Mohenjodaro Conquered Prior to Harappa

Incidentally one mistake of history often committed by oriental scholars stands corrected here. It is generally assumed, though mistakenly, that Harappa fell first and then Moheniodaro. Gordon believes this theory.73 We definetely find the Bhrgus partaking in the Dāśarāifia war but we do not find any Bhrgu or Jhukar settlement in the north nor the influence of the Jhukar culture on the cultures of the Sutles Valley, the Saraswati Valley of the Yamuna Valley. If Bhrgus would have gone south after the Dāśarājāa war; they must have had some settlements alongside the settlements of the Brahmarvan adversaries from whom they laid down their lives in the battle. We also find the Ihukar culture as the successor of the Harappa culture after the extinction of the former at Jhukar. Lohumjodaro and Chanhudaro unlike the Brahmärvan settlements in the north where we do not find their cultures as the immediate successor culture of the extinct Harappa cultures. Further the Dāśarājūa war was the last in which the Bhrgus took part. There was no further war fought between the Brahmaryans and their adversaries the Bharatas; hence the battle in which Mohemodaro fell must have been fought before the Dāśarājāa war in which Hariyūpīva (Harappa) fell. The Saraswatt Valley and Punjab explorations reveal that the Brahmarvans advanced to the east after their Punjab and Rajasthan battles to fight the last battles of the Dasarajna war with the Aias, the Sigrus and the Yakşas under the leadership of Bheda74 and not to the south. All these factors point to the conclusion that Mohenjodaro fell prior to Harappa. It seems that after the fall of Moheniodaro; the Yadus and the Turvasas, alongwith their allies the Bhrgus, the successors of the Jhukar people, went to the north to join the other members of Panchajanah, the

^{73.} D. H. Gordon, op. cit., (Ancient India No. 10 & 11) p. 170.

^{74.} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 18-19.

Anus and the Druhyus, where Viśvāmitra was busy organising the last opposition to the advancing Brahmāryan foreigners.

The foregoing discussion thus discloses that the Bhrgus, not the Yadus, the Turvasas or the Vichivants, were the successors of the Jhukar people. It would be more correct to say that these Jhukar people which is only an archaeological epithet, were called the Aurvas in the beginning and later they began to be known as the Bhrgus. How did that happen?

Origin of the Bhrgus

Dr. Herman Weller has made an attempt to prove that the word Bhrgu goes back to the Aryan (Indo-European or Indo-Germanic) origin. He believes that the Bhrgii was originally a surname (Or nickname) coined by the Aryans. He has given detailed study of various Indo-Germanic, Latin and Greek roots and has come to the conclusion that those different roots may be traced back to the Zero grade form bhlg.75 It appears that Weller was misled by the association of fire with the Bhrgus and hence some such word was sought to be traced which gave the sense of "sparkle, conflagaration or inflammation.' We do find the association of fire with the Aurvas, the predecessors of the Jhukar people, but this quality of the Auryas did not continue with their successors, at last we do not find any such material evidence after they occupied the three Jhukar towns. They did not burn any of the town, Word Aurya does not connote any sense of "sparkle or conflagaration."

Dr. Weller, contradicting himself, gives Indid Origin. 76 to the Bhrgus by which he means pre-Āryan origin. He is only partially correct here. The Bhrgus were the result of the Brahma-Bhāratiya ethnic mixture. But he is correct so far as the philological aspect is concerned. Though he considers Yāska as decidedly mistaken yet it is the etymology of Yāska that corroborates his thesis.

Dr. Hermam Weller-Who were Bhrguids? Annals of Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Volume 18 page 299-300.

^{76.} Dr. H. Wheeler, op. cit. page \$01.

Before we trace the Indid origin of the word Bhrgu, we must know the language the Bharatas spoke during that age.77 Sylvain Levi, Prezyluski and Jules Bloch made a special study of the Bharativa language before the advent of the Arvans (Indo-Europeans) in Bharata. Levi maintains that Pulinda-Kulinda. Kokala-Mekala, (with the group Udra-Pundra-Munda), Kośala, Tośala, Anga, Banga, Kalinga-Talinga form the links of a long chain which extends from the eastern confines of Kashmir upto the centre of peninsula. The process of formation is foreign to Indo-European, it is foreign to Dravidian; it is on the contrary characteristic of the vast family of languages which are called Austro-Asiatic. 78 Beyond the peninsula, the southern Bharata is referred to as Udantva: outside the Brahmarvan limits in the Aitareva Brahmana. The Andhras. the Pundras, the Sabaras, the Pulindas and the Mutibas 79 inhabited the land They were the Dasyus born of the blood of Dasyu-Chief Viśvāmitra. The Aitareya Brāhmana belongs to circa 800-700 B. C. Archaeology is liberal to literature at least in this case. Sankalia dates pre-Dravidian chalcolithic age circa 1000 B.C 80 The pre-Dravidian chalcolithic people were Munda-speaking, 81 Thus we find that the whole of Bharata during the age of the Brahmarvan invasions spoke Austro-Asiatic languages and its variants.

Word 'Bhrgu' is the Sanskritized form of the Austric word 'Bharu'. Word 'Bharu' is a word of folk dialect still spoken by the people of Rajasthan and Gujrat. Word 'Bharu' in local dialect means 'endowed with much, full of many qualities.' When Aurvas became influenced by the spiritual culture of their neighbours; they became 'Yatis and hence imbibed many good qualities according to the local spiritual standards. The local inhabitants began to call the Aurvas 'Bhrgus' and

^{77.} Jean Prezylaski; Pre-Āryan and Pre-Dravidian in India, p. 37.

^{78.} Sylvain Levi; Pre-Āryan & Pre-Dravidian in India, 1929, p. 95.

A. B. Keith; Rgveda Brähmana; 1920, Altareya Brähmana
 18, p. 307.

^{80.} H. D. Sankalia; op. cit., Preface page XIII.

^{81.} D. H. Gordon; op. cit., (P. B. I. C.) page 172.

gave them this name. One other explanation is also possible. The Aurvas migrated from south Saraswatt region to Kachchha which lay in its vicinity and from there, they advanced towards the non-Āryan Bharu-Land. Bharu, in the pre-Vedic days, was the name of a non-Āryan country. It also signified the non-Āryan people of this land. Aurvas mixed with the people of this region. They became one with them, adopted their name and Sanskritized it to Bhrgu. This Bharu land was in the Narmada region and it had an important sea-port named 'Bharu-achchha' or 'Bharukachchha.' As ealier shown, these non-Āryan people spoke the Austric language. The Austro-Asiatic word 'Bharukachchha' a' of the people and land of Bharus was later Sanskritized into Bhrgukachchha.

The meaning of the Sanskrit word 'Bhrgu' is merely the extension of the meaning of the Austric word 'Bharu.' Yaska traces the word Bhrgu to Jors 'to roast' in the light of a legend. It may also be used in the sense of ्रश्चल (परिपाके) 'to be ripe' or √ऋक (तेंचन्ये) 'Sharpened.' They all give almost identical senses. One who has 'roasted' his physical needs by self-control like a Yatı or one who has become 'ripe' in the practise of spiritual virtues or one whose spiritual conduct has 'sharpened' or sublimated his material way would be called a Bhrgu. Though the Bhrgus could not totally renounce their martial activities but in the end they always felt sorry for that and to explate the sins of violence they invariably took to ascetic penances It appears that the Aurvas, after their acceptance of the spiritual institution of Yati-ship, came to be known as 'Bharus' or Bhrgus. Philology thus establishes the 'Indid' origin of the word 'Bhrgu.'

It seems to me that the Aurvas after they were christened Bharus or Bhrgus took their new ethnic surname to Meditarranean after the disturbed conditions in Bharata settled

 ⁽¹⁾ Pandit Hargovind Das T. Sheth—Paia Sadda-Mahannavo;
 1928, p. 800. He relies upon Dr. W. Keirfel, Leipzig,
 1920, Cosmography Der Inder; op. cit., page 5.

⁽²⁾ H. D. Sankalia—Studies in the Historical & Cultural Geography of Guirat: 1949 page 162-163.

and the external trade again began to flourish. The Bhrgus, the expert mariners and excellent tradesmen through the searoutes, must have revived their trade through the ports of the Persian Gulf to Egypt and the Mediterranean. It may seem probable that the corrupt forms of this word in Greek and Latin roots might have been derived from the Zero-grade form Bhig. But peculiarly enough, we do not find any word approaching the word Bhrgu in the languages of Iran, Assyria and Anotolia. It was due to the reason that the Bhrgus never took to trade through land routes and hence the word 'Bhrgu' did not travel through these lands. Had the word Bhrgu been of Arvan origin (Indo-European or Indo-Germanic Origin), we must have found some word identical with it in these languages as we do find equivalent words for Varuna. Angiras, Indra, Mitra, Nāsatvas, Yajūa and a host of other words. It conclusively establishes that the Bhrgus got their name in Bharata and not anywhere else outside Bharata and this word travelled from Bharata to the Mediterranean.

One knotty problem still remains to be analysed before we determine the date of the advent of the Brigus into Bhārata. We have Purānic genealogies which assign traditional dates to different families. Of course, they have to be scientifically examined in the light of other sources; viz. West Asian Archaeology, Indian Archaeology and the Vedic or the primarily Rayedic tests.

Chronology

It appears that the traditional history began to take some shape in the third century B. C. Magasthenes knew the Indian tradition which believed that to the days of Alexander the Great; their kings were reckoned at 154 whose reign extended over 6451 years and 3 months. Arrian gives the tradition of 153 Kings and a period of 6042 years. 85 But the Puräaus chronology differently worked in different Puräaus contradicting each other, later went beyond the beginning of the hypothetical Kali age at 3102 B. C. and covered the

I. W. Mocrindle—Ancient India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian. 1960, pages 116, 208.

Satya, the Treta and the Dyapar ages. We get fantastic dates in the Puranas. The Dyapara covers a longer period than yet the unfinished Kali. The Treta and the Satya cover still longer and longer periods taking us to the unknown hoary past. Pargiter was the first critical scholar who gave rational interpretation to the Puranic chronology. A. D. Pusalkar has brought the beginning of the Manu Vaivasvata era of the Satva age to 3102 B C., the beginning of the Kalı Age. To Bhrgu he assigns the same date though he places Parasurama period Circa 2550-2350 B. C or Circa 2150-1950 B C. 84 A. S. Altekar further brings down the Puranic chronology to 2000 B. C. 85 Jamadagni and Parasurama came after 31 generations from Manu and calculating at the average of 15 years. proposed by Altekar, we get the age of Jamadagni (31 × 15 = 465 = 2000 - 465 = 1535) at a 1535 B. C. The traditional historians are now veering round the view arrived at by critical scientific historians. Altekar forgets the factors of vastness of acreage and of human fatigue when he differs from the conventional archaeological date of 1500 B. C. and arrives at 2000 B. C. which is totally wrong and unfounded. particularly when he assumes the entry of the Aryans into Iran from South Russia also Circa 2000 B. C His theory of separate independent migration to Bharata has no force. Rāma appeared 65 generations after Manu and the Dāśarāiña war took place four or three generations after Rama, 86 The date of the Dāśarājňa war is thus (68 × 15 = 1020 = 2000-1020 = 980) arrived at circa 980 B. C. Altekar assumes the date of the Mahābhārata war at 950 B. C., hence making liberal allowance for some intervening mistakes, we may advance the age of the Dāśarāiña war to 1100 B. C.

Now we are in a position to fill in the details of the broad ambit of our chronology. The sporadic Irānāryan contacts with Bhārata began Circa 1500 B. C. They, in the

A. D. Pusalkar-Traditional History from the Earliest Time in Vedic Age, 1957, pages 276, 279.

^{85.} A. E. Altekar, op. cit. (Presidential Address), page 25.

^{86.} A. D. Pusalkar, op. cit., page 270.

natural way of the invaders, began their peaceful penetrations into Bharata by this time; partly through land routes and partly through oceanic routes. They carried on this process for about 100 years. The defeat of the Mittanies in the Hittite-Mittani War circa 1400 B. C. divided the rank and file and also the leadership of Varuna and Indra. The heroic, adventurous and all through victorious people could not swallow the ignominous defeat and fall in their martial standards. The internal bickerings and dissensions, though till now not apparent under the clock of ethnic unity, accellerated the process of disintegration and division. Indra and his associate leaders unified their people and efficiently organised their war machine somewhere about 1350 B. C. nearabout the Elaimite Mountains. Aurvas were the commanders of their navy They reached the borders of western Bharata circa 1300 B C, and took a pause. The Aurvas in the company of the Yadus and the Turvasas proceeded to Bharata and settled themselves on the three Jhukar sites in south Saraswati Valley circa 1275 B. C. After the pause, the Branmaryans renewed their military operations circa 1240 B C or so and Mohemodaro fell Circa 1225-1200 B, C. After the fall of MohenjoJaro; the Brahmaryans organised themselves under Sudas, Indra and Vasistha and the Bharativans formed the Ten-Republics confederacy under the leadership of Dasvu-Chief Viśvāmitra. The Dāśarājňa war was fought and lost Circa 1150 B. C.

Bigu Agni

The Bhrgus were a great people. They have intimately been associated with Agni. Agni-Bhrgu relationship may be placed at par with Agni-Agni-as relationship. The Angirasa had invented Land Agni. The Bhrgus discovered Agni latent in waters.⁸⁷ The Bhrgus worshipped Agni in waters and established amongst men. ⁸⁸ The Bhrgus saluted Agni after its generation. ⁸⁹ The Agni discovered from waters may be christened Bhrgu Agni.

⁸⁷ Rgveda 10, 4, 4, 2,

^{88,} Rgveda 2, 1, 4, 2

^{89.} Rgveda 1, 19, 1, 7,

Hybrid Bhrgus

The Bhrgus had joined the Dāśarājňa confederacy as Purohita of the Anus. They are associated with the Druhyus and the Turvasas against Sudās in the Dāsarāina war, 90 It appears that some of the Bhrgus in association with the Turvasas of the south came to the Anu-Druhyu people in the north and coalseed with them. They had so much identified themselves with their new masters that they, at their instance, fought against their own kinsman in the Dăśarāiña war and suffered defeat at their hands. They had been converted to the Bharativa way of life. They were convert Bharatas. But Indra, the shrewd politician, appreciated the value of the patronage of the Bhrgus who had become Yatis and poured on them his blessings and all material benefits. That facilitated the conversion of the Bharativans through the Bhrgus. The Auravas may be pure Aryans but the Bhrgus are a mixed tribe born of the Aryan and the non-Aryan blood. The association of the Aurava (Bhrgu) Usanas-Sukra as Purohita of the non-Arvan Daityas and Danavas is of great historical significance. He fought against the Brahmaryan Devas on the side of the Daitvas and the Danavas. The Usanas-Sukra legend may have been developed form RV 7. 2. 1. 6. Sukra is son of Bhrgu He married his Pitr Kanva Go and had four sons, one of them being Tvastr, the Ahi, from her. 91 The son of the Bhrgu is, thus, associated with the matriarchal system and also with the Ahi race in Bharata This hybrid Bhrgu tribe, under the strong influence of the Bharatiya Sramanic culture, enjoyed a privileged position with the Bharativans and laid the foundation of the Brahma-Bharattya culture. They, in later history, became the pioneers of the fusion of the two different ethnic peoples, two distinct cultures and two mutually opposed ways of life.

3. THE KURUS

Puru-Purukutsa

The Pürus were a leading constituent of the Ten-Republics confederacy (Dāśarājūa war) which gave the last stiff oppo-

^{90.} Raveda 7, 2, 1, 6.

^{91.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 194, 196.

sition to the Brahmaryan forces under Sudas, Indra and Vasistha. The Satapatha Brāhmana speaks of them as Aiksvākas. Mallikas, the Sakyas, the Kasis, the Kosals, the Videhas, the Licchavis and the Vaisalies salso descended from Iksvaku, the Manusmrti terms then as Vrātvas. The Pūrus were the pre-Āryan Bhāratīya people, 92

The Puranas mention Puru as a son of Yayati, descendant of Nahusa. The Rgveda mentions both Yavati and Nahusa but does not relate them as father and son. Nahusa is referred as r mortal or a man in the Rgyeda, 93 Nahusa has also been mentioned as a proper person. 94 Nahusa people residing on holy river Saraswatt are also alluded to in the Rgveda. 95 It appears that the Nahusas were people of minor importance compared to the Pürus, the Yadus, the Turvasas and other constitutents of the Dāśarāiña confederacy and they had no relation whatsoever with the Purus The Purus appear in the kernel of the Reveda; the sixth and seventh Mandals. Yavāti is a very late addition to the collection of the Revedic hymns occurring only in the first part of the First Mandala and the Tenth Mandala.96 They can in no case be equated with the Puranic Nahusa and Yayati. Purukutsa is the most noted Puru warrior in the Rayeda. He was son of Durgaha and father (?) of Trasdasyu and belonged to the race of Giriksit. 97 Authors of Vedic Index contruct his chronology as Durgaha-Giriksit-Purukutsa-Trasdasyu 98 but this appears to be erroneous on the very face of it Durgaha is mentioned as father of Purukutsa but Giriksit is mentioned only as his predecessor. The correct chronology would therefore be Giriksit-Durgaha-Trasdasyu.

The Purus, the Anus, the Druhvus, the Yadus, the Turvasas, the Ajas, the Sigrus, the Yaksas, the Matsyas and the Vrchivants

```
92. R. C. Jam, Chapter 4 Supra.
```

^{93.} Rgveda 1 18, 2,/8, 10, 11; 1, 5, 7, 16; 7, 1, 6, 5, 8, 2, 3, 3, 5. 6. 1. 3; 6, 3, 8 7.

^{94.} Rgveda 1, 7, 1, 11, 8, 6, 4, 27; 7, 6, 6, 2.

^{95.} Kgyeda 8, 2, 1, 24,

^{96.} Rgveda 1. 7. 1, 17; 10. 5. 2. 1.

^{97.} Rgveda 4, 4, 10, 8; 5, 8, 1, 8; 7, 2, 2, 8; 8, 3, 7, 8, 6,

^{98.} Macdonell and Keith-Vedic Index: 1958, page 327.

in the Dāśarājūa war were collectively called Bharatas. 99 After their defeat at the hands of Sudās, the Brahmāryan Tṛtsu chief, Bharatas became his subjects, 100

Trasadasyu, the Levirate son of Purukutsa from Indra

Purukutsa, the Püru Chief, was taken a prisoner of war in the Däśarājna war. He died in captivity; ^[10] probably he was murdered in the war-camp. He was the husband of the beautiful princess named Narmadā^{10,2} belonging to the Avedue non-Āryan Nagna or Nāga tribe of the stock of Vṛtras, the Ahis ¹⁰ She, on the advice of seven Brahmāryan ṛsis, worshipped Indra and Varuna in consequence of which Trasdasyu was born to her.¹⁰⁴

The birth of Trasdasyu has been narrated in two Rgwedic res, ¹⁰⁰ Seer Ru is Paurukutsya Trasdasyu himself. Trasdasyu narrates his auto-biography in these rcs. This literature can rightly claim to be the earliest auto-biography known to makind

Reference has been made to the worship of Purukutsāni Worship, not sacrifice, has been alluded to liere. Indra and Varuna were human beings in this age and they had not yet been celestialised and raised to the status of abstract detities. They were the kinsmen of the Āryan people ¹⁰⁶ Their worship appear to be a physical worship resulting in the submission of Narmadā, the Purukutsāni, the widow of

```
99 (1) Rgyeda 3, 3 4 12
```

(2) R. C. Jain, Pre-Aryan Bharatas of Ebarata. Read before Ancient India Section of Twenty-third Session of Indian History Congress held at Aligarh on 27, 12, 1960.

```
100 Rgveds 7, 2 16, 6,
```

- 101 A C Dass-Revedic Culture, 1925, page \$55.
- A. D. Pusalkar, Traditional History from the Earlier Times in the Vedic Age, 1957, page 278
- 103 A. C Dass-op, cit., page 167.
- 104 H. H. Wilson -Rgveda Translation, Part III, 1926, page 366-367.
- 105, Rgveda 4, 4 10 8-9.
- 106, Rgveda 1, 6, 7, 9; 1, 16, 4, 1,

Purukutsāni, the widow of Purukutsa, to them. It has nowhere been suggested that she conceived and gave birth to Trasdasyu by sacrificial propitiation of abstract gods Indra and Varuna. The Rgweda and the Purfans both agree in giving Trasdasyu a human parentage. The Brahmāryan war-lords and leaders in the Rgwedic times were in the habit of taking beautiful Dāsts (wives of Brahmāryan adversaries) as their wives or concluines. Widow Purukutsāni was also taken as such but by whom? By Varuna or by Indra?

Though Varuna had enjoyed superior powers and prestige to Indra in western Asia in the fourteenth Century B. C.: he had accepted subordination to Indra during the period of the Dāśarājňa war in the twelfth century B. C., but he was still an important Brahmärvan leader. He was a bit morally and righteously inclined man. It appears that Indra, the immoral and voluptuous Brahmaryan war-lord, took Purukutsāni as his concubine with the blessings of Varuna. It was the physical union of Indra and Purukutsani that gave birth to Trasadasyu, the posthumous levirate son of Purukutsa. As Trasadasyu was begotten for Purukutsa, he was known as Paurukutsa. 107 This conclusion is also otherwise corroborated by the internal evidence of the Rgveda, The Rgveda 4, 4, 10, 9, refers to Vrtrahan qualities of Trasadasyu. Wilson on the authority of Savana translates this rch. as "Slaver of foes. dwelling near the gods." This translation is erroneous. Trasadasyu has nowhere been given the epithet of 'Vrtrahan.' Vrtras were long ago annihilated under the leadership of Varuna in Iran and under the leadership of Indra in Bharata. Even Varuna does not enjoy the epithet of Vrtrahan in Bharata. Trasadasvu became very famous in wars and victories that he was looked upon by the people as equal to Indra in prowess and glory. He was an Ardhadeva or Half-God like Indra. 108 Wilson agrees that, Indra is declared to be one half of gods, 109 Hence he cannot be called as a son of Varuna, but only that

^{107.} A. C. Das; op, cit,, page 354.

^{108.} A. C. Das; op. cit., page 355.

^{109.} H. H. Wilson, op. cit., page 367,

¹⁴ B.

of Indra though with Varuna's blessings. In the Rgweda 4. 4. to list the Virtual of the Virtual of the Virtual of the Virtual of the Virtual of the Virtual of the Virtual of the Virtual of the Virtual of the Virtual of V

Kuruśravana, Levirate son of Trasadasvu from Mitrātithi

Trasadasyu was father of Trkşi 111 and Kuruśravana is also given the fatherhood of Mitrătthh. 112 Mitrătthh. 112 Mitrătthh. 112 Mitrătthh. 112 Mitrătthh. 113 Mitrathathis Guest-friend, was a Trtsu prince. It appears that his wife could not get a son from him and she begged of her husband to permit her to have a liverate son and Kuruśravana was born of the physical umon of the wife of Mitrătithh and Trasadasyu, hence he is referred to as son of Mitrătithi also but Kuruśravana was better known as Trāsadasyava. Kuruśravana had a son Upamaśravas. The reference of Kuruśravana and his son Upamaśravas appears only in the tenth Mandala of the Rzwcda, 113

The tenth Mandala of the Rgveda is a later addition Atharvanic in character. ¹¹⁸ The existing collections of Atharvan belong to a very late period of Vedic productivity. They were the final product of a redactorial activity much later than that of the Rgveda. ¹¹⁸ The Rgvedic Brähmanas do not mention Atharvaveda at all and the Satapatha Brähmana does not recognise at as a Veda. ¹¹⁶ It was redacted just a little earlier to the

^{110.} Rgveda 4. 4. 10, 6,

^{111,} Rgveda 8, 4 2, 7.

^{112.} Rgveda 10. 3 4. 7.

^{113,} Rgveda 10. 3, 3, 9, 10, 3, 4, 4

B. K. Ghose; Vedic Literature-General View (in Vedic Age.);
 1957; page 228.

^{115.} M. Bloomfield, The Atharvaveda, 1899, page 2.

^{116.} A. A. Macdonell; A history of Sanskrit Literature, 1958, page 190-191.

compositions of the Brahmanas circa 750 B, C. It appears probable that the Atharvayeda alongwith other later Samhitas was redacted the circa 800-700 B.C.117 The Raveda depicts Brahmarvan culture of Brahmävarta whose easternmost border was upto Hastinapura. The Rayeda was reducted circa 1000 B.C.118 It must have taken the Brahmaryans at least 200 years to arvanise the eastern Bharata upto Magadha and their presence in Madhyadeśa circa 800 B.C. seems quite certain. It appears therefore that the tenth Mandala of the Rgveda was added to the already existing collection circa 800 B. C. The process of ethnic mixture had begun in full force after the Brahmaryan victory in the Dāśarājña war The Trtsus and the Bharatas had coalesced together. The historical fusion of the two tribes. the Bharatas and the Trtsus, after two generations from Purukutsa, gave sufficient strength and prestige to the newly developed hybrid tribe to establish its hegemony in the western Madhyadeśa Prince Kuruśravana proved a successful and victorious ruler and gave his own name to this hybrid tribe. Kuruśravana is the father and founder of the Kuru tribe.

Identity of Vedic Kuruśravana with Purānic Kuruśravana unfounded

A. D Pusalker has tried to identify Kuruśravana of the Rgveda with Kurus-Samvarana of the Purānas and Mahā-bhārata. ¹¹⁹ He advances three arguments to prove his thesis. Firstly, that both came from the family of the Pürus or the Kurus, the opponents of Sudās, secondly, that both belong to the Dāšarājāa war, thirdly, that both are connected with Kavaşa Alüşa as his patrons

Prince Samvarana, the alleged father of Kuru, according to the Purānas, belonged to the lunar Paurva family. The Purānas do-not mention the Püru kings Purukutsa and Trasadasyu of

A. B. Keith; The Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanisads, 1925; page 20.

^{118. (1)} B. K. Ghose; op cit., page 225

⁽²⁾ A S. Altekar; Presidental Address printed in Proceedings of Twenty-second Indian History Congress, page 100.

^{119.} A. D. Pusaikar; Studies in Epics and Puraņas 1955, page 43.

lunar Paurava family. The Rgveda several times mentions Purukutsa and Trasadasyu as Pürus. The Satapatha Brāhmaṇa mentions [Purukutsa as Aukyāka.\footnote{120} [Ryvāku, according to the Purāṇas belonged not the lunar line but to the solar line. The Rgveda mentions the 181 Sańwarana who was the seer of one Rgvedic Sükta where Sańwarana mentions Paurukutsya Trasadasyu and two others as his patrons. This 181 Samwaraṇa had absolutely no chance of being mixed up with Kuruśravaṇa or being mistaken for the other in the Vedic literature.\footnote{121} We do not come across any Samwarana, the Prince, in the Rgveda. Word Sańwarana is not unknown to the Rgveda. The absence of Sańwaran in the Rgveda negatives any theory that would connect him as the progenitor of the Kuru tribe.

The Puranas mention solar Aiksvaka Purukutsa and Trasadasyu but do not connect them with Kuruśravana. Pusalkar concedes Trasadasyu the father-hood of solar Aiksvaka Kuruśravana. If lunar Paurava Kuru-Samvarana is equated with solar Aiksvāka Kuruśravana, then solar Aiksvāka Trasadasyu, the father of Kuruśravana, and lunar Paurava Samvarana. the father of lunar Paurava Kuru-Sāmvarana, must be identified with each other that the Puranas and Pusalkar are not prepared to do. Even if they like to do that, that equation becomes impossible as the later is connected with the Dāśarājāa war while the former is not so connected. Pürus are not mentioned in the oldest Brahmana's, the Panchavimsa, the Astareva and the Kausttaki. They are mentioned in the later Satapatha Brahmana along with Puru Purukutsa, but that only confirms a past memory. It appears that after the total or near-total fusion of the Purus with the Trtsus which began circa 1000 B. C., the Purus did not exist as an independent tribe and hence its memory as such was getting obliterated alongwith the passage of times We do not find any mention of the Purus as a tribe, kingdom, janapada or people in the Upanisads. Pānini or Patañjali. They were banished from history after sixth century B.C. The Puranas tried to resuscitate them, though in a perverted manner, after more than seven centuries.

^{120.} Satapatha Brahmana: 13, 5, 4, 5.

^{121.} A. D. Pumlkar; op. cit., page 45.

We find mention of the Ikavākus, the Kurus and the Bharatas in Pānini and Patanjali. Pānini mentions Ikavāku and Patanjali mentions Kuru and Ikavāku janapada which is obviously the same as Kośala janapada. ¹²² Pāṇini mentions Kuru gotra amongst both the Brāhmaṇas and the Kṣatriyas, Kuru janapada, in south-east of the Puṇjab contiguous to the Bhārata Janapada, Kuru kingdom having its capital at Hastināpura having a monarchical system of governance. ¹²³ It appears that the republican system continued upto district level. Monarchy was established only at the central level in Patanjali's time. Chronology of Pānini and Patanjalı respectively is generally placed at 450 and 150 B. C. ¹²⁴

Pānni and Pataŋālı both mention Bhārata territory and Bhārata janapada. ¹²⁹ Painii mentions them as an Āyudhajīvī Saṅgha of warrior people in the Kuru region on the border land of Udtchya (North) and Prāchya (East) divisions of India. ¹²⁸ Mention of Bhārata as janapada clearly establishes that they preserved their identity and their republican form of Government till the times of Pataŋali though largely decrept in strength and glory.

Authors of Vedic Index maintain that since the Bharatas appear so prominently in the Brāhmaṇa texts as a great people of the past, while the later literature ignores them in its lists of nations, it is difficult to avoid the conclusion that they became merged in some other tribe. They are of the view that the Tṛṭsus, the Bhāratas and the Pūrus coalesced together to form the Kuru people. 127 In the light of above conclusions,

 ⁽¹⁾ V. S. Aggarwal; India as known to Pāṇin: 1652, page 60.
 (2) B. N. Purl—India in the Time of Pataājali. 1957.

page 80. 123. V S. Aggarwal, op. cit., page 37, 38, 54, 77, 425.

^{124. (1)} V S. Aggarwal, op. cit,, page 475,

^(2) B. N. Puri; op. cit., page 7,

^{125. (1)} V. S. Aggarwal; op. cit., page 37.

^(2) B. N. Puri; op. cit., page 69.

^{126,} V. S. Aggarwal; op. cit., page 451.

^{127.} Macdonell & Keith; Vedic Index; Volume I, 1958; page 167.

the views of the learned scholars appear to be incorrect. They did not sufficiently pay attention to the late literature mentioned above which definitely mentions Bharatas as a people and a nation (janapadas may be so called). It may be that some of them may have joined this ethnic fusion.

The Brahmanas, the Upanişads, Pānini and Patañjalı do not mention any solar Kula or family and lunar Kula or family. Kula and Gotra are frequently mentioned in this literature. Words Surya and Chandra with other adjuncts, except the adjunct of Vainsa or Kula, also do appear in this literature. This clearly shows that the Sūryavainsa and the Chandravainsa is only a Purānic fabrication.

We find that the Iksvakus, the Bhāratas and the Kurus were independant tribes in the post-Vedic period. The unscientific and irrational inter-mixture of the Vedic and the Purānic personallities and tribes by Pusalkar has led him to impossible and irreconcilable situations. It is hence definitely erroneous to assert that purānic Kurus-Sāmwarana and Vedic Kurus-ray hoth belonged to the same Pūru or Kuru tribe.

It is also not warranted by Vedu history to assert that both belonged to the Dāsarājān period. Rşi Sainwarana is contemporary of Trasadasyu who was definitely born after Brahmāryan victory in the Dāsarājān war. It must have taken him at least twenty five years to be young enough to claim a priest in rşi Sainwarana. Kurusfavaran was the son of Trasadasyu and could not, as A. D Pusalkar suggests, belong to the side of the Dāsarājān aconfederacy. He must have come of age at least after 50 years of the end of the Dāsarājān war. The Puraņic Sainwarana is alleged to have been defeated by the Panchāla King Sudās whence he fied to Sindh and regained his lost kingdom—through Vasisha's help.\(^{1.58}\) Pargiter equates this episode to the Rgwedic Dāšarājān war. The Rgveda knows only Purukutsa,\(^{129}\) the Pūru, in the Dāsarājān war and none else of other heroes. Pusalkar owing to the contemporanetiv

^{128.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.): 1, 89, 38-39.

^{129.} Macdonell and Keith; Vedic index; Volume I; 1958; p. 542,

of Kurustavana and Kavaşa Ailüşa, has brought in Kurustavana, the grandson of Purukutsa, in relation to the Dăśarājān war. Even if we concede Sāmvaraṇa in relation to the Dāśarājān war; we can not so relate his son Kuru-Sāmvaraṇa. Samvaraṇa fetre regaining his lost kingdom arranged big sacrifices. He married Tapatı, the mother of Kuru after he regained his lost kingdom. Kuru was born¹⁵⁰ to her from Samvaraṇa after he alleged Dāśarājān war. It was impossible for unborn purānic Kuru-Sāmvaraṇa to partucipate in this Dāśarājān war. No Purāna including the Mahābhārata maintains that Kuru, son of Samvaraṇa participated in the Dāśarājān war with Sudās. Hence the alleged contemporaneity of Kuru-Sāmvaraṇa and Kurusravana during the Dāśarājān war does not stand historical tests.

Was Kavasa Ailūsa the patron of both Kuru-Śravana and Kuru-Samvarana? The great grandson of Samvarana, the puranic Janamejaya, was consecrated as a king by rşi Tura Kāvaseya.131 Kavasa Ailūsa is the rsi of Sūkta 32 and 33 of the tenth Mandala of the Rgveda. Here Kurusravana is referred to as still living. It proves the contemporaneity of Kavasa Ailūsa and Kuruśravana, Kavasa was drowned in river Parusni naval battle in the Dāśarājña war. 132 He appears to be contemporary with Purukutsa. The Brahmanas of the Rgveda 133 reproached Kavasa Ailūsa as he was born of a female slave. Ilūsā appears to be the wife of Kavasa; himself a Dāsa who fell in war at the hands of the Brahmaryans. The son of this Kavasa would have been contemporary of Trasadasyu and he might have effected compromise with the Trtsus. Though he was admitted to the Brahmaryan fold as a priest, he was still derided as the son of a slave woman (Dasi) as her mother rightly was. As rsi Samvarana was contemporary to Trasadasyu; it appears reasonable to assume that rsi Samvarana

^{130.} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.); 1. 163. 23; 1. 89. 41. 42.

^{131.} Attareya Brahmana; 7. 34; 8. 21.

^{132.} Rgveda 7, 2, 1, 12,

^{133. (1)} Attareya Brahmana 2, 19,

⁽²⁾ Kausttaki Brahmana 12, 1, 3,

was priest of Trasadasyu and after his death; Kavaşa Ailüşa became his priest and after the death of Trasadasyu, he continued to be the priest of his son Kurusfavayaa Tura Kāvaşeya, the son of Kavaşa Ailüşa, thus becomes the contemporary of Upamafsavas, the son of Kurusfavana. A.D. Pusalkar, following the authority of Sāyaṇa, is clearly wrong in denying relationship of Trasadasyu with Upamafsavas. The statement of rşi Kavaşa Ailüşa cannot lightly be brushed aside who definitely mentions Upamafsavas as son of Kurusfavaṇa Trāsadasyava. Rşi Kavaşa Ailüşa enjoys an enviable status of eminence in the Brāhmanic literature. There is no doubt that Prince Upamafsavas was a real personality.

The Purānas and the Mahābhārata speak of Vasiṣtha beng purohita of Samvarana. We do not find any evidence in the Vedic and the post-Vedic literature assigning Samvarana's prest-hood to Kavaşa Ailūşa or any other priest. Janamejaya is alleged to have Indrota Daivāpi Saunaka as his priest according to the Satapatha Brāhmaṇa and Tura Kāvaṣeya according to Aitereya Brāhmana. But none of these Brāhmanas maintain that Janamejaya was grandson of Samvaraṇa or son of Kuru-Samvaraṇa. Kavaṣa Ailūṣa has also been mentioned in these Brāhmaṇas but nowhere in relation to any alleged Kuru. It is difficult to hold that Kavaṣa Ailūṣa had Samvarana as his patron. He had Kurusfarana as his patron. is self-ewident from the Ravedic evidence itself.

Pusalkar, to prove contemporaneity of Kuru-Samvaraņa with the Dāšarājān war has equated Kavaņa Ailūsa, the patron of Kurufsvarana, with Kavaşa who was drowned in Purusņi during the Dāšarājān war. Tura Kavāseya was the priest of Janamejaya and as Tura Kāvaseya was the son of Kavaṣa Ailūṣa; the later had to be equated with Kavaṣa to give contemporaneity to his grand-father Kuru-Samvaraṇa so that he may be brought in relation to the Dāšarājān war. Words Vrddha and Sruta are proper names alongwith Kavaṣa in the Rayeda 7.2.1.12. Even if we concede these two words meaning of 'Old and fāmous' qualifying Kavaṣa, that only indicates that Kavaṣa was held in high esteem by the Brahmāryan adversaries. We do not know from the Rayeda whether

Kavaşa was a priest or not; but we definitely know him as a military hero. Kayasa Ailūsa, though a son of a non-Āryan Dasi, had transferred his allegiance from the Bharatiyans to the Brahmarvans after Trtso-Püru ethnic fusion had begun. He might have been hated as the son of Dasi, still he was regarded as a great and famous rsi. Kavasa, the Brahmarvan adversary, could not win their recognition. It appears certain that Kayasa and Kayasa Ailūsa belong to different periods of history and they acted under different sets of social conditions. Kavasa and Kavasa Ailūsa, though father and son, were definitely two different persons. Thus we find that Pusalkar is wrong in holding that Kavasa Ailūsa, the priest of Kuruśravana, an enemy of Sudās, was thrown into waters by Indra. The person thrown into waters was the father of the priest of Trasadasyu and Kuruśravana both of whom did not exist during the Dāśarājňa war. He has made confusion worse confounded by ignoring dialectical chronological history Kavasa Aılūsa of Pusalkar died during the Dāsarājāa war in old age and could not be the patron of the Rgvedic Kuruśravana, If he was natron of the puramic Samvarana; then, his son Tura Kāvaseva could not continue so long as to be the patron of Kuru-Sāmvarana, Pariksit and Janamejaya. It is therefore impossible to prove the identity of Kuruśravana by bringing in rsı Kavasa Aılüsa.

Historicity of Parıkşıt and Janamejaya

Kuru analysis would be incomplete without examining the historicity of Parikşit and Janamejaya. They are problem persons in the Brahmanical literature. Both are not mentioned in the Rgweda. The Atharvaveda mentions a Kuru Rājan Parikṣiti³āb but does not mention Janamejaya. This Atharvanic reference is in the twentieth book which is a late addition. The chronology of this book may be placed circa 700 B.C. We do not know the names of the father or son of Parikṣit. This Parikṣit has been accepted by Roy Chaudhary as a Kuru King. 136

^{134,} Atharvaveda 20, 127, 7-10.

H. C. Roychowdhari; Political History of Ancient India, 1950; page 12.

The word used in the Atharvanic hymns is 'Rajño' (राजो) or 'Rajnah' (राजा:). In the Atharvanic age, the whole people elected and re-elected the Rajan. 136 It appears that the Atharvanic Pariksit was either an elected leader of the Brahmaryan people or he was a great sacrificer held in high esteem. The Attareya Brāhmana makes this ambiguity clear. The priest recites the Pariksit verses, for Pariksit is Agni, for Agni dwells around (Pariksett) these creatures, for round Agni these creatures dwell. 137 It appears that the word Pariksit came to be used as an apithet for a great sacrificer and hence he has been equated with Agni. Word Pariksit occurs only once in the Aitareya Brahmana and that also in the sence of Agni or sacrificer. Hence the Atharvanic references appear to be in praise of a great sacrificer who might have been elected by the people or who might have claimed the universal respect of the people.

Word Pariksit is used several times in the Attareya^{13m} and the Satapatha Brāhmaṇas. ¹¹⁹ Pariksit means the son of Agni. Hence the word 'Pāriksita' is also used in the sense of a sacrificer. Janamejaya Pāriksita in the Attareya and the Satapatha does not mean Janamejaya, the son of Pariksit but it only means Janamejaya, the Son of Pariksit but it only means Janamejaya, the Sacrificer. The Brhadāraṇyaka Upaniṣad bemoans the fading away of the Pārikṣitas. ¹⁴⁰ It does not here bemoan a few descendants of a man named Parikṣit but bemoans the fading out of history of the by-gone sacrificers. This Upaniṣad was composed in the Madhyadeśa in 6th century B. C. ¹⁴¹ These were the times of Mahāyīra and the Buddha in Madhyadeśa who were very successful in organising opposition to the Brāhmanical bloody sacrifices

^{136.} Atharvaveda, ". 4. 2; 6, 8, 7. 1,

^{127.} Altareya Brahmana, 6. 32.

^{138.} Astareya Brāhmana 8. 11; 8, 21; 7. 27; 4; 7. 34.

^{189.} Satapatha Brāhmaņa 13. 4. 4. 1; 13. 5. 4. 3, 4. 6. 3. 2.

^{140.} S. Radhakrishanan, Principal Upanisads, 1963, Bihadāranyaka Upanisad; 3, 3, 1; pages 218-219.

^{141. (1)} A. B. Keith, op. cit. (R. P. V. U.) page 20.

^{&#}x27; (2) Radhakrishnan; op. cit., page 22

and this gave the priest sufficient cause to bemoan the fading out of the bygone sacrifices. Thus I hold alongwith Roth and Bloomfield ¹⁴² that Parikşit was not a human being till sixth century B. C. It was only an epithet for a great sacrificer, ¹⁴⁵

Janamejaya is definitely a human being. In the oldest Brāhmana, the Pafichavinisa, he is referred as only an Adhvaryu priest. 148 His martial activities are referred to in the Attareya Brāhmana. 140 The Kaurvyas or the Kuru people appear in the Atharvaveda but do not appear in the Pafichavinisa and Attareya Brāhmanas. Nowhere Janamejaya has been referred as Kuru nor the Kauravas are brought anywhere in relation to Janamejaya. The Pafichavinisa and the Attareya Brāhmanas were composed circa 750 B C. It is the later Satapatha Brāhmaṇa that Janamejaya has been associated with the Kurus. The Satapatha Brāhmana was composed Circa 600 B.C. 146

It is significant that the Atharvaveda, the Paßchavimsa, the Attareya and the Satapatha Brāhmanas do not mention the name of the father of so called Pariksit. They also do not mention Samvarana, Kuru-Shavarana, Kuru-Sravana and Upamafravasa tall. Epic Arjuna and Abhimanyu also do not find any reference in these texts. We find only two solitary figures, one doubtful and one certain standing quite apart from each other having nelation with the Kurus for five centuries after the Disarfaja war.

We have two puranic genealogies of Parikşit and Janamejaya The first genealogy is Samvarana-Kuru-Parikşit-Janamejaya The second genealogy is Arjuna-Abhimanyu-Parikşit-Janamejaya. The former is said to have belonged to the

- 142. Macdonell and Keith, Vedic Index, Vol. 1 page 493.
- 148. Macdonell and Keith; Vedic Index, Vol. II page 77-78.
- 144. W. Calland, Panchavimia Brahmana, 1931, 25. 15. 3; page 641.
- 145. A. B. Keith; op. cit., (A. B.), 4, 27 (page 217); 7, 27 (page 314), 8, 21 (Page 336)
- 146. A. A. Macdonell; op. cit. (MSL), page 202. He puts the chromology of the composition of Brithmans Circa 800-500 B. C. These dates have been inferred from his observations.

alleged Dāśarājna war and the later after it. The scholars hold divergent views regarding the historicity of one set or the other. Roy Chowdhary maintains that the later set existed and the former did not. ¹⁴⁷ Pusalkar forcefully controverts this view and holds that the former set had existed but complians that the former set had been transferred ¹⁴⁸ by traditions and inventions of stories in the legends of the Epics and the Purānas in a perverted manner paying scant heed to the truths of history.

Traditional Transferences and Transpositions

Who were the figures that were transferred by tradition and bardic stories to the later generations? I have earlier alluded to the Revedic rchs giving autobiography of Püru Trasadasyu. The first six rchs of that Stikta have twofold character. A. C. Das treats it as a colloquy between Indra and Varuna showing in result the supremacy of Indra over Varuna. 149 I agree with him. But apart from this, these rchs also narrate the further events of the auto-biography of Trasadasyu. He says, "I rule over all men and the whole Kşatrıya race. I have all the principal energies for the destruction of Asuras. I have inherited the greatness of both Indra and Varuna. I, the affluent Indra, have been selected by the warriors mounted (on horsebacks) as their commander in battle and with victorious prowess. I have raised up the dust. I had been exhilarated by the sacred songs during sacrifice." 150 This clearly shows that Trasadasyu, son of Indra for Purukutsa, was a great martial hero like Indra. His son Kuruśravana, the founder of the Kuru tribe, had a famous rs: Kavasa Ailūsa as his priest and must have also been a great victor and a great sacrificer though we do not find details of the activities of this prince. Tura Kavaseya, son of Kavasa Ailūsa, a greater and more important rsi than

^{147.} H. C. Roy Chowdhary; op. cit. (P. H. I. A.); page 18.

^{148.} A. D. Pusalkar; op. cit, (S. E. P.); page 45.

^{149.} A. C. Das; Rgvedic Culture, 1925, page 85.

^{150,} Rgveda 4, 4, 10, 1, to 6,

his father, had as his patron also a great sacrificer. Upamafravas was his contemporary and he cultivated intimate contacts with him during the priesthood of his father. If we read jointly the history of Trasadasyu, his son and grandson alongwith the history of their priests Kavaşa Ailüşa and Tura Kavaşeya; we can not resist the conclusion that they must have been the leaders of their age and they must have greatly consolidated the Brahmaryan power by military conquests and horse-acrifices. Looking to the political and social activities of these high personages, it appears that they lived a sufficiently long life to enable them to accomplish all that The Dăsarajān war was fought and lost circa I100 B.C. 191 We may allow the reasonable period of 150 years to the three royal personages and the two priestly personages. It appears that they accomplished all that circa 1000 B.C.

When the Brahmarvans took to the brahmanisation of the eastern Bharata after 1000 B. C., the conventional date of the composition of the Rgveda, the Brahmaryan rhapsodits took with them their propaganda lores to influence the masses. The bardic propaganda poetry always makes her theme beautiful and attractive by the use of allegories and similies. rhetorics and other forms of figures of speech. The whole Brahmāryan life was centred around Agni and sacrifice. The great sacrificers were respected by all people. They dwell in the hearts of all. It appears quite plausible that the great deeds of the great sacrificers were sung by the rhapsodists as dwelling in the hearts of all the creatures. They thus began to be called Pariksit. It appears that Ksatriva leaders who became famous for organising great sacrifices began to be called Pariksits or Parikisitas. If we read the above Atharvanic reference in this light, we better understand the truth contained in the epithet 'Raino Pariksitah.

Word 'Janamejaya' means one who is victorious throughout all his life since the time of his birth. Püru Trasadasyu was such a prince. He might have been rhetorically called in

^{151.} R. C. Jain; Previous Section.

the beginning a reputed prince who was never vanquished on the battle-field and who always annihilated Brahmaryan adversaries, the Dasyus or the Asuras in the battles. The rhansodists might have given the title of Janamejava to Trasadasyu and began to call him Trasadasyu the Janametava. History knows Alfred the Great, Akbar the Great, William the Conquerer, Gandhi the Mahatman, and Tagore the Gurudeva. Proper names Gandhi and Tagore might be forgotten some day and the tiles Mahatman and Gurudeva may live still longer even in this dreary prose age. Let history know one more great prince Trasadasyu the Janameiava. Trasadasyu afterwards might have been consciously and purposely left out. But his title name Janamejaya continued. It seems that two groups of rhapsodists led by Indrota Daivani Saunaka and Tura Kavaseva sung the heroic deeds of Trasadasvu the Janameiava. They ascribed to him two different horse-sacrifices officiated by one each of the two leaders or head-priests. This discrepancy in the Brahmanical lore grows all the more numerous in later times when the great Epic was composed

The change in dropping out the name of Trasadasyu may also be traced to changes in social outlook brought out by the passage of advancing centuries. The hateful deeds of violence and immortality of Indra and Trasadasyu, not looked down as such in their age, might have begun to be looked down detestable four centuries later due to the reactions and inter-actions of the original spiritual culture of Bhārata on the materialist Brahmāryan way of life. That might have given cause to the popular singers to change the names and contents of persons, places and events to suit their needs in changed times.

Rst Tura Kāvaşeya was a very famous rst of hts uge. According to the Rgwedc evidence, he happens in the age of Upamaśravas. Kavaşa Alūşa was living during the latest period of the Rgwedic redaction circa 1000 B. C. His son Tura Kāvaşeya in the presence of his father might not have attained that fame and prestige which he later enjoys It may be possible that Kavaşa Alūşa need not have mentioned his son Tura. But his mentioning of Upamaśravas is of very great

significance and it leads to the conclusion that during the end of this period; he had sufficient sacrifices to his credit to claim a place in such an important book. He must have been as great as his immediate predecessors. Tura Kävaseya lent no small help to his greatness. It may also be possible that like his grandfather Trasadasyu; he also might have been known as Upamaśravas, the Janamejaya. He comes in the age of Janamejaya. His historicity is also impliedly conceded by the Purānas and the Mahābhārata. The post-Mahābhārata genealogy is Arjuna-Abhimanyu-Pariṣṣit-Janamejaya. The pre-Mahā-bhārata genealogy is Arjuna-pak-Kuru-Pariṣṣit-Janamejaya. The Rgvedic genealogy is Indra (Arjuna)-Trasadasyu-Kurusfavana-Upamaśravas. These genealogies point to respective equations.

The capital of Janamejaya of the Mahabharata and the Puranas is said to be Asandivat. The Aitereva and the Satapatha Brahmanas and Panini corroborate this fact 152 He is also said to have conquered Taxila, 153 The location of Asandivat would give us a clue to the reignal boundaries of Janamejaya, Asandivat is equated with Ahisthala by Kāśikā. 154 Ahisthala means the capital of the Ahi people. It was Ahi race that the Revedic Brahmarvans annihilated. The Ahis were also called Nagnas or Nagas. Hastināpura was also called Nagapura, Nagahva, Nāga-Sāhvaya, Gajapura, Gajāhva and Gaja-Sāhvaya 158 Janamejaya's capital was not named Hastinapura till the end of Janameiava's rule. The Puranas ascribe the name of Hastinapura to one Hastin who, according to the Puranas was the predecessor of both the sets of the Puranic genealogies of Janamejaya, Hence the Puranic Hastin cannot be brought in relation to Hastinapura. Hastinapura is not at all mentioned in the Brahmanas and the Upanisads and the Vedangas. Panini mentions Hastināpura 156 and uses the word Hastin. He also gives word Nāga

^{152, (1)} Aitareya Brahmana 8.21,

^(2) Śątspatha Brāhmaņa 13, 5, 4, 2,

^{153.} H. C. Roy Chowdhari; op. cit, page 37,

^{154.} V. S. Aggarwal; op. cit., page 71.

^{155.} B. C. Law, Tribes in Ancient India; 1943; page 399.

^{156.} Pāṇini 6. 2. 101 (Aggarwal-op. cit. page 54).

for Hastin meaning elephant. 187 In the Brahmanas and the Upanisads, the word Hastin 158 and Naga 159 both have been used. Hastin means an elephant. Word 'Naga' sometimes has been given this meaning but meaning 'slave or serpant' suits better in the context. To enter into those details here would be an irrelevant digression. The Naga people were very powerful. They had their elephant in war as the Brahmaryans had their horse. It appears that power and strength of the Naga people and their association with elephant inspired Panini to use the word Naga for Hastin. Pānini does not know the word Gaia. It did not occur to him to change the name of Asandivat or Ahisthala into Nāgapura, Nāgahva, Nāga-Sāhvaya, Gajapura, Gajāhva and Gaja-Săhvava. The Mahābhāratic bards punned upon the word Naga and armed with the authority of Panini changed the name of Asandtvant (Ahisthala) into Gaiapura and Nagapura circa 200 B.C. or after. We do not find the name Hastinapura used in pre-Paninian literature.

It gives us a very important clue to our present enquiry. Janamejaya did not advance to the eastern Bhārata to brāhmanise it. He only consolidated the already won Brahmāryan power from Taxila to Hastināpura and laid the steel foundation of strong and famous Brahmāvarta. This is definitely the Rgwedic region called Brahmāvarta (territory spread over or covered by the Brahmas) though particularly the region of the Saraswatt, the Apayā and the Dṛṣadvatt was the heart of it. Hence the person known as Janamejaya must be the Rgwedic person but the Rgweda does not mention him.

Upamasravas Transferred as Janamejaya

Upamasravas and Janamejaya, as just shown, belong to the same age. It appears that Upamasravas was first transferred as Janamejaya the priest and afterwards with the

^{157.} Panini 2. 1. 62 (Aggarwal-op. cit., page 218).

^{158. (1)} Śatapatha Brilmana 3, 1, 3, 4; 14, 8, 15, 11; 14, 7,

^{1, 20.} (2) Altareya Bribmana 4, 1; 6, 27.

^{159. (1)} Satapatha Brahmana 8, 22,

⁽²⁾ Aitarcya Brishmana 8, 22,

^(3) BrhadErznyaks Upanisad, 1. 3. 22.

addition of the martial feats of his grandfather developed into full fledged Janamejaya of the Astareya and the Satapatha fame. Thus the legendary Janamejaya was created to suit the rhapsodist's changed purposes in changed times. But there are no rare instances of such perverse and topsy-turvy changes in the Vedic events transferred to later times through ingenious legends and effective stories. No standards to keep the true names, true tribes, true qualities and true events in tact need be observed in propagandist literature and this principal was faithfully followed by the Brahmaryan bards. Facts of one event could be divided into two or three events. and two, three or four events could be amalgamated into one. The Paranas stand a glaring example of such transferances of events, names and places by traditions and inventions of ingenious stories, as truly remarked by A. D Pusalkar. That appears certain in the case of Pariksit and Janameiava. They never existed in history. They are a pure fiction

But in spite of Parikait and Janamejaya; the Kurus exist in history. Triso-Püru prince Trasadasyu accellerated the process of ethnic mixture of the Brahmäryans, the Bharatas and the Püris. Kurusravana gave the new tribe his own name. The Kuru tribe came in existance circa 1000 or 950 B.

CHAPTER IX

THE ORIGIN OF VARNIC ETHNOLOGY

1. THE PROBLEM

We find territorial and ethnic groups in the Pre-Brahmaryan Bharata before the Brahmaryan military invasions. But they were not the conservative and separatist hierarchical organisations. They were fluid and mobile groups only bound together by ideological one-ness, not by any superficial rules and regulations The Bharatas, the Purus, the Yadus, the Anus, the Vrtras, the Panis, the Iksvakus and a host of others were only ethnic and territorial groups They were collectively termed by the Brahma invaders as belonging to the Dasa-Varna, Varna, during the Reveduc age, indicated only the colour, the colour of the two mutually opposing groups; the Arvas and the Dasvus and the Dasas. It had no reference to social hierarchical organisations of the Brahma and the Bharativa peoples The Brahmaryan invaders had no sort of hierarchical organisation. They were single Brahma and Brahma only. Really, one of the most significant features of the Brahma conquest and colonisation of Bharata, from the point of view of social history of ancient Bharata, may be regarded the coalescence of the two Varnas, the Aryan Varna and the Dasa Varna, the bifurcation of the one coalesced Varna into two Varnas, the Arya-Varna and the Sudra-Varna, the bifurcation of the Ārya Varna into the Brāhmana Varna and the Ksatriva-Varna and that of the Südra-Varna into the Südra-Varna and the Vaisya-Varna; the later upgrading itself joined the Brahmana Varna and the Ksatriva-Varna to form the Traivarna of the Brahmana. the Ksatriya and the Vaisya to the permanent and nonreturnable historical exclusion of the Sudra. Varna now becomes a sociological institution. This is a peculiar institution nowhere found amongst any people in any part of the world.

The Brahmanas are terrestrial Devas. It means that the true tineal descendants of the Aryan Devas are the Brahmanas. This is a concession. The original Brahmas were themselves

the Devas. When the Brahmana and the Brahmana class orginated; the original Devas were transferred to svarga; their place being usurped by the Brahmanas in our world, at least in Bharata. They are the only Devas. The Kşatriyas and the Vaisyas, much less the Sūdras, are not the Devas and also can never hope to be Devas. This clearly indicates that the non-Āryan converts who could not raise themselves to the status of the Brahmanas remained the dissatisfied lot and could not forget their non-Āryan origin. This is very important in the srowth of the Varince tethology.

2. THE ORIGIN OF BRÄHMANA CLASS

Unitary Brahman

When the Brahmaryans invaded Bharata, they constituted a single race, the Brahma race. Theirs was the universal tribal collective; the colossus social machine, the Brahman. There were no functional divisions also. All the members of the Brahman discharged all the function of the society, ideological, military, social and economic. There might have been some groups originally known as Ganas but the groups did not indicate class or social divisions and distinctions, 1 The distinctive names signified some political or social functions or activities. The Angirasas were individually known so for their discovery of Agni. The Bhrgus became famous for having fathered Agni from waters. The Atharvans indicated non-Somadrinking fire scientists. The Trtsus (Vasisthas) formed a distinct military group. But they all were indivisible parts of the Brahma society. The members constituting the Brahma society were also known as the Brahmas. The conquering Brahma militarists gave their ethnic name to their new-colonised land in Bharata, the name of Brahmavarta; the land covered by the Brahma people. The Brahma society remained unitary at least for some time after the conclusion of the Dasaraina War circa 1100 B. C. This unitary nature of the Brahma society is fairly fresh even upto the Upanisadic age circa 600 B. C. 2

R.C. Jain; The Most Ancient Aryan Society; 1964; Chapter VIII.

^{2.} BrhadKranyaka Upanisad, 1. 4 10.

Convert Brahmas

As has been noted earlier, when the Brahmaryans peacefully settled in the Brahmavarta; they had to make peace with the original inhabitants of Bharata. They forced conversions and enticed numerous groups to accept conversions. The new people brought new ideas. There was no necessity now for the Yajna and the Brahman to continue their materialistic activities of exploitation and violence. They were now the masters of a rich and prosperous land. The mass scale conversions had brought to their fold many pre-Arvan priests,3 a convenient word for spiritual leaders. Viśvāmitra and his fifty sons led the chain and many more joined. We have learnt earlier that the Kanvas, the Bharadvaias and many other Rsis were drawn from the pre-Aryan Bharattya fold by the Brahma masters. The newly borrowed spiritual order forced the Brahmas to transform their institutions. The tribal activities gave way to more civilized activities. The institution of the Yaina began to be ritualised.

Origin of Brahmana

The convert Brahmas raised the status of the original Brahman. The Brahman united with the word "N" and became Brahman "N" means knowledge * Knowledge is another name of Ātman or spirit. It is related with asceticism and spirituality. "N" means wisdom, * rather spiritual wisdom The pre-Āryan spiritual leaders of Bhārata followed the Sramaņic way. * The Bhāratiyan converts had taken the Sramaņic way to their new fold. The Brahmāryans had physically conquered Bhārata but they stood completely conquered by the Bhāratiya Sramanic way The Brahman became śramanised. The sramanised Brahman became the Brahmana. The laurels to śramanise the Brahmas go to the Dasyu-chief Vissamitra.

D. D. Kośsmbi; Origin of Brahmana Gotras; J. B. B. R. A. S. Vol. 26 (N. S.), 1950; Page 29.

^{4.} Monier-Williams; A Sanskrit-English Dictionary; 1956; p. 431.

^{5.} H. D. Setha; Paiasaddamahannavo; 1928; page 467.

R. C. Jain; The Most Ancient Aryan society, 1964; chapter I Section 6.

Viśvāmitra was the leader of the Dāśarājāa confederacy but he along with his fifty sons (the rest fifty were driven to south and south east for not compromising with the Brahmas) made peace with the new victors and he was accepted by the victors with all glory and prestige. He could have been. as he proved to be, a very effective tool to strengthen the Brahmaryan hold on the newly vanquished peoples, He could be the right medium of understanding the customs. manners, ideas, institutions and the whole way of life of the Bhāratīyans. He became the Prathamjā Brahmana or the Foremost Brahmana in the coalesced society. He uttered the first prayer accompanied by the oblation, 7 Viśvāmitra (himself the Rsi of sükta 3. 2. 17) was the first discoverer of the oblationary Agni-cult. Soon after the poets emerged 8 and Soma extended them his protections. 9 The Brahmanas, thus, got protections of all the Soma-drinking Brahmaryan leaders; Indra, Agni, Brhaspati and others. The followers of the Brahmana-cult came to be known as the Brahmanas. The word Brahmana is used nine times in the Rgveda out of which in five places it consistently stands for Brahmanah, 10

Origin of Brühmana class

The word Brahmana has been used about 15 times in the Rgveda. In the beginning the Brahmanas, like other Devas, are progenitors, presenters of Soma and extenders of the Yaiña. The Brahmanas had introduced the institution of penance in their society. The penance was non-existent in the Brahmic society. They uttered sacrificial storas very loud and offered Soma libations. 12 Mitra and Varuna accepted Soma from Brāhmanas, 13 Indra drinks Soma juice from the spacious

^{7.} Rgveda 3. 2, 17, 15.

^{8.} Rgveda 6, 2. 1, 30.

^{9.} Raveda 6. 5. 3. 3.

^{10.} N.G. Chapekar: Brahma, Brahmana, Brahmanah: A. B. O. R. I. Vol. XLI Page 59.

^{11. (1)} Rgvcda 6, 6, 4, 10. (2) Rgveda-Sambitz (V S, M,); Vol. 111 page 259,

^{12.} Rgveda 7, 6, 14, 1, 7, 8.

^{13,} Rgveda 2. 4. 4. 5.

vase of the Brahmanas. The Brahmanas were the wiser men. the knowers of the Veda. 15 The Brahmanas raise their status in the society by and by and they gain prominence in the age of the tenth Mandala of the Rgveda circa 800 B. C. Now the Brahmana becomes identified with Brhaspati. 16 They became the masters of the ritual formule. 17 The Brahmana becomes the mouth of the Purusa. 18 He has now raised himself to the position of the chief spokesman of the whole society as he has by this time been acknowledged the mind and the head of the society. Though the Brahmanas had gained social superiority, they are still a fluid social group. They, in the Revedic age, are neither a solidified class, nor a member of the Varna system. Any member of society who could attain proficiency in Stotraism could be acknowledged as the Brahmana. The Brahmana functionaries had not yet been compartmentalised. The above description of the functions of a Brahmana indicates that he was now choosing to adopt to himself certain special duties. In the former times, he socially performed, as a part of the social machine, all the functions of the society but it could not be so in the changed circumstances. Though the Yaina was still the centre of their activities: political, social and economic; its form has changed. The Yajñic activities had also become extensive The tribal Yajña became divided in political Yajnas, such as the Raiasuva and the Asvamedha: social Yainas such as Soma. Vaianeva and others and domestic Yainas. The Brahmana became the respository of all the intricate knowledge for the due fulfilment of these Yajñas. This ritualisation of the Yajña needed a special social group. This social necessity gave birth to the Brahmana class. The Brahman developed into the Brahmana and the Brahmana into the Brahmana class. The origin of the Brahmana class disturbed the unitary Brahmic society. The rule of inequality

^{14,} Rgveda 1, 4, 4, 5.

^{15, (1)} Rgveda 1, 22, 8, 45.

⁽²⁾ Rgveda-Samhitā (V. S. M.); Vol. I. page 1609.

^{16.} Rayeda 10. 9 10. 4.

^{17.} Rgveda 10, 6, 3, 8, 9; 10, 7, 4, 19; 10, 2, 7, 22.

^{18.} Rgveda 10, 7, 6, 12,

set in. The burden of the existence of the Brāhmaṇa class fell on the rest of the society. The historical necessity of the Brahmic society created a Brāhmanic class.

3. THE ORIGIN OF KSATRIYA CLASS

Social Impasse

The social impasse soon obstructed the progress of the newly evolved Brahmanic society. Powerful leaders of the vanguished society had joined the new society. There emerged many powerful leaders in the original society also. The impact of the Bharatiyan institutions of freedom, family and inheritance had penetrated the Brahmanic society. The collective dictatorial power of the Ganapatis and the Brahmanaspatis began to fade. Individual chieftains with their private properties had arisen. The institution of the Yaina was ritualised to continue the collective Brahmanic exploitation but that could not endure for long. It met stiff and strong opposition from those sections of the society who suffered the brunts of the Yainic exploitation and also from those who could not win a share in the Yainic loot. The assimilation of the original inhabitants of the land accelerated the process. This internal contradiction provided by the Ksatriya (or Vrtric) elements soon came to the fore. The victors had celebrated their triumph in distributing among themselves the spoils of the war. The gold, the land, the slaves went to the shares of the powerful leaders and their associates in the Brahmic society. Those who still wielded economic, political and social power in the vanquished society but allured to the new fold also asserted their supremacy. The collective tribal way began to disintegrate. The old and the new struggled for growth. The healthy elements of both evolved a new pattern, the pattern of Varnic tribalism. The Arva-Varna and the Dasa-Varna of the Revedic fame now had coalesced together. The powerful elements of the newer mixed society imposed ruthless violence and exploitation over the masses. They devised the fraud of religious magico-ritualism. One section took upon itself to provide the intellectual base to the newer state and the other the duty of its protection. This tribal loot and plunder was sought to be maintained by the reallignment of the unity of the Brāhmanic society.

Rgvedic Kşatra

The Kşatra was not a separate function, a separate entity in Revedic times. The Ksatra was also not a social group. Word Ksatra and its derivatives have numerously been used in the Rgveda. Ksatra means physical prowess.20 Ksatra means wealth. 21 Ksatra also means strong people, 23 Word Ksatra occurs in the Rgyeda in close relationship with Indra, Agni, Varuna, Brahmanaspati, Mitra, Aśvins, and Dyaus-Prthyl. They themselves possess Ksatra, strength or wealth; and they also bestow Ksatra upon their favourites. The Ksatra is only a quality, an attribute of the Brahmaryan Devas. The Brahmic Devas effectively wielded Ksatra, hence they are also called Ksatriyas, Ksatriya, in this context, means a power-wielder 23 Indra and Agni are such Ksatriyas. 24 It is a very significant Revedic statement that attributes the quality of a Ksatriva to Agni also Agni was a weapon with the Revedic Brahmarvans and he was also the wielder of fire missiles He actively took part in battles. He, therefore, rightly claims the epithet of a Ksatriva from the Revedic rsis. It is only in the Atharvanic times circa 800 B. C. that Agni along with Brhaspati becomes associated with Brahman and Indra, along

R. C. Jain; op. cit. (M. A. A. S.); 1964; chapter 9 section 2.
 Rgveda 1 6 1. 6, 1. 6, 2. 6; 1. 8. 5, 8, 1. 10, 4. 8, 1. 10.
 4. 11; 1. 20, 3. 1; 1. 20, 3. 3, 1 22. 1. 2, 1. 22. 1. 6;
 1. 22, 4. 6; 1. 22, 6. 22; 4. 2. 7. 1, 4. 2. 11, 1; 5, 5 8. 6;
 5. 6. 10. 2, 5, 5. 11, 1, 5 5. 12. 3; 6 3. 2, 8; 6. 5. 1. 3,
 6. 6. 6 5, 6; 7. 2. 1, 26; 7, 3. 1, 11; 7. 4, 11, 11, 8, 3,
 7. 33; 8. 5. 7, 7; 8 5, 7. 7; 10. 2, 2. 9; 10. 4. 18. 5;
 10. 12. 29. 3.

^{21.} Rgveda 1, 16, 8, 6; 8, 3, 9, 3; 8, 3, 9, 5; 5, 2, 13, 7, 5, 5, 6 6; 4, 1, 4, 8; 6, 1, 8, 6; 7, 2, 11, 8; 8, 4, 2, 7.

^{22.} Rgveda 8. 5. 5, 17.

^{23,} Rgveda 8, 4, 5, 8; 10, 5, 6, 8,

^{24.} Rgveda 4, 2, 2, 3, 5, 5, 13, 1; 7, 4, 9, 2.

with Aditya, with Kşatra. Kşatra, hence, in the Rgvedic age, denotes only the military and economic power of the undivided Brahman. It has not yet become an ethnological epithet.

Non-Aryan Kşatrıyas

The word Asura has also been used in the sense of physical prowess in the Rayeda. The Brahmarvan Devas are Asuras. The word Asura has been used in the Rgyeda for more than 115 times and about 100 times it has been used in good sense. Indra, Agni, Varuna and other Devas are all Asuras. The word Asura has nowhere been used in relation to the Brahmarvan adversaries the Vrtras, the Raksas, the Panis, the Dāsas, the Dasyus and other in the Rgyeda, Vrtrāsura, Sambarāsura. Varchināsura and other such Asuras are only the epic and the Puranic fabrications. This epithet Asura stands in contradistinction to the word Ksatra in the Rgyeda. Asura, in the Rgveda, indicates the strength of the Devas and Kşatra, the destructive power of their adversaries. The vigour of Indra is called Asura which is superior to Ksatra of his adversaries 28 Asura power here implies construstive power and Ksatra power, the destructive power.26 The destrutive power of Indra has at one place also been alluded as the Ksatra.27 The Brahma Rsi Vasistha associates Ksatriya with Raksasas. Soma instigates not the Ksatriya dealing in falsehood. He instigates not the wicked. He destroyes the speaker of untruth. He destroys the Raksasa. Both remain in the bondage of Indra. The Raksasa says that he himself is pure and calls me (Vasistha) a Yātudhāna, 28 The Atharvayeda also re-echoes these statements of Vasistha. 29 These events echo the rivalry between Vasistha and Visvāmitra. Visvāmitra called Vasistha, and truly, a magician. He knew his Sramanic way as pure.

R. C. Jain, The Most Ancient Tryan Society; 1964, Chapter IV section 2.

^{26. (1)} Rgvedn 7. 2. 4. 7.

⁽²⁾ Rgveda-Sambutz (V. S. M.), Vol. III. page \$21,

^{27.} Rgveda 7. 2. 13 1.

^{28.} Rgveda 7. 6. 15. 18-16.

^{29.} Atharvaveda; 8, 4, 1"-16,

Vasistha denounces this Kṣatriya and wills his death through Agni Brāhmana is a speaker of truth and Kṣatriya is a speaker of ourtuth. These important res further reveal that the Ksutriya and the Rāksasa were forced to Indra's bondage. Ksatriya is an ethnological epithet here. Rākṣasas were an ethnological group. The bondage of Kṣatriya with Rākṣasa proves him also to be of an ethnological group. The word Ksatra or Kṣatriya has so far appeared only as a qualifying epithet. It is only here that it occurs for the first time in the ethnological sense. The Kṣatriya group stands in rivalry to the Brāhmana group. This clearly indicates the avaidic and ayāṭfine character of the original Kṣatriyas, who included the Vaisyas and Sūdras amongst them also. ²⁰ The original Kṣatriyas, thus, appear to be pre-Āryan non-Āryan Bhārattya pecople.

Meaning of Ksatra

The members of the unitary Brahma society were known as the Brahmas The Brahma victors in their migratory stages were not attached to any particular dominion. The Brahma society in its earlier stages had assimilated in itself several influential elements of their conquered lands. These indigenous elements were the dwellers of lands. The word Ksatra may be traced to ./ksi, "to dwell" with "tra" suffix in the sense of "protective." 31 The indigenous inhabitants of the land whose duty was to protect the people of the land began to be called Ksatra. The word Ksatra was coined or elevated, from being qualifying epithet, to connote a distinct group that became a part of the unitary Brahma society during the process of coalescence. The Ksatras, like Viśvāmitra, became brāhmaised. Kṣatra, in the new Brahmic context, meant the original powerful leaders of Bhārata, spiritual like Viśvāmitra or temporal like Balbutha

The Great Coalescence

It appears that after the conclusion of the Dăsarājāa war, the convert spiritual and political leaders were harmoniously

- 30 Attareys Brahmana 7, 19,
- 31. Momer Williams, op. cit., pages 325, 457.

assimilated in the Brahmic society. Visvāmitra, one time Kṣatriya rival of Brahmic Vasissha, was accepted with honours in the Brahmic hierarchy. The Bharata and Pūru Kṣatriyas had coalesced with the Tṛtsus to form the Kuru tribe. Trasadasyu, the levirate son of Purukutsa, the Pūru, upon Narmadā, the Purukutšan, irom Indra, accelerated the process of the ethnic mixture of the Brahmāryans and the Pūrus. Trasadasyu ruled over the whole Kṣatriya race. Several Kṣatriya leaders organised great Yajāas and became famous as Parikṣits or Pārikṣitas. It appears that Brāhmianic society was a homogenous unitary society till the final redaction of the Reyeda circa 1000 B. C.

Borrowing of Sramanic Culture

The story of the causes that led to this homogeneity of the diverse Aryan and non-Aryan elements is narrated in a Satapatha Brahmana parable. A cake on twelve potsherds is prepared for Indra and Visnu in the Raiasuva. The reason of this is given like this. Of old, everything here was within Vrtra, to wit, the Rc, the Yajus, and the Saman. Indra wished to hurl the thunderbolt at him. Indra said to Visnu, "I will hurl the thunderbolt at Vrtra, stand thou by me"-"So be it:" said Visnu, "I will stand by thee hurl it;" Indra aimed the thunderbolt at him. Vrtra was afraid of the raised thunderbolt. Vrtra said, "There is here a source of strenth I will give that upto thee; but do not smite me." and gave up to him the Yaius formulae. Indra aimed at him a second time. Vrtra said. "There is here a source of strength. I will give that unto thee, but do not smite me;" and gave upto him the Rc verses. He aimed at him a third time. Vrtra said. "There is here a source of strength: I will give that upto thee; but do not smite me;" and gave up to him the Saman hymns, Indra tore the Vrtra's seat, his retreat, that he shattered,

 ⁽¹⁾ R. C. Jain, Origin of the Kuru Tribe, Jain Bharati; 2020
 Y. pages 4, 9.

⁽²⁾ Rgveda 4, 4, 10, 1,

grasping it and tearing it out. 33 The inherent potentialities of these verses are very great. Vrtras were highly cultured, greatly civilized and very learned people. They were the masters of sciences and humanities. Indra subdued the Vrtras by physical power. Vrtras had surrendered to him afraid of total annihilation. Indra looted their country, their power, their wealth and their material happiness but it was beyond the power of Indra to understand their culture, art, philosophy and ideology. He, hence, sought the aid of Visnu, a lover of art, peace and philosophy, to learn the Vrtra ideology The Vrtras, out of fear, imparted their secret knowledge to their new masters. The Vrtras were the Sramanic people inhabiting the Sramanic region of Bharata following the Sramanic ideology. This Sramanic ideology was borrowed by the Brahmarvans from their Bharativan adversaries through the physical power of Indra and the intellectual power of Visnu. How was it effected? The Satapatha Brahmana narrates a second parable to explain this process.

Vispu is yajha. ³⁴ He who is consecrated indeed becomes both Visnu and a Yajhu. The gods had the Mind and the Asuras the Vâk. The gods said to yajha "That Vâk (Speech) is a woman: backon her and she will certainly call thee to her." Or it may be, he (yajha) himself thought, "That Vâk is awoman. I will beckon her and she will certainly call me to her." He beckoned her and she called him to her. The gods reflected, "That Vâk being a woman, we must take care lest she should allure him." The gods then cut her off from the Asuras and having gained possession of her and enveloped her completely in fire, they offered her up as a holocaust, it being an offering of the gods. The Asuras being deprived of speech, were undone, crying, "He lavah; He lavah; He lavah; He lava" stands for 'her rayo' (i.e. ho; c)

^{33. (1)} Satapatha Brahmana 5, 5. 5, 1-6,

⁽²⁾ J Eggling; Śatapatha Brāhmaņa, 1963; Part III. p. 138-139.

^{34.} Satapatha Brähmana 5. 2 3. 6.

the spiteful) enemies. ³⁵ The story, bereft of allegories, becomes very simple. Yajia was the military, social and economic tribal activity of the Brahmäryans. It was only Mind. It was not Wisdom. It needed the cooperation of Wisdom which was not with them. They took it from their adversaries. But how did they use this Wisdom, is the subject of the third parable.

Ritualisation of Yajña

The Yaina (Visnu) lusted after Vak, the unintelligible Mlechchha speech, thinking, "May I pair with her." He united with her. Indra then thought within himself, "surely a great Monster will spring from this union of Yaina and Vak. (I musttake care) lest it should get the better of me." Indra himself then became an embryo and entered into the union. Now when he was born after a year's time, he thought within himself. "Verily of great vigour is this womb which has contained me (I must take care) that no greater (Monster) shall be born from it after me, lest it should get the better of me." Having seized and pressed it tightly, he tore it off and put it on the head of the Yaina (Visnu), 38 This womb was the seat, the retreat of Vrtra as alluded to earlier. Here completes the story of the ritualisation of yajña. Visnu brought the Sramanic knowledge to his fold. If that knowledge would have been accepted in pristine purity as given by Vrtra and learnt by Visnu; the course of history of Bharata would have been otherwise. But Indra could not and did not permit that. He and his victor society could not accept the pure śramanie ideology. They had to keep their Brahmic base in tact. Indra tore off the seat where Vrtra resided and being himself there; tore it off for all times. The Brahmic base of vaina was to remain in tact in the Vak-ised or the framanised yaiña. That base is exploitation and violence as Indra represents exploitation and violence of the Brahma society.

^{35. (1)} Satapatha Brahmana; 3, 2, 1, 17-23.

⁽²⁾ J. Eggling; op. cit. Part II p. 29-31 & Note 3 on p. 31.

^{35 (1)} Satapatha Brahmana 3. 2, 1, 24-28,

^(2) J. Eggling; op. cit , Part II page \$2.

The Vrtras imparted their adversaries the knowledge, the wisdom of the arts of advanced agriculture, industry and social sciences. Their military masters learnt from them the science of peace and freedom. The Vrtras also imparted them the revolutionary wisdom, so far unknown to the materialistic Arvans, of the ever-growing progress of the human society. They learnt for the first time that there is some permanent substance known as the Atman. It attains blissful abode by good deeds and gloomy abode by deeds of violence. The man has to live in a civil state with his fellow brother. It is penance, renunciation that awards blissful state. Vrtras, in substance, taught their new masters the science of Sramanalogy: the existence of soul, its transmigrations, good and bad future life, penance and the fundamental five tenets of Non-violence, Truth, Non-stealing, Continance and Nonattachment. But the new masters could not digest the śramanic ideology in fullness. They appreciated most the idea of the ever-growing progess of man and attainment of blissful state the svarga, by him. They borrowed these ideas and applied them to their institution of yajna. They knew the efficacy of material possessions and hence they accepted the idea of renouncing a part of their material possessions for bountiful pleasures in syarga. They made vaina the vehicle for svarga. Visnu and through him the Brahmanic society, by accepting framanic ideas though in a perverted form, gave the naked exploitative Yaina a civilized form. The oblation of material objects, accompanied with chanting of stotra, in main the magical formulae, became the driving social force of the new Brahmanic society. The contacts with the culture and ideas of the Brahmarvan adversaries necessitated the ritualisation of Yaina.

H omodeitheism

The ritualisation of yajña led to another important phenomenon. Trasadasyu, of mixed Aryan and non-Aryan blood, had assumed the status of Indra. He established for himself a position equal to Indra.⁵⁷ The non-Aryan constitutions of

^{37.} Raveds 4, 4, 10, 3, 8,

the Brahmanic society were coming to their own. The social atmosphere of the Brahmanic society had largely changed and was still changing. The hateful deeds of violence, cruelty, murders, exploitation and frauds of Indra and other Brahma leaders had begun to be looked down contemptuously. This hierarchy had to be given a glorious burial. There was a time when the Arvan leaders needed the vitality and energy of the forces of nature. The forces of nature were made to descend for them. The time now has come for the Arvan leaders to ascend to the forces of nature. Indra, Agni, Varuna Rudra and others were ceremoniously sputniked to heaven. The vestiges of their human existence were sought to be obliterated I have previously termed the theory of descent as the theory of Morphomotheism I term this theory of ascent, the theory of Homodeitheism. Real man becomes an ephemeral deity. The Ganapatis and Brahmanaspatis of the human race became Ganapatis and Brahmanaspatis of the unreal, unknown world, the svarga. The Brahmanic ideology, here, completes with the ritualisation of the Yaiña and celestialisation of the Brahma leaders

Ascendancy of Dark-skinned Brahmanas

The Bhārattyan intellectual leaders, it appears, that joined the Brahmic society, soon became very influential in the society. These dark-skinned Bhārattya Brāhmaṇas, who came from the un-Āryan stock of Dasyu-Vṛtras were cleverer and more intelligent than the white skinned ones. ³⁸ These are the spiritual leaders whom Stuart Piggot conjectures as the priesthood of some religion that were the potent forces behind Haruppa organisation and who played a very important part in the regulation of Harappa economy. ³⁹ These are the spiritual leaders of Indus civilization whom Sir Mortimor Wheeler could not identify but who, he thinks, transmitted to its successors a metaphysics. These śramaṇic metaphysicians soon triumphed over the materiatic culture that

^{38.} Buddhaprakash; Vrtra A.B.O.R.I. Vol. XXX pages 193-194.

^{39.} Stuart Piggot; Pre-historic India; 1950; page 201.

had physically subjugated them. 40 This situation could not be to the liking of the new masters.

Yaiña-Agent

The Indras and the Vrtras, the Brahmas and the Ksatrivas could not keep the unity of the Brahmanic society in tact for a long time. The ascendancy of the Kşatriyas was envied by the Brahmanas in the mixed society. Kşatrya Brahmanas faired better than the Brahma Brahmanas in the mixed society. The powarful Kşatrıya section became more dominating. The Ksatrivas began to oppress the Brahmanas and snatch away their cattle, 41 The Ksatriya Brahmanas began to monopolise the vainic activities. 43 The powerful Kuru tribe. with predominance of Bharatiya Purus and Bharatas, along with Trtsus was formed circa 950 B. C Soon after Janamejaya flourished in the ninth century B. C. 43 Janamejaya was a great conquerer. He performed a horse-sacrifice with Tura-Kāvaseya as his priest. 44 Turā Kāvaseya was son of Kavasa Ailūsa. This Kavaşa Ailūşa was derided by the Brahmana Rsis as the child of a slave woman, a cheat, no Brahmana, 45 with whom the Brahmanas will not eat 40. Such non-Arvan priest could not be tolerated by the Brahma priests. Janameiava, himself a Ksatriya, though of nuxed blood, being a descendant of Trasadasyu, patronised the convert priests and that flared up the hostility of the Brahma priests. They opposed him under the leadership of Vaisampayana, a Bhargava. 47 This

^{40.} Sir Mortiner Wheeler, The Indus Civilization; 1953; page 93

^{41.} Atharvaveda 12. 5 5, 12. 5 45-46

^{42.} R. C. Jain op. cit. (O K. T.), page 11.

H. C. Roychowdhari, Political History of Ancient India, 1950; page 36

^{44.} Altareya Brahmana 8.21.

^{45, (1)} Altareya Brāhmaṇa 2, 19

^(2) A. B. Keith; Rgveda Brāhmaņa; 1920; page 148.

^{46. (1)} Kauştakı Brähmana 12.3,

^(2) A B, Keith; op cit, page 414.

^{47. (1)} H. C. Roy Chowdhari; op. cit. page 38-39.

^(2) F. E. Pargiter; op. cit, (A. I. H. T.); page 192.

historical event suggests the coming into being of a new office; an independant office of the priest, a medium of sacrifice and his deity. Upto this time, the sacrifice himself conducted the sacrifice. There was no agent of sacrifice. In the Rgvedic age, Vasisha and Visvāmitra sacrificed for themselves, not for any other king. Trasadasyu sacrificed for himself for getting progeny but he had no priestly agent. 4" We do not find the priestly agency of Yajūa in the Rgveda. It is only in the nineth century B. C. that we find the office of the priest who sacrificed, not for himself, but for somebody else, a prince or a householder. The creation of the office of the Yajūa-agent and usurpation of this office mainly by the erstwhile non-Āryan converts led to the disruption of the unitary Brahms society.

Origin of Kşatriya Class

In this family struggle, the Brahmana came victorious. The Ksatriva sacrificers lost the right of sacrificing for themselves They could sacrifice only through the agency of the Brahmana priest. They lost the Yajña, They could only claim the fruits of the Yajna through the agency of the priest, This revolutionary change has been mythologically recorded in a Satapatha Brahmana parable which goes like this. Indra was thus moving on (in pursuit of Vrtra), he addressed Agni and Soma, "Ye belong to me and I belong to you. That one is nothing to you. Why then do ye support that Dasyu against me? Come over to me." And for reward and consideration, they went over to him and after them went forth all the gods, all the sciences, all glory, all nourishment, all prosperity. Indra, then, cut Vrtra in twain, 49 Shorn off the gloss, the story becomes simple and intelligible. We may equate Indra with Brahman and Vrtra with Ksatra as we well know that Indra-Vrtra dichotomy was later transformed into

^{48,} Panchavimia Brahmans; 25. 16.

^{49. (1)} Satapatha Brahmana; 1, 6, 3, 13-17.

^(2) J. Eggling; op. cit., Part 1 Pages 166-167.

the Kşatriya-Brāhmana antagonism 80 or the Kşatriya- Brāhmana antagonism was glossed over as the Indra-Vrtra dichotomy. The Kşatrıyas lost the right of performing yajfias themselves where they could profusely drink Soma. Agni went to those whom it belonged; the Brahmanas. The Kşatriyas lost all glory, prosperity and nourishment won through the vainas. Now these material boons became the exclusive Brāhmana privileges. It is for this reason that Janamejaya denounces the priests who fling the sacrificer into a pit; like outcasts, robbers and evil-doers; who run away seizing the wealth of the sacrificer. 51 But the Brahmanas and the Ksatriyas, both apprehending severe danger due to mutual disharmony, soon made up. The Brahmanas accepted Janamejaya and consequently all the Ksatriyas superior to themselves and seated them foremost, themselves sitting below the Kşatrıya during sacrificial ceremonials. The Kşatrıyas assured Brahmanas not to mure them, their own source of power The memory of this state of affairs is preserved in the Brhadaranyaka Upanisad of the Satapatha Brahmana, a Brahmana of Sukla Yajurveda. Brahman, in the beginning was only one. That, being one, did not flourish, He created further an excellent form, the Kşatra power. Indra, Varuna, Soma, Rudra, Parajanya, Yama, Mrtyu and Isana are Ksatras. Brahman created Ksatra superior to himself and himself accepted his subordination. He acclaimed that nothing is higher than Kşatra. 52 The Ksatriyas have now won superiority and suzerainty over the Brahmanas. They having celebrated the triumph of their ideology over the Brahmic ideology now celebrate the tirumph of their physical power. Of course, they now extended their full physical protection to their new subordinates, the Brahmanas who extended to the new masters their full ideological support. Janameiava, in return,

Buddhaprakash; Bhārattya Vidya; Vol. XVIII (1958);
 page 41.

^{51. (1)} Astareya Brithmana; 8. 11.

^(2) A. B. Keith; op. cit. (R. B.); page \$28.

^{52.} Brhadfranyaka Upanisad; 1. 4. 11.

celebrated a horse sacrifice with Indrota Dayani Saunaka, 53 a Bhargava Brahmana as his priest, 84 Afterwards, we find Ksatrivas and Brahmanas eulogising each other. The unitary Brahma society has become twained. The Brahman and the Ksatra have come to stay independently. It was in the ninth century B. C. that the unitary Brahman faced the great social impasse. It could not flourish. The Ksatra was created out of the Brahman, i.e. the unitary Brahmana society became divided into the Brahmana and the Ksatriya classes The post-Janameiava literature records this division of the unitary Brahma society in Brahman and Kşatra. Brahman and Ksatra, as noted earlier, become separated in the Atharvanic age, Agni, the Rgyedic Ksatriya, has become Atharvanic Brahman, Indra is an Atharvanic Ksatriya. The Brahmana accepted the supremacy of the Ksatriva and he agreed to protect his origin, the Brahmana. Ksatriva stands in full glory, power and prestige in the Atharvanic age and after, an unemployed Brāhmana seeks monetary boons from a Ksatriya prince in reward of the performance of the sacrifice for a long life to him in heaven, 55 The Brahmana has begun to strengthen the arms and lustre of the Ksatriya, 56 Ksatriya has now become entitled to radiance. 57 We now notice perfect peace and harmony between the two warring sections of the unitary Brähmana society for common aggrandisement and common exploitation of the common peoples.

Brāhmaņa and Kşatriya Gotras

The Brāhmanas, to make the fusion of Brāhmaṇas and the Kṣatriyas real, further invented the device of creating identical gotras for both the constituents of the Brāhmaṇic society. The word gotra and its derivatives have been used in the Rgveda

^{63.} Satapatha Brahmana; 13. 5. 4. 1.

^{54.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. l. H. T.); page 192.

^{55,} Atharvaveda: 7, 103, 1,

^{56.} Sukla Yajurvoda; 9. 10; 40, 4; 11, 82; 30, 5.

^{57.} Taittirfya SamhitE; 1. 8. 11. 1.

in the sense of an animal stall or herds of animals. 58 The use of the word Visyagotrvah, in the sense of, "belonging to all the gotras," according to John Brough, in the sense of clans, 69 in an Atharvanic reference, 60 does not appear to be correct. The war-drum in the battle is addressed as Viśvagotryah. It refers to the event of the Gana or Brahma tribal collective as a unit going to the battle-field. The word Gana, in its origin, applied to the herd of cattle and later came to be applied to the peoples, 61 Similarly, the word of gotra which applied to the herd of animals came to be applied to the people who owned these animal herds. The word, in this Atharvanic reference, is not used in the sense of clan but only figuratively, in the sense of all the people marching to the battle-front, The word gotra, in the sense of clan, became quite frequent in the Brahmana period circa 600 B. C. The pure Brahmanas traced their origins to the Revedic Rsis Angiras, Bhrgu, Marichi, Atrı, Vasistha, Pulaha, Pulastya and Kratu who are considered original gotra-kāras. The last three did not produce true Brāhmana stock. 62 Anukramanic Saptarsis are . Bharadvāja, Kasyapa, Gotama, Atri, Visvamitra, Jamadagni and Vasistha. 67 The gotra-founder Rsis are . Jamadagni, Bharadvaja, Gotama, Kaśvapa, Vasistha, Agastva and Atri. 64 Agastva is only a later Purame intruder. He is the real brother of Vasistha. born of the joint semen of Mitra-Varuna from Urvasi: and

- John Brough, The Early Brahmanical Gotra and Pravara; 1953;
 page 25.
- 60. Atharvaveda; 5 21, 3.
- 61, R. C. Jam; op. cit (M. A. A S.); 1964, Chapter IV,
- A. D. Pusalkar; Traditional History from the Earliest Times (in Vedic Age.) 1957; page 276.
- C. V. Vaidya; Gotra and Pravära; Proceedings of All India Oriental conference; First Session (Poona), page 34.
- D D. Keshambi; Origin of Brishmana Gotras; A. B. O. R. I. Vol. XXVI page 23.

^{58.} Rgveda 1, 10, 1 3; 2 2, 6 1; 2, 3, 1-3-18-21; 3, 3, 1, 21; 3, 4 5 7, 4, 2, 6, 8, 6, 2, 2 2, 6 6, 4, 6, 3 4, 1, 4, 6 7 4, 6, 9, 6, 1, 23; 10, 4, 6, 2; 10, 9, 4 6-7; 10, 10, 8, 8.

may safely be included in Vasistha. Gotama replaces here Angiras. The others are non-Arvan Rsis. Angiras, Bhrgu. Kasyapa and Vasistha appear in all the lists. The Mahabharata significantly maintains that there were only four original gotras; Angiras, Bhrgu, Vasistha and Kasyapa. The other gotras became renowned later on account of the great deeds of their founder Maharsts. 65 Kasyapa belonged to the non-Arvan black-skinned stock. Bhrgus also were not pure Brāhmanas. They had some non-Aryan blood in their veins though they may primarily be classed as Brahmanas. Angiras and Vasistha were the pure original Brahmarsis. The rest of the gotra rsis are non-Aryans. Thus we find that the Brahma and the Ksatra Rsis who became Brahmanas were the founders of the Brahmana gotras. The Ksatriyas had no gotras of their own. Their gotra and Prayara was the gotra and Pravara of their Purchitas, 66 As the gotras included both the Brāhmana and Ksatriya progenitors, no necessity was felt for separate gotras of each. The gotra of the Brahmana purchita and the Ksatriva vajamana came to be treated identical. The Brāhmana-Ksatriya historical unity, thus, was laid on the strong and secure foundations.

This is the history of the origin of the Kşatriya class from the miniary Brāhmana class. The Brahma class twained itself into the Brahman and the Kṣatra so that they jointly may efficiently keep up their supremacy and suzerainty over the masses for material benefits. This great event also proves the disintegration of uniary tribal collective under the impelling forces of human freedom where it now admits the new forces to the share of its collective loot and plunder under mutual arrangements.

4. THE ORIGIN OF VAIS'YA CLASS

Viś

Yāska has not etymolised the word Vaisya. The word Vaisya may be traced to _vis, to "enter or settle." Vaisya

- 65. Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.) 12. 285, 17-18.
- John Brough; op. cit., page 195-196 Gotra-Pravara-Mañjarf page 126-127.

is the man of the soil, of the land. The word Vaisya denotes the inhabitant of a territorial unit. The earliest derivation of the word from the Vis is the word Visava in the sense of the peoples, 67 The word Vaisva is later than the word Visava. The word Vaisya appears to be a development upon the word Visaya. The word Vis and its derivatives have amply been used in the Rgveda but Yaska has also not etymolised this word. He gives the word Vispati68 in the sense of the lord of the universe, lords of all and the lord of the house.69 Yāska analyses it as Visah + Patim, "All-protector, 70 Sāyaņa renders it as the protector of the subjects. 71 The first two interpretations of Yaska betray the burden of his age. They may signify Visyapati, not Vispati His third interpretation is nearer truth. The word Vispati is used in the tenth Mandala of the Reveda 72 composed and added to the main body circa 800 B. C. It appears that the word Vis in the sense of a house, a settlement, a grama was current and popular circa 800 B. C. Sayana, in the fourteenth century A. D., had lost all memory of original real sense of the word. He interprets the words also as yajamāna, 73 hotā, 74 parichāraka 75 and Asurı Praia, 76

- 67. (1) Atharvaveda 6. 83-1. (2) Sukla Yasurveda 18, 48,
- 68 Nirukta 4, 26; 5 28; 12 29.
- 69. Lakshman Sarup; The Nighantu and the Nirukta; 1920; pages 70, 89, 193,
- :0. Siddheswar Varma, The Etymologies of Yaska; 1958; page 172, 71. (1) Rgveda 1. 12. 8. 1.
 - (2) Rgvede Samhita (V. S. M.); Vol. I page 978.
- 72. Rgveda 10, 11, 7, 1, 73. (1) Rgveda 2. 1. 1. 8: 5. 1. 8. 23.
- (2) Rgveda Samhita (V. S. M. Vol. II page 4.
- 74. (1) Rgveda 3. 1 63.
- (2) Rgveda Samhus (V. S. M.); Vol. II page 203.
- 75. (1) Rgveda 4, 1, 2, 3; 6, 4, 4, 16.
- (2) Rgveda Sambits (V, S, M,); Vol. II, page 503.
- 76. (1) Rgveda 2. 1, 11. 4,
 - (2) Rgveda Samhitt (V. S. M.); Vol. II page 37.

The word Vis in the Rgveda has generally been used in the sense of peoples.77 The Reveda has also mentioned Dass-people.78 Deva-people, 79 Arvan people 80 and Dasyu-people, 81 It appears that the Rgveda used the word Jana for the totality of people in a given sovereign territory as a whole and the word Vis in the sense of inhabitants of a part of limited territory, 82 The word Vis has also been used in the sense of "settlement or dwelling," 83 The distinction between the institutions of Jana and Vis appears to be that the former is primarily an ethnic concept 84 while the later primarily is a territorial concept. Pre-Āryan Bhārata was constituted of autonomous local republies. Vis played important part in such local republics. The leader of Vis has been equated with a Gramani " This territorial concept of Vis distinguishes it from the concept of Jana. The people related to autonomous local republics were only a part of higher bodies, the Jana-republics such as Pañchajanāh. The institution of Vis, like the institution of Jana, originally appears to be pre-Aryan and non-Ārvan.

Vaisva

The word Vis has been mentioned in the Reveda about 170 times but its derivative word Vaisya appears only once in the Reveda and that also in the late tenth Mandala. Vaisya here is

- Rgveda 1, 6, 2, 1; 1, 7, 1, 8, 1, 7, 5, 6; 1, 9, 1, 7; 4, 8, 8, 4;
 4, 5, 6, 6; 8, 4, 12, 1; 6, 1, 1, 1, 7; 6, 1, 8, 4; 6, 1, 14, 2;
 3, 2, 2; 6, 4, 6, 15; 7, 1, 5, 3; 7, 1, 6, 8; 7, 1, 7, 2, 14, 10, and several others.
- 78. Rgveds 6. 3. 2. 2.
- 79. Rgveda 6. 2. 1. 9.
- 80. Rgveda 10, 1, 11, 4.
- 81. Rgveda 4, 3, 7, 4, 82, (1) Rgveda 2, 3, 4, 3,
- (2) Rgveda Samhutz (V. S. M); Vol. II, page 107,
- 83. Rgveda 4, 1, 4, 8; 5, 1, 3, 5; 6, 2, 6, 4; 7, 4 1, 22; and several others.
- 84. R. C. Jain, op. cit. (M. A. A. S.) page 28.
- 85. Taittiriya Sambits 2, 5, 4, 4,

spoken to have sprung from the thighs of Puruşa. *a This version regarding the origin of Vaisya has been accepted in the Sukla Yajurveda. *a The Atharvaveda mentions the Vaisya to have sprung from the waist of the Puruşa. *a The springing of Vaisya from Puruşa is only a parable merely signifying the position of Vaisya in the Aryan tribal collectivity now signified by Puruşa or Prajāpati. It raises the interesting question of this class to the two other higher classes.

Arva and Sudra sections

We have earlier seen that the Brahman was the original universal tribal collective whose members were also known as the Brahmas. The Ksatra were the original powerful indigenous leaders of Bharata. After the historical coalescence, the two racial stocks, the white Arva-Varna and the black Dasa-Varna, fused into one transformed varna which after some time qualitatively bifurcated into the Brahmana and the Ksatriva Varnas. Their fusion was ethnic. Their new growth was functional. One could become the other by adopting the function of the other. The Brahmana-class and the Ksatriya-class in the Vedic age were mobile and fluid The class was determined by the actions of the individual. One could become a Brahmana by adopting the magico-ritualistic functions and a Ksatriya by adopting the protective functions. Both the classes unitarily joined together for spiritual supremacy and temporal hegemony and the necessary conquence of this phenomenon was the division of the society into two sections, the rulers and the ruled in the political field, high and low in the social field and the exploiters and the exploited in the economic field. The rise of the Brahmana-class and the Ksatrivaclass disrupted the unitary tribal Brahma collective dividing it into the lordly Brahma-Ksatra class and the subject, Sudra class, Subjection of Visah

Visāḥ were originally free citizens of the land. After the conclusion of the Dāśarājña war, the free republics were annihi-

^{86,} Rgveda 10, 7, 6, 12,

^{87.} Sukla Yajuryeda 31, 11,

^{88.} Atharvaveda 19. 6. 6.

lated and the alien rule at the apex was forced. The free people became subjects. Visah became prajas, the subject people. The Trtsu victors in the Dasarajna made the vanquished people their, not of their own, Visah or subjects.89 The subjection of the members of the autonomous local settlements or Grāmas created a subject Vaisya class. The Vaisya class in the beginning was included in the Sudra class. It appears that the Brahma society, in the beginning, was twained in two classes only: the Brahmana-Ksatriva exploiting class and the Vaisya-Südra exploited class. The Vaisya-Südra exploited class was known by the general name of the Sudra only. The Śūdra meant the lower people, the service people. The exploiting Brahmana-Ksatriya class assumed to itself the name of Arva, previously applied to Brahmas only, thereby raising the status of the Ksatriva exploiters. The Atharvanic Ārya-Sūdra references 90 denoting two socially opposed rival groups had recoiled upon the Brahmanic society. This twained social situation of the Brahmana society continued. at least, till the Astareva Brahmana age circa seventh century B. C. This important parable 91 precisely narrates this social situation. Soma is the food of the Brahmanas. With this food thou wilt strengthen the Brahmanas; in thine offspring will be born one like a Brahmana, an accepter of gifts, a drinker (of Soma), a seeker of livelihood, one to be moved at will. When evil happens to a Ksatriya, one like a Brahmana is born in his offspring; the second or third from him may become a Brāhmana; he is fain to live as a sort of Brāhmana. This clearly establishes the identity of the Kşatrıya and the Brahmana. The Vaisya is a tributary to another to be eaten by another, to be oppressed at will. The Sudra is born a servant of another, to be removed at will, to be slain at will. This description establishes the identity of the Vaisva class and the Sudra class. Both are subordinate to "another" master. The Sudra is born a servant of another as Vaisva is born a tributary

^{89,} Egyeda 7, 2, 16, 6,

^{90.} Atharvaveda; 4, 20, 4, 4, 20, 4, 8, 8,

^{91. (1)} Airareya Brāhmana 7, 29,

^(2) A. B. Keith; op. cit. (A. K. Brahmsnas); page 315.

to another, but Sddra is not born as a servant of Vaisya. This "another" does not include a Vaisya, This "another" refers to the Brahmana class and the Ksatriya class only. The Vaisya-like and the Sddra-like offsprings born to Kşatriyas when evil happens to them reman Vaisyas and Südras only.

Origin of Valsya class

The Brahmana-Ksatriya exploiting gunta-had reduced the whole subject peoples to their servitude, but that could not continue for long. The numerous sections of the subject people carried on the avocations of agriculture, industries and trade. The historical coalescence of the two opposing racial groups, the Arva-Varna and the Dasa-Varna, had changed the nature and character of the new society. The powerful sections of both the races commanded the positions of power and supremacy. Many of those Aryans who remmained unupgraided joined the Bharatlyan Visah, the Dasas 92 and the Dasyus.93 The Bhrgus had joined the Dasa-Visah even in the pre-Dāśarājāa age. They sided with the Brahmaryan adversaries in the Dāśarāina war against Sūdās, Indra and Vasistha. The Brahmaryan tribal collective in the Atharvanic age stood disrupted. The common Brahma people, who could not usurp the positions of the Ksatrivas and the Brahmanas, took to agriculture, trade, commerce and industry. They joined the cadre of their freedom loving erstwhile adversaries. The Sudra section included both the Brahmic and the Bharattvan Vaisyas and the service-people. The Brahma section brought its megiro-ritualism and the Bharativa section its freedom. They strengously struggled for raising their status vis-a-vis the Brahmana-Kşatriya exploiting class. The Brahma-Bharativan Sudras now had begun to live in families. The freedom in social life and the possession of the means of production and acquisition of wealth far widened the frontiers of the freedoms of this calss. The Sudra section in the Brahmana society came to be divided into the class owning freedom and

^{92.} Rgvcda 6, 3, 10, 3; 6, 5, 11, 6; 7, 5, 13 1; 8, 4, 4, 27; 10, 3, 9, 3, 10, 5, 9, 6; 10, 6, 15, 1; 10, 9, 3, 3,

^{93,} Rgveda 10, 7, 2, 19,

the class "selling" freedom. The freedom of the owning class asserted itself which had in due time to be recognised by the ruling class. These contradictions in the subject Sūdra section came soon to the fore. This class as a whole could not be kept under servitude for long. The exploited class disrupted, the owing class "progressing" from the servile status to the exploiting status. The Sūdra section became divided in the Sūdra proper and the Vasiya classes.

The Vaisva class was admitted to a higher status than the servile Sudra class though to a lower status from the Brahmana Kşatrıya classes. The dividing line of the two cultures and civilizations, the Brahmäryan and the Bharatiyan, was Yama, which, at this age, had become ritualised. The right to migico-ritualism was the measure of the conferment of a higher status. This right of magico-ritualism, which was already possessed by the Brahma Visah, was extended to their Bharatiyan compatriots who joined the Brahmana society, The conferment of the ritualistic right on the Vaisvas and its withdrawal from the Südras raised them to a higher status than the Sudras. But the Vaisvas could not use the sacrificial ritual for the attainment of kingdom or supreme over-lordship; they could sacrifice only for prosperity 95 and for wealth, 96 The Vaisyas became members of the Brahmanic hierarchy and won their way to the Arvan materialistic exploitation. Their position in the Brahmanic society was somewhere in the middle between the arch-exploiters, the Brahmana-Ksatriya class and the common Sudra people. They were now admitted to the exploiting Brahmana society but were themselves also exploited by the higher classes. The Vaisvas, therefore, became a curious mixture of the freedom-bearer, as an exploited and the Brahma-bearer, as an exploiter. The Vaisvas consistently followed, through long chequered history of Bharata, their this dual role of reaction and reform. The Vaisyas separated from the common Sudra class in the post-Aitareya Brahmana age somewhere in the middle of the seventh century B. C.

^{94.} Rgveda 7. 2. 1. 6.

^{95.} Taittıriya Sambita; 2. 5. 4 4.

^{96.} Taittirfya Samhita; 2. 5. 10. 2.

5. ORIGIN OF THE SUDRAS AND THE ANTAH

Etymology of Sudra

The word Sudra has not been etymolised by Yaska. Bādarāyaņa divides the word in two parts Sus "Grief" and dra from Jdru "to rush." 97 Sankara gives three explanations of this derivation, one of which is "grief rushed on him." 98 The word Sudra may be a compound of two words sus and dra. The word sus means "became dry or withered, fade, languish, decay, injure, hurt, extinguish, destory." 99 The word Dra has not satisfactorily been explained. The word Dru means "run" hasten, attack, assult, to become fluid, dissolve, melt, drive away, put to flight." 100 The word Dra has been used with the word Krsna; Krsnadra, 101 Griffith considers this word as unintelligible. 102 The Atharvayeda uses the word also in some other context, 103 It speaks of Prajapati and Death respectively as real and non-real. Thereon depend the worldly ones, meaning the human race and in me are glory Dra and Vra. This is an instance of comparative Vedic method. We may relate Dra to Prajapati and Vra to Death. Dra, then, may mean glory, great and large, Griffith understands this word probably corrupt. 104 If Dra be taken a compond word formed of Da+Ra, with the vowel of the former shortened. Dra would suggest the meaning given to these two words. Da means "giving, granting, offering." 106 Ra means "gold." 106 This is also the connotation of the

^{97.} Vedanta Sutra 1. 3. 34

George Thibaur; Vedānta Sūtras; S. B. E. Series, 1962, Vol. 36 page 225.

^{99.} Monier-Williams; op. cit , page 1084.

^{100.} Monier-Williams; op. cit., page 502.

^{101.} Atharvaveda 9. 7. 4.

Ralph T. H. Griffith; The Hymns of the Atharvaveda; 1916;
 Vol I Page 454 Note,

^{103.} Atharvaveda 11. 7. 3.

^{104.} Ralph T. H. Griffith: op. cit., Vol. II page 75 Note.

^{105.} Monier-Williams; op. cit., page 464.

^{106,} Monter-Williams; op. cit., page 859.

word Dāsa, traced to Jdas, in the sense of giving. ¹⁰⁷ Thassociation of the word Dra with Kṛṣṇa, He black, may indicate its relationship with the Dāsa-Varna or the Kṛṣṇa-Varṇa.

The word Dāsa, as seen earlier, has the connotation of the distributer, giver or supervisor of social wealth. The word Sūdra, according to Bādarāyaṇa, may mean "grieved by violence." If we take Dra in the above sense, the word Sūdra may mean "withered, destroyed, extinguished or violently overthrown people, existing in large numbers and one time the givers of gold." In short, it may mean "grieved Dāsa." Sūdra indicated the pre-Āryan and the non-Āryan large population violently over-thrown and subjugated.

Arva-Sudra Dichotomy

The Rayeda informs us that at the beginning of the Vedic history, Bharata had two mutually opposed racial groups; the Arvans and the Dasa-Dasvus: the Arva Varna and the Dasa-Varna, the white-complexioned and the black complexioned. The Rayeda is the history of the war of extermination of the Dasa people at the violent hands of the Aryan people. When the indigenous people and their leaders coalesced with the victor society, both underwent a qualitative change. The erstwhile Dāsas became Ārvans. Indra prescribed the mode of Dāsa conversions. Indra himself converted Dasas into Arvas. Sayana explains it that Indra taught the Dasas the Arvan yajňic way. 108 When the Dasas were coverted to the Aryan way, they could not remain the same detestable people as they were in the early Rgvedic period. The memory of the earlier Ārya-Dāsa conflicts had to be obliterated for the harmonious growth of the mixed society. This social reason led the composers and the redacters of the post-vedic literature to substitute the word Dasa with some other word. They coined the word Sudra for Dasa, 109 The Arva-Varna and the Dasa-Varna coalesced into one Varna; the transformed Arya-Varna now including the Dasa converts also. This

^{107.} Rgveda 6. 2. 7. 10.

^{108.} Rgveda Samhits (V. S. M.); Vol. III page 91.

^{109.} Athervaveda 5 22. 6, 7,

coalesced single varna had the Bhārattyans within its fold. This coalesced single Āryan-Varna had the rest of the Bhāratyanepople, as their opponents which they now termed the Śūdra. The Śūdra-Varna replaces the Dāsa-Varna. Fever is ordained to go to Dāsī-girl and Śūdrā-girl in Śaunaktya Atharvaveda. In Paippalāda, the word Dāsī has been substitude for the word Śūdrā. The wow owds were considered interchangeable. The word Dāsa has also been used in the sense of a slave 111 doing menial work just the Śūdra.

When Dasa came to be replaced by Sudra, we no more hear the Arva-Dasa dichotomy. The Arva-Dasa dichotomy becomes replaced by Arya-Südra dichotomy. The Südra man stands opposed to the Arvan man. The man with a charm for the acquisition of superhuman powers of sight looks on everyone therewith, each Südra and each Aryan man. 11- He looks on the Aryan and the Südra man alike. 113 The creation of the Sudra is averred along with the creation of the Arya 114 In this the Arya-Sudra dichotomy, Sudra is a non-Aryan. The Sukla Yajurveda speaks of sinful act done to the Südra or the Arva, 115 The Atharvaveda speaks of Takman going to the Sudra. 116 The Taittırīya Samhitā compares the Sūdra to a horse, an animal.127 This reminds us of the Revedic abhorrence of the Dasas as amanusas. The wrong to the Sudra or the Arya 118 is placed along with wrongs to others. The nost-Revedic Samhitas are very clearly conscious of the Arva-Sudra dichotomy as the Rgveda Samhitā is of Ārya-Dāsa dichotomy in the sense of two separate independant mutually opposed racial blocks.

^{110.} Atharvaveda (Paippalsda), 13, 1, 9.

^{111.} Rgveda 1, 14, 8, 8; 1, 22, 28, ", 5 16, 7; 10, 5, 2, 10,

^{112,} Atharvayeda 4, 20 4

^{118,} Atharvaveda 4, 20, 8,

^{114. (1)} Sukla Yasurveda 14. 30.

^(2) Taittiriya Sambits 4, 3, 10, 2,

^(3) Satapatha Brahmana 8, 4, 3, 12,

^{115.} Sukla Yajurveda: 20, 17.

^{116.} Atharvayeda: 5, 22, 7

^{117.} Tasttirfya Sambita; 7, 1, 1, 6.

^{118.} Taittirfya Sambits; 1, 8, 1, 3,

Brāhmanised Śūdra

The Arva-Varna (transformed) now indicated the new ruling class. The Sudra Varna signified the ruled class, the rest of the Bharativa humanity. The Arya-Varna was a unitary class. The other word in use in this age is the Brahma-Varna which on transformation becomes the Brahmana-Varna. The Brahmana-Varna signifies the sociological aspect. The Brahmana-Varna till the rise of the Kşatrıya-Varna is unitary. The creation of The Ksatriva class within the Brahmana class with clear-cut partition of the spheres of vainic exploitation, created a totally new situation. There were certain Aryan dissenters who could not satisfactorily participate in the vainic exploitation. They, hence, took to avocations followed by the Dasas and joined their ranks. The Arvan violence fell on them alike their Dasa compatriots. This process also continued from the Arya-Dasa times to the Arya-Sudra times. The residue from the Brahmana and the Ksatriva classes had raised a new class within the Brahmana fold as distinguished from the non-Ārvan Sūdra racial stock. This class was constituted of the Brahma dissenters, Brahmic yajña-phala-excludeds, Brahmic Višāh, non-Āryan Bhāratīya Višāh, vanquished Dāsas and Dasyus turned slaves and the other Brahmanic and the Bharatiyan have-nots who had become aggregated within the Aryan pale. This residue class within the Aryan fold has been termed in the previous section as the Sudra class originally including the Vaisva class also within it. The post-Rayeda Samhitas are a bit sympathetic to this new social group which was heading towards a division into classes. The chanter requests the Darbha to make him dear to Brahmana and Raianva, dear to Sudras and dear to Arva, 119 This Atharvanic hymn appears in the later nineteenth Kanda which may only be placed at the end of the Atharvanic period, 120 This hymn puts all the four classes on equal status. The love of the Sudra is as much aspired of as that of a Brahmana. These sentiments have

^{119.} Atharvaveda 19. 32. 8.

William Dwight Whitney; Atharvaveda 1962, Vol. I page CXLI and Vol. II page 895-898.

further been repeated.121 Charm made by a Sudra or a Rajanva is placed on equal footing with that made by a Brahmana or a woman.122 The Brahmana, the Vaisya and the Sudra have been at one place, lumped together and made obedient to Rajanya, in the Taittiriya Samhita. 123 The Sukla Yajurveda provides us with heartening evidences of Brahmana-Sudra equality and the two Arya-Sudra divisions within the Brahmanic fold. The Sudra woman has an Aryan lover and an Aryan woman a Sudra lover, 124 an undiscriminating state of social equality. The salutary speech is addressed to the Brahmana and the Ksatriya, to the Sudra and the Arya. 128 The Arya in this context means a Vaisya. In this significant hymn, the Brahmana society is clearly shown to have two divisions. The Brahmana and the Ksatriya lumped together as one section and the Sudra and the Vaisva lumped together as another section. We thus find that the post-Revedic Samhitas mention two types of Arva-Sudra dichotomies; one within the Brahmanic society and the other between the Brahmanic society as a whole standing against the Bharativan society as a whole.

The Sudra section within the Brāhmanic society though exploited by the Brāhmana-Kastriya chique had the right to yajāa in this period. The Rgweda and later Vedic Samhittās along with the Brāhmana- equate Agni with yajāa. ¹²⁶ Agni and hence yajāa gives lustre to the Sūdras along with the Brāhmanas, the Kṣatriyas and the Vasiyas. ¹²⁷ The Yajirveda equates the Sūdra with penance, ¹²⁸ remembering his Bhārattiya spiritual heritage. The Yajir places brilliance in the Brāhmanas and the princes, It also places brilliance in the Brāhmanas and the princes.

```
121. Atharvaveda; 19 62. 1.
```

^{122.} Atharvaveda; 10. 1. 3.

^{123.} Taittirfya Sambita; /. 5. 10. 1.

^{124. (1)} Sukla Yajurveda; 23, 30, 28 31. (2) Taituriya Samhuz, 7, 4, 19, 3.

^{125.} Sukia Yajurveda, 26, 2,

^{126. (1)} Rgyeda 3, 1, 3, 4, and others

⁽²⁾ Athervaveda; 13. 4. 8 and others.
(3) Satapatha Brähmann 5. 2. 3. 6 and others.

^{127.} Sukla Yajurveda: 18, 48.

^{128.} Sukla Yajurveda; \$0 5.

in Vaisyas and Sudras. 199 Here in this hymn Vaisyas and Sudras are grouped together. The Yainas confer lustre and brilliance upon those who perform them. The Brahmanas and the Ksatrivas admittedly performed vainas and won lustre and brilliance. Similarly the Vasavas and the Sudras also performed vaiñas and obtained lustre and brilliance. These two hymns unequivocally prove that the Sudras along with the Vaisvas had the right to vaina. This appears perfectly natural also in the formation of the Sudra group in the Brahmanical society. The several constituents of the Brahmanic society. already having right to Yajna, had joined or were forced to join the Sudra section within the Brahmanic society and they naturally could not be disinherited from their already acquired yaiflic heritage. This element of Aryan-yajflic ritualism later became the main cause of the disruption of this Sudra section within the Brahmanic society. The main socio-economic function of the Vaisya now became trade and commerce. Agriculture and industry became degraded to be followed by the Südra working classes. The Vaisya became an intermediary and an agent of the vainic exploitation of the Brāhmana-Ksatriva monopoly. The Vaisvaic vaiña was for the acceleration of his wealth. He became higher and religious by performing domestic vajñas that gave him social right of exploitation to the fruits of agriculture and industry. The Vaisyas ioined the hierarchy of vainic exploiters as a junior partner. The Sudras became divided as the Brahmanic Sudras and the non-Brahmanic Sudras. They are the bearers of the inhuman brunt of the total exploitation of the three upper classes, the three Varnas. The non-Brahmanic Sudras never aspired. for vaina. The Brahmanic Sudras were deprived of the right of vaina and consequently of lustre and brilliance, for being better exploited by the three higher Varnas.

The upgrading of the Vaisya constituent of the Sūdra section of the Brāhmapic society materially changed the character and nature of the residue portion. The Sūdra met his to be or not to be in the age of the Taituriya Samhitik, the

^{129.} Taittiriya Samhits; 5, 7, 6, 4,

¹⁷ B.

satest of all the Vedic Samhitiss. The Südras enjoy the right to yajña during the age of the Athanvaveda and Yajurveda. They also have this right in the earlier part of the Taitturfya Samhitis age but appears to have been on the road of losing it in this very age. The Sūdra is declared not fit to yajña. ¹³⁰ He has been historically debarred from the yajña ritualism. The transitional process goes on for some time during the early Brahmana period. This vargic division solidifies during the age of the Satapatha Brahmana circa sixth century B. C.

The Aitareva Brahmana leans towards the social division of the Arva-Sudra dichotomy. The Brahmana-Kşatrıya section stands against the Vaisva-Südra section within the Brahmanic society. The Pamchavimsa Brahmana equates Sudra with a non-Brahmana but within the Brahmanic society. Vatsa and Medhatithi both are sons of the black-skinned Kanva of the Revedic fame to whom the Asvins had offered white-complexioned girls. Medhatithi reproaches his brother (as if not to himself) with the abuse that he (Vatsa) was the son of Sudra mother and hence a non-Brahmana. The Jaimmi Brahmana equates Vatsa with Trisoka and calls him the son of an Asuramother.181 This clearly indicated that the Sudra and the Asura stand interchangeable. The epithet Asura, as seen before, was transferred to the Arvan adversaries in the later Vedic period and hence we may equate Asura with the Rgvedic Vrtras, Raksas, Panis, Dasas, Dasis, Dasyus and their kinetic stock. The Sudra thus becomes the lineal inheritor of these Aryan adversaries. This Brahmana also reflects the Arva-Sudra dichotomous state within the Brahmanic society. 132 The Sudra has here also become excluded from the Yaina, 188 We. in this Brahmana have a comprehensive story of the gradual degradation of the Sudra class. This degradation becomes

^{130.} Talttirfva Samhittt: 7, 11, 1, 6,

^{181. (1)} Pańschavińsa Brahmana; 14, 6, 1.

^(2) W. Calland; Pamchavimia Brithmana; 1981; page 367.

^{182. (1)} W. Calland; op. cit., page 82,

^(2) Pamchavimia Brithmana; 5, 5, 14.

^{133.} Pamchavimia Brithmana; 6, 1, 11,

complete is the fistenatha Brahmana ago. The Satanatha Brahmana repeats some of the earlier Vedic events and occurrences. The creation of the Sudra and the Arya 134 reminds us of the Arva-Sildra dichotomy in the sense of two mutually opposed racial stocks. The Arva-Sudra dichotomy within the Brahmana society is also still afresh. The sin against the Arya and the Stidra is placed at par with the sin against any one 195 The love of an Aryan to a Stidra woman is referred; 186 but the Satapatha Brahmana Rsi, could not tolerate the narration of the story of love of a Sudra with an Aryan woman; hence this Vedic truth has totally been concealed from the Satapatha age people. We are now witnessing the hardening forces against the Sudras. The Sudra is finally disinherited from the right to yaina ritualism. He has now no right to sacrifice, hence he is excluded from entering the sacrifice half, 187 He along with Vaisva has become enclosed on both the sides within the Brahmana and the Ksatriya olique-cell so that he may be kept submissive to them 188 If any king puts any Sudra or whomsoever else, not having the right to sacrifice, to sacrifice; he meets darkness, 139 In Purusamedha, the Sudra is to be sacrificed so that the sacrificer may obtain all the fruits of toil, 140 This clearly establishes the right of the sacrificers to the total exploitation of the fruits of the working classes. The Kastra has a right to horse, the Brahmana to a he-goat but the Sudra along with the Vaisva only to an ass.141 Finally the Sudra becomes equated with woman, dog, and crow and they are all untruth; 142 meaning non-existent. They do not exist for themselves; they exist only for others. The degrada con

^{134.} Satapatha Brithmana; 8. 4. 3. 12,

^{135.} Satapatha Brahmana; 12, 9, 2, 3,

^{186.} Śatapatha Brahmaņa; 18, 2, 9, 8,

^{137.} Satapatha Brithmana 3, 1, 2, 9-10.

^{188.} Satapatha Brahmana; 6, 4, 4, 13,

^{139.} Satapatha Brithmann; 5, 3, 2, 2, 4,

^{140.} Satapatha Brahmana; 13. 6, 2, 10.

^{141.} Satapatha Brahmana; 6. 4, 4, 12,

^{142.} Satspatha Brithmana; 14. 1. 1. 31.

of the residue Sudra Varna is complete. The Dasa was an amanusa: the Sudra is untruth (non-existence).

The Sūdras have no right to yajāa. They have no right to the knowledge of the Vedas. They have only the right to the knowledge of the Itihāsa, the Atharvaveda, the Purāṇa, the Sarpavidyā and the Aura-Vidyā. ¹⁴³ The Itihāsa and the Purāṇa relate to pre-Āryan history and legends. The Sarpavidyā refers to the sciences developed by the Ahi people. The Asura-Vidyā indicated the sciences and the humanities known to the Brahmāryan adversaries. The Atharvaveda for long, at least till the Satapatha times, was not recognised a Veda. The Brāhmānyas recognised only three Vedas; the Rgveda, the Yajurveda and the Sāmaveda. These limitations to the acquisation of knowledge connect the Sūdras with the pre-Āryan and the non-Āryans.

We have a class known as Antvaias, Panchamas or the Untouchables. They are even today, through the long course of history of 3000 years, outside the pale of the Brahmanic society. Their status is lower than even the Sudras. The origin of these people has been given in a parable of the Aitareva Brāhmana, 144 Visvāmitra, now a convert to the Brāhmana fold, wrought through the mediacy of Angiras, wanted to adopt Sunahasepa to stop Purusamedha. The condition was that he was to be adopted as the eldest son to get the inheritance of Visvāmitra. Visvāmitra had 101 sons. He asked his sons to agree to this arrangement. His fifty younger sons led by Madhuchchhandas accepted their father's proposal. The elder fifty sons rejected this wrong proposal. Viśvāmitra cursed them, "Your offsprings shall inherit the Antan. These are the (people) the Andhras, the Pundras, the Sabaras, the Pulindas and the Mutibas." Most of the Dasyus are the descendants of Visvāmitra. The fifty sons and Madhuchchhandas accepted the overlordship of Deverata. Kusika name of Sunahasepa, transferred their inheritance to him along with their sacred lore. And they got material prosperity in return to their submission to the Brahmaryan fold. A. B. Keith has

^{143,} Satapatha Brahmane; 13, 4, 5, 7, 13,

^{144.} Altareya Brilmana; 8, 18.

translated the word Astah as "the ends" (of the earth)." 146 This is manifestly wrong. Inheritance goes with blood. The Andhras and others were the proto-Australoid people who already had been living in Bharata at the time of the Brahmarvan invasions. They were also living in large numbers beyond the borders of the land colonised by them. The curse could have no sense to send the people to regions where they already lived. The word Antah used as Antan in the Sankhvavana Srauta Sütra, would, hence, mean the lowest racial stock. The Dasyus were the greatest adversaries of the Brahmarvans. They received the most inhuman treatment at their hands. The vanquished freedom-loving Dasyus who did not submit to the Brahma rule had, by sheer force of circumstances, to migrate to the regions beyond the Brahma borders. They began to be called the Antah, later known as the Antyajas, the untouchables. These Antah are the broken men 146 of the Brahmanic history, lingering on with their sheer physical existence through 3200 years. They honoured their freedom, their soul-right, more than material prosperity. The origin of the Antah may be traced to the indomitable spirit of freedom.

Who are these Sūdras, these Antās? The Andhras and other Dasyus originate from Visvāmitra who was Bhārata-şabha belonging to the Pūru tribe of the Ahi sub-race. They are placed along with the Vrātyas, the non-Āryan tribes, by Manu as earlier discussed. The Vrātyas include within them the Brayakus. The Itṣvakus, the Prāchya-Itṣvakus, the tribes of the Ahi sub-race, the Panchajanāh and other Bhāratiya tribes who loved their freedom most and did not submit to the Brāhmanic overlordship were violently and ruthlessly reduced to the states of Antāh, like the Rgvedic Dasyus. From them also sprung the Brāhmanic and the non-Brāhmanic Sūdras. They became victims of the graded Varpic exploitation. It is not only from the Purānic solar race alone that the Sūdras descended. They may rightly trace their lineage to both the scalled Purfānic solar and lunar races and to the Višāh.

^{145.} A. B. Keith; op. cit, (A. B.); page 307.

^{146.} Dr. B. R. Ambedkar; The Untouchables; 1948; page 30.

They were the members of the great Bharatha republics, local and autonomous. They are the original masters of their motherland, the Bharata.

6. EXAMINATION OF DR. AMBEDKAR'S THESIS ON

THE ORIGIN OF THE SUDRAS AND THE ANTAH

Ambedkar's Thesis

Dr. Ambedkar has given us two monumental theses on the origin of the Sūdras and the untouchables. These are the salient points of his thesis 147 on the origin of the Sūdras.

- 1. The Sūdras are one of the Āryan communities of the solar race.
- The Āryan society originally recognised only three Varpas; the Brāhmapas, the Kşatriyas and the Vaisyas. There was no separate Sūdra Varņa. They ranked as part of the Kṣatriya Varna of the Indo-Āryan society.
- There was a continuous feud between the Sūdra kings and the Brāhmanas in which the Brāhmanas were subjected to many tyrannies and indignities.
- 4. The Brahmanas, hence, refused to perform the Upanavana of the Sodra.
- The denial of Upanayana degraded the Sūdras below the Vaisyas to the fourth Varna.
- 6. The present day Sudras are not the original Sudras. The low class Hindus have no lock stock with them. The Sudras of Hindu society have no connection with the Sudras of Aryan Society. The following are Ambedkar's main points of thesis 146 regarding the origin of the untouchables.
- Untouchability has no racial or occupational basis.
 There is no racial difference between the Hindus and the untouchables.

^{147.} B. R. Arabedkar; Who are the Shudras?; 1947; pages III-V. 240, 236.

B. R. Ambedakar; The Untouchables; 1948; pages V, 29, 31, 186, 186.

The primitive tribal normalic societies faced continuous internecine tribal warfare and with the settled societies. Defeated tribes gave birth to the groups of broken tribesmen. Untouchables were originally only Broken Men.

3. Antwas do not mean untouchables. They mean people

- living on the outskirts of the village.
 - 4. Untouchability was born sometime about 400 A. D.

Myth of Aryan Supremacy

Myth-hunt is the most powerful of all the hunts. The greatest myth of our age, though in the process of explosion, is that the Arvan culture and civilization is the first civilizing force of the human race. The human society, as so picely put by Lewis H Morgan, before the advent of Aryan civilization was a primitive and barbaric society. Many a great scholars and leaders of humanity had been and are today a prev to this great seemingly invincible Arvan myth. Dr. B. R. Ambedkar is no exception. He fell prey to this Aryan myth and this great erudite scholar, an eminent advocate and a great leader of depressed humanity dwarfed himself. He gives Sudras an Arvan origin. He assumes that the Arvans never invaded India and conquered her original inhabitants; that the Dasas and the Dasyus had no racial distinction with the Arvans both belonging to the same race; and that the Aryans and the Dasa-Dasyus were not different in colour. 149

Aryan origin of Stidras Unfounded

The Sapta-Sindhu-Āryan-cradle-land theory has not been accepted by any non-Indian scholar and a majority of Indian scholars. This theory can not explain satisfactorily the several knotty problems regarding the presence of the Āryans in different parts of the world, wide apart from each other in the third and the second millenniums B. C. The south-Uralic region may be their original home. 190 Quite apart, the Āryans came to India from some foreign land. Otherwise, the whole of the Rayeda would be senseless and meaningless.

^{149.} B. R. Ambedakar; op. cit. (W. S.); page 82.

^{150.} R. C. Jains op. cit. (M. I. A. S.), Okspec II section 2.

The Āryan (technically called the Brahmāryan in India) adversaries own forts, immense wealth, lands, irrigation projects and everything but the Āryans have none of them. They have to win them from their adversaries. They could not have been fortless-wealth-less, land-less, and property-less in their own homeland. Indra is only a Purandara, never, a Pura-pati. Their languages, beliefs, social and political institutions and military organisations are quite opposed to each other. That would not happen in a mutually opposed two sections of a single racial aconety.

The Dasa-Varna has been used in the Rgyeda as opposed to the Arva-Varna. Ambedkar takes this word in the sense of "Farth, Religious doctrine, choice of Creed or Belief," adopting its meanings from the various uses of the word in Zend-Avesta.161 The contradictory faiths do not lead to material disparities. They have not been referred to even remotely as a cause of war between the two sections of the Rayedic society even in the Rgyeda. The Rgyeda definitely asserts the main cause of Arvo-Dasa wars the winning of cattle, wealth, riches and lands. The five Revedic Res referred by him support this thesis. Indra entered the confiding hostile and heightens the Sukla Varna. 182 Soma, the slayer of men, is the slayer of Asura-Varna. 188 Rşi Agastya cohabits with Lopāmudrā. They get progeny and both the Varnas prosper, 184 Lopāmudrā was a Vidarbha princess of the dark-skinned Yadu tribe, 156 a Dasa-tribe. The two Varnas here refer to two bloods mixing into one. Agastya, son of Mitra-Varuna from Uravasi, 156 was white-complexioned while Londmudra was black-complexioned. Indra's protection is sought against Dasa Varna. 187 He annihilates Dasa-Varna and seizes triumphant the cherished

^{151.} B. R. Ambedkar; op cit. (W. S.); pages 79-83.

^{152,} Rgveda 3, 3, 5, 5,

^{153.} Rgveds 9, 4, 4, 2,

^{154.} Raveda 1, 22, 15, 6.

^{155.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 146, 168-169.

^{156.} Rgveds 7, 2, 16, 10,

^{157.} Raveds 1, 15, 11, 2,

treasures of the enemy ³⁵⁶ (the Dāsa-Varņa). None of these Rcs refer to any faith. They singularly refer to material prosperity.

The Dāsas and the Rākṣasas have been referred to along with Aryas as the enemies and opponents of Indra. ¹⁸⁰ This only suggests that some of the Āryans had gone over to the Dāsas like the Bhṛgus The Dāsas and the Dasyus were not a primitive people. They were as civilised as the Āryans and in fact more powerful than the Āryans. Of course, numerous Dāsas and Dasyus were converted to the Āryan fold but they could not be completely absorbed by the Vedic Āryans. These Dāsas and Dasyus were more cultured and more civilized than their Āryan invaders. The Āryan system was not a civilising force but was definitely an evilising force. ¹⁸⁰ They are responsible for present day naked collective violence and collective exploitation. If this thesis could have been prevailed upon the learned savant, he would not have traced the origin of the civilised Sūdras to the evilized Āryans.

Original Masters

The Südras are given the Āryan Kṣatriya origin on the basis of the Mahābhāratic Sudās being a Sūdra who has been identified with the Rgwedic Sudās belonging to the Bharata race. There are no Südras in the Rgweda and there is no Sudās of the Bharata race in the Mahābhārata. Sudās of the Rgweda is not a Bharata bit a Tṛṣu, the enemy of the Bharatas. The Bharata was the collective name of the Ten-Republics confederacy that fought against Sudās, the Tṛṣu, as earlier shown in chapter II. The Purāṇic Sudās belonged to the North-Pachātla Paurava line. 161 Vedic or Purāṇic literature does not know of any śūdra tribe of any Kṣatriya race. The solar race or the lunar race is a Purāṇic fiction. The Sūdras need not trace

^{156.} Rgveda 2. 2. 1. 4.

^{159.} Rayveda 6. 3, 10. Nj 6. 5, 11, Nj 7, 5, 11, 1; 8. 4, 4, 27; 10. 3, 9. Nj 10, 7, 2, 19.

^{160,} R. C. Jain; The Great Revolution 1967; page 80.

^{161.} F. E. Fargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 148.

their origin only to the non-Aryan and the pre-Aryan solar race. They can trace their origin to the non-Aryan and the pre-Aryan unar race also. Really they are the descendants of Bharatas, the collective name of these socalled lunar and solar tribes along with the Ahi tribes. The Sudras are rightly the descendants of the Bharatas, the most civilized and the most cultured pre-Aryan and non-Aryan original masters of Bharatas.

Sudra-Varna

It is not true to assert that the Āryan society originally had three Varpas. The Āryan society originally had only not Varpa, the Brahma or the Āryan Varpa. The non-Āryan Dāsa-Varna stood opposed to it. The Ārya-Dāsa Varpas became Ārya-Sūdra Varpas during the post-Rgvedic age. The Ārya-Varpa twained into the Brahmapa and the Kṣatriya Varpas and the Sūdra-Varna into Vaitya and Sūdra Varpas. We have fully detailed the processes of the formation of these four Varnas in the foregoing sections.

Brāhmana-Śūdra Feuds

Ambedkar is correct in his statement that there were continuous feuds between the Btahmanas and the Sudras. We have noticed continuous feuds earlier during the Revedic age between the Aryans and the Dasas. The feuds of Pururayas and Nahuşa with Brahmanas, if at all happened, are pre-Revedic. Nimi, Kalmāsapāda and Ambarisa are purely mythical figures. The feuds between Vasistha and Visvāmitra took place in Rgvedic times. But all these feuds, so ably narrated by Ambedkar, are not post-Dāśarājāa events which concluded circa 1100 B. C. After that great historical event, we definitely find coalescence between the two feuding contenders. The Sudras are placed at par with the Brahmanas in the post Revedic Samhitas and are a highly exalted people owning the lustre and brilliance of the yaifia. The Varnas were fluid and mobile till the Satapatha age circa sixth century B. C. They, by then, had not solidified into the castes. It is, therefore, not justified to arrive at the conclusion that the Brahmana-Sadra fouds ended in the degradation of the latter.

Upanayana, a late Phase

The Upanayana argument of Ambedkar is also not convincing. The Yaina and the Veda have not become associated with Upanayana in the pre-Sutra period. The Vedic, the Brahmana, the Aranyaka and the Upanisadic literature do not know the Upanayana. It is only in the Sutra period that we meet the Upanayana and associated with the study of the Vedas and the performance of the vainas. The Sittra period has been fixed circa 400 B. C. 163 We do not find any Brahmana-Kaatriya conflicts between 1100 B. C. and 400 B. C. Rather, the Brahmana-Ksatriya axis has been forged and strengthened for deepening down the graded Varnic exploitation. It is no doubt true that the Brahmanas refused Upanayana to Sudras but that was the phenomenon of the age circa 400 B. C. There was no Upanavana before 400 B. C. The Upanavana after 400 B. C. was conferred on the Ksatriyas. The denial of Upanavana has nothing to do with the degradation of the Sudras.

Crucible Uncrucibled

Ambedkar has made an astounding statement that the present day Hindu Sudras can not be identified with the Aryan Sudras. Whom does he himself represent, the Aryan Sudras or the Hindu Sudras? His Aryan Sudras constituted a very thin minority, probably 6000 only. 163 They are no more in sight and Dr. B. R. Ambedkar has worked out a long thesis for the Sudras who have vanished from history. He maintains that the disabilities of the original Aryan Sudras have been transferred to the present-day Sudras by the clever and ingeneous Brahmanas. But who are the present-day Sūdras? Dr. Ambedkar has not given us the origin of the present day innocent Sudras. The history of the origin of the original culprits is not at all relevent according to him for knowing the origin of the present day innocent Sudras. What did Dr. Ambedkar attempt then? What had been the purpose of the whole thesis : Who were the Stidras ?

^{162.} A. A. Macdonell; A History of Sanskrit Literature; 1988; page 256.

^{168.} D. R. Ambedkar; op. cit. (W. S.); pages 286-287.

Antah broken men of Brahmanic History

Ambedkar's thesis on untouchability is better than his Sudra thesis. Only he has not given it in a dialectical historical way. The untouchables or the Antah are the Broken Men of the Brahmanic history. They are the Dasyus descended from the Bharata-reabha Viávămitra of the Püru line of the Iksvāku-Ahi origin and the other Bhāratīvans. They are not the result of the primitive internecipe tribal wars nor the remnant groups of the defeated tribes. There was no primitive tribal society in Bharata before the advent of the Aryans. The extensive domains of Bharata had a network of widely dispersed autonomous and settled republics. There was no nomadic population in Bharata, the most developed country in agriculture and industries during that period. The violent struggles between the nomadic semi-barbarian Brahmarvans and the peacefully settled Bharativans which culminated in the final annihilation of the latter in the Dasaraina war rendered a most numerous Bharatiya population dejected, ruined and homeless. Those who patiently suffered and accepted the loss of their freedom and slaved themselves by joining the Brahma society could gain livelihood and shelter. Those who cherished their freedom most were cruelly pursued and driven away to the last and the lowest status and they ultimately formed the army of the Broken Men. These Broken Men of the long drawn Brahmanic history are the people who are today known as the untouchables, the Sudras, the Aboriginal Tribes and the Criminal or the notified Tribes. Still a Burning Problem

The fog of the Aryan cultural supremacy dimmed the sight of Ambedkar and hence he could not give a true historical origin of the Sudra and the Antih problems. The inhuman and degraded plight of these sub-humans of the Indian society dates back to the Rgwedic period thirty centuries before. These victims of history have awfully preserved their existence at animal level through this long course, starting from the Brahma conquest to the end of the British rule. The rediscovery of this historical truth is the most urgent and prima gloy of our see.

7. ORIGIN AND GROWTH OF THE JÄTTVÄDA (CASTE SYSTEM)

Essential Features

The problem of caste as obtaining in the present Hindu society has baffled many scholars, reformers and revolutionaries. It appears to be a very complex and complicated problem. It has become as inexplicable as Brahma-māyā, The essential features of the caste system as it operates in India of today are very peculiar to it. There is no parallel. past or present, to this system in any of the society in any part of the world. The membership of the caste is essentially determined by birth. Caste by custom and usage has formulated strict and rigorous rules and regulations, incorporated in the Sastras, regarding marriage, diet and occupation of its members. The supremacy of blood in the caste system has given rise to gradations of castes stratigraphically high and low. The caste system has grown round the central theme of the prestige and supremacy of the Brahmana-Varna. originally the Arva-Varna. It has pervaded the whole body structure of the Hindu society and influenced other neighbouring societies also. The caste system is essentially the economic organisation of the Hindu society.

Varna and Jati

We have earlier seen the growth of sociological Varnas. The dialectical development of the white complexioned Ārya-Varna due to the impact of conversions to it of the Dāsa Varna, the formation of a transformed Ārya-Varņa, its division in Ārya and Sūdra Varṇas within one social unit gave birth to the system of hierarchical social organisation. The Brahma is not single, undivided, unmuxed, unpolluted now. The Ārya Varṇa sagain disintegrates into the Brāhmaṇa and the Kṣatriya Varṇas which further instigates the division of the Sūdra-Varṇa Varṇas which further instigates the division of the Sūdra-Varṇa, of the two higher Brāhmaṇa and Kṣatriya Varṇas. Varṇa, a one time colour concept, changes into a sociological concept. But this sociological Varṇa till the end of the Satapatha

period ofree gixth century B. C. is a fluid and mobile concept. It has not yet hardened into a segregated, exclusive social institution of Casteism or Jati-Vada. The Sastric word for this novel phenomenon is Jāti. The word Jāti has not been used in the Vedic literature though the words Jana and Jata have abundantly been used. Janas or Jatas of the Vedic age did not form Jatis. The classification of social groups on the basis of blood was quite unknown to the Vedic seers. We first witness the word Jati in the Aitareya Brahmana 166 in the sense of Vedic Praja. 168 Praja in vedic literature means progeny, offspring. It has no blood or ethnic sense. The multitude of Vedic offsprings was the result of the social form of promiscuous sex-relationship. Blood is important only in family relationship; the individual relationship of one-wife, one husband. This was the influence of the Bharativa institution of family that the word Prais in Attareva times begins to be replaced by the word Jati. The second use of the word Jati, in the sense of kinsfolk, appears in the Satapatha Brahmana. 166 We do not notice the use of this word in any other pre-Mahāvira Vedic, Brāhmanic and Upanisadic literature. Jati was unknown to the Indian society in the middle of the first millennum B. C.

From Varņa to Jāti

We find the Jāti in the Sūtra period which may be placed in the second half of the first millennum B. C. The word Varia, a became identified with the word Jāti in this age. Varna, a concept denoting fluidity and mobility of social organisation, came to be replaced by Jāti, a hardened, immobile and sterilo social organisation. The Jāti springs from the Varna, is its lineal descendant inheriting all that was owned by the Varna. The most ancient Sūtras and Smṛtis speak of four Jātis; the Brāhmaņa, the Kṣatriya, the Vaisys and the Sūdra, each preceding

^{164.} Aitareya Brahmaşa; 2, 39,

^{165.} A. B. Keith; op. cit. (A, K. Br.); page 162.

^{166.} Satapatha Bribmana 1, 8, 8, 6.

caste being superior by birth to the one sollowing. 187 The Jäti is transformed Varue.

The growth of Vedic Varnavada into Hindu Jativada in its extreme exclusiveness is the history of conquest of a conservative, reactionary priestly class armed with the ideology of an antiquated pedantic magico-ritualism over a healthy and living nation that taught to that priestly class the metaphysics that has been the historical pride of numerous scholars and statesmen: including Mahatma Gandhi; Maxmuller, Jawaharlal Nehru and Radhakrishanan; that India displays the continuity of history of its culture and civilization while several other renowned cultures and civilizations of the World lie burried under the debris of time. The conquered contributed the forces of permanence and integration while the conquerors brought the fissiparous forces of disintegration and degradation. The forces of peace and non-violence are continuously living in India. The forces of disharmony and violence are also visible by their side. The growth of the caste system (Jätivāda) is a glaring example of this social force of Evil.

Varņa-Dharma-Jūtivāda

It has generally been agreed that the caste system has grown out of the Varņa system. The Varņa-Dharma rules and regulations of Manu rather give the rules and regulations of the caste system which was declared to be the very essence of Dharma. 188 The Jātt-Dharma or the Jāttvāda came to be identified with the Hindu Dharma. If a Hindu observed Varna-Dharma, which in practice meant the practice of caste rules and regulations, he was an idea! Hindu. The following of the Jāttvāda in India is an interesting chapter of history. We can not do better than to draw upon the views given in the History and Culture of the Indian people, prepared under the guidance of a staunch Sanātani Hindu who believes in

^{167. (1)} Apastamba Dharma Sitra: 1, 1, 1, 4-5.

^(2) Vafistha Dharma Surra; 2, 1-4,

^(3) Manuscorti; 1, 31,

R. N. Dhandekar; Man in Hindu Thought; A. B. O. R. I. Vol. XLIII, page 48.

the Sapta-Sindhu cradle-land of the Āryans and described by her (Indian) sons so that the world might catch a glimpse of her (India's) soul as Indians see it. ¹⁸⁸ This is how we see the growth of our caste system, our Jātivāda. ¹⁸⁹

History of growth of Jativada

The Reveda was reducted circa 1000 B.C.170 It was reducted after the Arvans had successfully made India their homeland. The military victory was won but the original race-feeling or the contrast which the Arya-Varna (Vedic Aryans) felt between themselves and the Dasyu-Varna (aborigines), and which was sought to be mitigated by the incorporation of the conquered population into the frame work of the Arvan society by admitting them into the fourth class or caste. 171 We witness a casteless, though classified, society in the bulk of the Rgveda 172 The period of the Sutras witnessed the gradual hardening of the caste-system in general and the deterioration of the position of the Vaisvas and the Sudras in particular. The chronological strata in the Sütras: the Srauta-Sütras, the Grhva-Sütras and the Dharma-Sūtras; depict a gradually hardening status of the Vaisyas and the Sūdras. The power of the Brahmana is growing. The Stidras are divided into Nuravasita and Aniravasita A class of Sudras were forced to reside outside the limits of the village or the town. The Rästra or nation consisted only of the three higher castes, the Sudras being excluded from it. The Grhyastiras clearly differentiate the status, occupations. obligations, duties and privileges of the four principal castes in matters both spiritual and secular. The sacrament of Upanayana is invented. The Brahmana class and the Ksatriya class were closest in power and the two together being as it were the moral and material props of society. The

^{160.} K. M. Munshi; Hustory and Culture of the Indian People (Vol.) The Vddic Age; 1957; Foreword page 7.

^{170 (1)} R. C. Majumdar; op. cit. (V. A.), Proface page 27.
(2) B. K. Ghose; op. cit. (V. A.); page 225.

^{171.} V. M. Apte; op. cit, (V. A.); page 450,

^{172.} V. M. Apte; op, cit, (V. A.); page \$86.

cultural and social status of the Vausyas gradually deteriorates and they come close to the Sudras. They are placed in one and the same category along with women and Sudra in Bhagavadgită (9, 32). There is a wide gulf between the Sudras and the three higher classes. The mixture of non-Arvan elements was probably responsible for this, as the word Arva has a racial as well as moral connotation in this period and meant practically "reborn," that is, a member of the three higher classes. The Dharma-sutras show that the caste distinction has outstripped its proper limits and has even invaded the field of civil and criminal law. It has to be recognised, however, that the caste-system even in this period had not become as wooden and exclusive as it is now. Inter-dining and inter-marriage (in anuloma form) were not prohibited. Even outcaste could be readmitted to the Arvan fold. Nor were the castes so strictly separated or ramified into so many caste divisions as are obtaining today. But we see in the Dharma-sutras the beginning of the formal theory of defilement resulting in the taboo of all contact on the part of a pure man of the upper castes with an impure man, namely, a member of the lower caste, 178 Graded inequality develops into graded impurity. We may place the Sütra period circa 400-100 B. C.

During the age of Kauţilya, we find the same stereotyped castes as in the Dharma-sūlras. Manusmṛti may be placed circa 200 A. D. 174 The Sūdra had few privileges and many obligations. The discriminating laws against him and his social disabilities, uttered with brutal frankness, were an inheritance of the past. Manu treats Sūdras exactly like a slave and preserties barbarous punishments for him. To crown all, it is laid down that a Brāhmaṇa shall perform the same penance for killing a Sūdras as for killing a cat, frog a dog or a crow. But interdining and inter-marriage (in

^{173.} V. M. Apte; op. cit. (V. A.); page 507-510.

^{174.} G. Bühlar; The Laws of Manu; Sacred Books of the East Series Vol. XXV; 1886; Introduction page CXIV.

anuloma form) is not absolutely prohibited. The idea of untouchability or impurity even of the Sudras as a caste, was generally growing but had not yet become a rigid law or practice. The numbers of mixed castes grew. The old theory of caste adumbrated in the Vedic, especially the Sütra texts, was developed and elaborated in this age. Though the caste system was becoming more rigid, and the lot of the Sudra was becoming harder and harder, it had not yet reached the stereotyped form in which we find it today. 178

By the beginning of the Gupta age, a strong Brahmanical reaction had set in against the ascendancy of rival faiths like Buddhism and Jainism. In the field of social life, this movement manifested itself in a tendency towards intensification of the social division into four fundamental Varnas with its corollary of the pre-eminence of the Biahm has 176 As a result we find that, within India's boilders at any rate, the reform movement started by Buddhism and Linism had lost much of its momentum, while the Brahmanical Counter-Reformation had become a power to reckon with The Smrti laws are followed and the Brahmana enjoys his added supreme power and prestige. The mixed castes grew numerous. The class of untouchables comes into existence The members of the untouchable caste lived in the dwellings marked by a distinctive sign and lying outside the city. There were also aboriginal tribes. They existed since the Reveduage but their conditions further deteriorated in this age. The rules regarding slavery were developed in the Gupta age.

In the later part of the first millennum A. D., we find the old spirit of caste segregation reflected. The later Smrtis follow and even surpass their predecessors in emphasising the social and religious disabilities of the Sūdra class. Even the sight of a lower class member has become pollutive. Even the Januas, Pašupatas, Bauddhas, Kaulas and so forth are

^{175.} Radbakumud Mooker; and R. C. Majumdar; The Age of Imperial Unity (H. C. L. Vol. 11); 1953; pages 544-546.

U N. Ghoshalj The Classical Age (H. C. I. P. Vol. III);
 1954; pages 555-558,

classed with Stidras as untouchables and impure, 177 The caste system is in the process of hardening. In the early part of the second millennum A. D.: the caste differences become increasingly rigid. The attitude towards the Sudras is getting increasingly narrow. The Smrtikaras brand them with the stigma of untouchability. Food, touch and sight taboos are enforced. The ban of untouchability is extended to Buddhists, Jamas, Lokāyatas, Nāstikas, followers of Kapila, Sarvas and Saktas outside the Vedic pale or Saktas of the left-hand sect. There were a number of historical instances of individual Brahmanas and Brahmana families normally following the occupations of the Ksatriyas. The Sudras for the most part conformed to the rules and regulations laid down for them by the Brahmana canonists. But there are a few instances where Sudras take up the functions of the higher classes and assumed the Ksatriva's occupations of ruling and fighting. The mixed castes, new Ksatriya dynasties and Kayasthas emerge. Slavery is the well-known institution in this age. The aboriginal tribes are further degraded, 178 The caste system has further hardened in this age. The social exceptions help the hardening processes more and still more.

The middle of the second millennium A.D. witnesses a new historical force. The Muslim invasions of Bhārata had begun in the later part of the first millennium A.D. The Muslim rule entrenched uself strong and firm by the four-teenth century A.D. The Islamic conquest was attended by extensive settlements of the foreigners whose number was being increased by constant migrations from the Islamic lands as well as by occasional mass conversions of the indigenous people. The social structure of the indigenous people still consists of four primary eastes with diminishing rank and status, an indefinite number of the so-called mixed casts of varying status and finally of a group of despised eastes

U. N. Ghoshal; The Age of Imperial Kanauj (H. C. I. P. Vol. IV) 1955; pages 369-372.

U. N. Ghoshal; The Struggle for Empire (H. C. I. P. Vol. V);
 1957; pages 475-478.

at the bottom of the scale. Social disabilities and prohibitions for the Sūdras vary from comparative laxily to extreme rigidity. The Smṛṭri-uples are further hardened. Discriminatory clauses, caste-wise, are noticed in the Smṛṭi penal law. There were groups of mixed and despised castes and also the slaves. This social pattern varied according to the conditions prevailing in different regions of the country, specially in the south. The operation of the Smṛṭi laws was necessarily restricted by the occupation of large tracts of the country by arms of Islam 179 Islam appears to be a liberalising force though, in course of time, it itself became influenced by it, against the Brāhmamic caste tyranny and violence The caste system took its present shape in the middle of the second millennium A. D. This state of the Hindu society continued through the Moghul and Brītish rules

Causes of Growth

We have now to seek the causes, in general, of the ever hardening and ever-segregating growth of the caste system. The Vedic Varnic society, as we have earlier noticed, was a fluid and mobile society. The Varnic coalescence was almost complete by the end of the Upanisadic age in the middle of the first millennium B C. Magico-ritual exploitation is at the foundation of the Varnic system. After the resolution of the Brähmana-Ksatriva rivalry in the division of the fruits of communal sacrifices and the severance of the Vaisva class from its parent the Sudra class and its joining the magicoritual exploitative classes, the ruling classes achieved all what they had to achieve. There was no hindrance, no obstruction, now, in plans and designs of economic exploitation of the productive Vaisya and Südra classes, a part of the Vaisya class acting as the agent of the exploiting masters. Now this state of social organisation had to be solidified and laid on securer and permanent basis. This social necessity led the Varnic system transforming itself into the caste system. These satanic social designs of the caste-ists were staunchly

U. N. Ghoshat; The Delbi Sultanate (H. C. I. P. Vol. VI;
 1960; pages 578-582.

opposed by the indigenous population outside the pale of the Brāhmanic fold. Mahāvīra and Buddha led the revolutions against the caste-ics violence and exploitation. Mahāvīra declared that no one was high or low on account of mere birth. A man was high or law; a Brahmana, a Ksatriya, a Vaisya and a Sudra, in consonance with the actions he pursues. 180 Chandala Harikest was an honoured member of the monastic order of Mahāvīra, 181 Buddha considered the caste system as artificial and propounded that all Varnas are equal; the superiority of the Brahmana class over others is a shallow cry, 182 Indeed, Mahavira and Buddha both were totally against the Varna or caste system, and much more against its graded inequalities. This assertion of human freedom by the leaders of the indigenous people interfered with the magico-ritual loot and plunder of the caste-ites. Next. Mahavira and Buddha had organised Śramana Samphas consisting of ascetics who had renounced everything materialistic in the world. They preached the freedom of soul and its ultimate ascendancy to perfection. Siddhi (Final Attainment) and not Swarga (Heaven) was their ultimate goal. This was the highest human aim but it cut at the very roots of the magico-ritual practices of the caste-ites. The impact of the indigenous system over the social organisation of the caste-ites based on magico-ritualism drifted the evolution of their system in the direction of hardening and secularization. The forces of violence and exploitation got the upper hand. The forging of unity between the warrior and the priest gave added power and strength to these forces. The anti-forces of freedom and peace could not triumph over this social system and the result was the segregated caste system of the Sūtras and the Smrtis. The triumph of economic explotation cleared the way for the social and religious, exploitation of the caste-ites. Jamism and Buddhism failed to root out the civilization of Varnism or caste-ism.

^{180.} Uttaradhyayana Sutra, 25, 31.

^{181.} Uvssagadasko Stitra, chapter XII.

^{182.} Majjhima Niksya; 2, 5, 8; 2, 4, 4.

India suffered the Scythian and Huna invasions in the early centuries of the christian era. They were amalgamated into the local population but they imparted the elements of taboos, pollutions and unadjusted behaviours that furthered the sterilisation of the caste system. The Puranic renaissance of the Gunta age enhanced the power and prestige of the Brāhmanic supremacy The deliberate economic and administrative policies of the Gupta rulers annihilated the remnants of the caste-ite opposition which led to the further consolidation of the caste system. The rise of various religious schools, the fear of the loss of material opportunities, the secrets of trades, the rules of various guilds and the sense of individualism engendered by the disintegrating philosophies and doctrines further divided the Indian mass of humanity into further secularised caste groups. The ruling Brahmana class of the north had developed separatist mentality in the political sphere and the ruling Dravidian class in the economic sphere. Both the currents joined together in strengthening the separatist and divisive institution of caste. The factors of the coalescence of two opposed races giving birth to mixed castes, the patrilineal and matrilineal systems, anuloma and pratiloma marriages, colour occupations, modes of worship, differences in rituals, variety of religious and philosophical beliefs, ideas of magic, taboo, mana and matter, vasteness and varieties of geographical factors, foreign immigrations of various peoples, chaos in political and economic order and instability of existential factors, disunity and lack of strong political organisation combined together to create this vulgur and ugly monster of caste before the Islamic conquest of Bharata. The advent of Islam introduced a new factor. The uncompromising religion of Islamic monotheism could not make peace with the cowardly religion of Hindu Polytheism; and hence arose the clashes of religions and cultures. The intolerant attitude of the Islamic rulers and their policy of mass conversions led to the fear-stricken tightening of the caste system for the preservation and security of its members. The racial arrogance of the British far outstripped and outwitted the racial arrogance of the original Brahmas. The history took the revenge in the shape of the Aryan smiting

his elder brother, the most ancient Aryan, the giver of the most ancient Aryan record. All these various factors; political, economic and social; went into the factory of the Hindu social system in the production and consolidation of the fullfledged artificial caste system of the present day. The aggrandiscinct of the higher caste at the cost of the lower caste continued unabated to be the motive force of Hindu social organisation till the end of the British inperialistic rule.

Annihilation of Caste-Ideology

The twentytwo years of our freedom and the four democratic elections on the basis of adult suffrage have further hardened the caste system to the benefit of the exploiting classes. This is the high time that we change our way and system and finally and completely annihilate the demon of this retuvenating caste system. The poison of caste is recoiling on all the spheres of our activities, political, social, economic and idministrative. Our political parties have bogged down into the mire of caste system. The caste-pulls are clearly discernible in our trade and commerce; national and international. Our social endeavours have been blighted by this caste-craft. In spite of the Himalayan efforts of Swami Dayanand and Mahatma Gandhi: the demon of caste is gaining health day by day. It is drawing its life from its foundational source, hence we have to annihilate this source; the ideological basement of the caste-system. This ideological source, contained in the Sastras; that is repugnant to the standards of Truth and Non-violence has to be firmly rooted out. It demands nation-wide creative effort of the whole Indian society; governmental as well as private. Every moment lost is to nation's peril. Jätiväda is Non-Existence. Untruth and non-existent we have to make it.

CHAPTER X

THE DRAVIDAS

Dravida Problem

The ethnic compositions that we have earlier discussed cover northern Bhārata from Afganistan and Beluchistan to Bengal and Assam and from Kashmira and Humalayas to Vindhya mountains including Andhra to the south of Vindhyas and Orissa to the east. The rest of the southern Bhārata, called Deccan, still remains untouched. The Deccan including Andhra is presently populated mostly by the ethnic stock called the Dravidas by the sociologists and Mediteraneans by the anthropologists and the archaeologists. The Dravidian problem had always been and presently is a complex problem of the Bhāratīya history.

The Dravida, as an ethnic stock, unknown to the Brahmanical literature before 200 A. D., the date of the compilation of Manusmrt. Manu mentions the Dravida along with the Ikyaku Malla and Licchavi as a Vrātya. The Dravida has again been mentioned along with the Austric Paundrakas and Kambojas and the non-Āryan Yavanas, Sakas, Pāriadas, Pāhlavas and Daradas. The Dravidas have been associated with the non-Āryans. The Dravidas were themselves the non-Āryans. The land of these non-Āryan Dravidas was unknown to the Brahmäryans in the age of Pāṇini circa 450 B. C. and vaguely became known to Kātyāyana circa 250 B. C. It became known to them intimately only in the age of Patafijāli circa 150 B. C. ⁵ Drāvidī

Draviąi

The oldest extant literature of the Deccan, the cen-Tamiz or old Tamil literature of the Sangam period, cannot be,

- 1. Manumrti; 10, 22,
- Manusmṛti; 10. 44.
- R. G. Bhandarkar; Early History of the Deccan; 1957; pages 15-17.

from the form of the language, be anterior to the middle of the first millennium A. D. although some of the extant works in their original forms, may go back to the centuries immediately after Christ. 4 But the literature of a people generally portrays, not only contemporary life and events. but also just preceding traditions and events. We may take it that what the Sangam literature portrays is the culture which existed in the extreme south between the third century B. C and the third century A. D. The Sangam literature gives us the custom of urn-burial and the manner in which the royal funerals were performed in those times. The material relics of this social custom are preserved in the megalithic burial and urn-field culture so predominant in the Deccan. The megalithic and urn-field culture seems to have been a dominant factor of early Tamil civilization, in the pre-Sangam epoch, i. e. earlier than the last three centuries B. C. and earlier, too, than effective Aryan contact with South India. 5 The excavations at Arikamedu by Mortimer Wheeler have vielded eighteen potsherds bearing graffiti. Their language appears to be Early Tamil (as distinguished) from the Tamil found in the early Tamil literature, as well as modern Tamil with a sprinkling of Prakrt. They seem to be more akin to the ancient Dravidi script than the regular Brāhmī as found in the edicts of Aśoka and other early inscriptions. The script found on the graffiti has been dated to the third and second centuries B. C. 6 The pre-Asokan ancient Dravidi script appears to have affinities with the Brāhmi script. It separated from the Brāhmi at the latest in the fifth century B. C. 7 The Brahmi was derived from

^{4.} S. K. Chatterji, Indo-Aryan and Hundi, 1960; page 43.

K. R. Srinivasan, The Megalithic Burlais and Urn-fields of South India in the Light of Tamil Literature and Tradition; Ancient India; Number 2; pages 13, 15.

Sir Mortimer Wheeler; Arikamedu; Ancient India; Number 2, page 109.

G. Bühlar; Indian Palacography; Indian Studies; Past and Present; Vol. I No. I; 1959; page 27.

pictographs, ideographs and phonetic signs, the earliest specimen of which are to be found in the Indus Valley inscriptions 8 This Indus Valley script, also called the Ancient Sindh Puniab script, has been proposed to be read by Father H Heras through Cen-Tamiz or Old Tamil which he christens as Proto-Dravidian. 9 But his system has not been accepted by the linguists as it lacks all sound philological methods. This Sindh-Punjab script appears to be like the earlier forms of Maurya Brahmi of the 4th-3rd century B. C. 10 It appears re sonable to assume that the original Brahmi script bifurcated into the Maurya Brahmi and the Dravidi scripts in the middle of the first millennium B. C. The Brahmarvan conquerers of Bharata adopted this Indus Valley or Sindh-Punjab cript for their Aryan speech probably in the tenth century B C. 11 We do not still find any evidence of the adoption of this script by the Dravidas in this age. It appears that they adopted this script and the language later than the Brahmaryan foreigners.

Iron-Using Megalithicians

The ancient Tamil civilization is the representative civilization of the Deccan at the opening of the Christian era. The megalithe and urn-field culture is a dominant factor in the carly Deccan or Dravida civilization. The megaliths are the huge stone structures constructed to the burials of the dead. They prominently appear in the Deccan and very rarely in the northern India. The megalithic burials have yielded also iron implements. The introduction of iron cannot be dated in India earlier than the beginnings of the first millennium B. C. The megalithic monuments in India have been dated earliest in the third century B. C. though their counterparts in other parts of Asia, in Africa and in Europe have been dated upto 2000 B. C. These iron-using

B R. B. Pandey; Indian Palaeography, 1957, page 51.

⁹ Father H. Heras, Studies in Proto-Indo-Mediterranean Culture; 1953; page 158. The whole chapter one is useful for this study.

^{10.} S. K. Chatterji; op. cit., page 45.

^{11.} S. K. Chatterji; op. cit., page 53.

megalithic people migrated to Bhārata from the Mediterranean region between 700 B. C. and 400 B. C. ¹² The Dravidas belong to the Mediteranean stock. The language of the region had been in this age Drāvidī. The literature of the Dravidas also culogises the megalithic burtal. We may be safe in identifying the megalithic folk with the Dravidas on the strength of the evidence that we presently possess.

Linguistically, the oldest word for the word Tamil appears to be the Prakrt word Damila. The word Damila might have some association with its parent, the original or Proto-Brāhmī, the pre-Ārvan national alphabet of Bhārata, We find that the Lycians of Asia Minor, who originally belonged to the island of Crete in this Mediteranean Sea, called themselves Tammili The Hellenic Greeks wrote it as Termilai, The words Termilai and Damila appear to belong to the same speech-family. The Hellenic Greeks had established their final suzerainty in the Greek Mainland and the Aegean circa 1000 B. C. The Greeks in Bharata noted a people called Arabitas, they might very well have been the Dravidian speakers of the southern Sindh in the fourth century B. C., and the name suggests the one which the Telugus apply to the Tamilians-Aravalu and Arava is explained scholastically as the Sanskrit word arava "speechless, voiceless," suggesting the unintelligibility of Tamil as a language for the Telugus, 13 The Telugus are the Andhras. The Andhras were the progeny of the Dasyu-chief Visvāmitra,14 the hero of the Dasaraina war, who may safely be associated with the Indus Valley or Harappa culture which I call the Bharativa culture. The Andhras were the proto-Australoid people who did not understand the language of the Mediteranean Dravidas. The Harappan Brahmi script has affinities or resemblances outside India,

K R, Srimivaran and N. R. Banerji; Survey of South Indian Megaliths; Ancient India No. 9; Page 114.

S. K. Chatterji; Race Movements and Pre-Historic Culture (in Vedic Age); 1957; page 59.

^{14.} Altareys Brahmana 7, 18.

with the Elamite script and with those of ancient Crete and Cyprus and it looks very probable that the people using this script and language had connections with the people of Eastern Mediteranean world. The Hellenic Greeks violently annihilated the indigenous inhabitants of the Greek Mainland and the Aegean. Their peaceful life was disturbed and they were uprooted from their national home. The great historical dispersal set in. They earlier had intimate contacts through international trade with the peoples of the east. They were great voyagers and sea-faring people. They sailed towards the land of their old friends and entered Bharata through the southern fringes of Baluchistan and Sindh They discovered the alien Arvan people in possession of the country to the north, hence they followed the route to the south, the south that was known to them for centuries. They proceeded through the coastal regions of the lower Indus and Guierat to the Decan. 15 Though they were the speakers of the sister language; the Cretan script and language and the proto-Brahmi script and language may have originated from a common source, but both the sisters had remained separated for long due to second millennium B. C. international trouble and chaos wrought by the Aryan militarism, that both could not recognise each other, hence the proto-Australoid Bharatiyans, in the later first part of the first millennium B. C, could not understand the language of these intruders. Some of them might have come a bit earlier for peaceful trade. Their earlier peaceful penetration might have facilitated this historical onrush of these people. The local people assimilated them and the languages of both resulted in cultural assemblage giving birth to the Dravidi script and language, an offshoot of the Brahmi script and language. These Mediteranean people might have been ioined by the Phoenician and the Arabian sea-faring people who, with commercial stimulus, might have come to Bharata and taking advantage of its chaotic conditions decided to colonise

Jules Bloch; Sanskrit and Dravidian (in the Pre-Aryan and Pre-Dravidian in India): 1929; page 27.

1t. This might have happened between the 10th and 7th centuries B. C. 16

Mediterraneans

The anthropologists ¹⁷ and the linguists ¹⁸ have accepted the division of the Indian ethiology into main races with nine sub-types, advocated by Dr. B. S. Guha. Dr. Guĥa sub-divides the Mediterranean race into three: (1) Palaeo-Mediterranean, (2) Mediterranean and (3) The so-called Oriental Type. Guĥa attributes the megalithic traits to the Palaeo-Mediterraneans. ¹⁹ He defines: (alaeo-Mediterranean as medium-statured, dark-skinned and of slight build found largely in the Kannada, Tamil and Malayalam tracts. The other two types are taller, fairer and of long build, generally found in North India. This division of the Mediterranean race into two mutually opposed and contradictory types raises an interesting ethnological problem

Archaeology provides us with a clue to this problem. The West Bhāratiyans had contacts with West Asia and the Mediterranean regions in the fourth and third millenniums B.C. The Panis of Bhārata carried on extensive international trade with these regions. As discussed earlier, it appears that a large mass of people from the upper parts of the Mediterranean region came to Bhārata via land routes in the early part of the third millennium B.C. The arrival of the peasant potters from Iran in this period is also significant. This mass scale peaceful immigration of these Mediterranean people gave great impetus to the village economy of the Western Bhārata. They had as their neighbour the remark-

D. H. Gordon, The Pre-Historic Background of Indian culture; 1958, Page 181.

 ⁽¹⁾ L. D. Krishna Iyer and L. K. Bala Ratnam; Anthropology in India; 1961; page 86-87.

⁽²⁾ D. N. Majumdar; Races and Cultures of India; 1958;

^{18.} S. K. Chatterji; op. cit. (R. M. P. C.); pages 142-143.

^{19.} S. K. Chatterji; op. cit. (R. M. P. C.); page 143-144.

^{20.} B. Subbarao; The Personality of India; 1988; page 122.

able urban civilization of the Indus Valley. ²¹ They were welcomed and assimilated by the proto-Australoid population of the region. ²⁸

The international trade amongst these civilized communities of Bharata, Mesopotamia, Egypt and the Aegean came to a stand-still in the second millennium B. C. due to violent disruptions by the semi-barbarous Aryan militarists. The inter-communications between different parts of this Sramanic region had almost ceased. The exodus of the people began when there were largescale upheavals wrought by foreign occupations of the different parts of the region. The linguistic evidence produced by the words Termilai and Arbitai does not go beyond the first part of the first millennium B C This linguistic evidence may, therefore, relate to the later branch of the Medsterranean people rather than the earlier branch. The geographical conditions made the proto-Australoids of the north fairer than the proto-Australoids of the south. The Mediterraneans who merged in the proto-Australoids of the north remained of fairer colour. The geographical conditions of the south remained less favourable hence the inhabitants of the south remained darker Mediterraneans who passed through lower Sindh and General to Deccan and mixed with the darker proto-Australoids there developed the physical features of the Dravidians that we meet today. We wrongly call them palaeo-Mediterraneans only because of their colour and skin without taking into account the geographical environment. The Palaeo-Mediterraneans of Guha came later than his pure Mediterranean and the socalled Oriental types who, in fact, were the ancient or palaco-Mediterraneans. The Mediterraneans who may be connected with the Megalithic culture are the Mediterraneans who intruded into Bharata in the first part of the first millennium B. C., who superimposed their culture on the indigenous population and developed a script and language, distinct of its own, but joining the main stream of Brahmi culture-world only to separate in due time, developing its

^{21.} D. H. Gordon, op. ci'l, page 57.

^{22.} D. N. Majumdar; op. cit., page 45.

own individuality. This discussion clearly indicates that the people whom we today call Dravidians is a post-Aryan phenomenon in Bhārata. They, the Dravidians, did not exist in any part of Bhārata or Greater Bhārata during the age of and Brahmāryan conquest of Bhārata and till many centuries after. This raises the problem of Dravidianism vis-a-vis Proto-Australoidism.

Mythic Antiquity of Dravidas

The oriental scholars, almost without exception, the linguists, the archaeologists, the anthropologists and others. have fallen prey to the hypothesis that the Dravidas occupied the whole of Bharata, north as well as south, at the period of Arvan invasions and they were gradually thrown out of the regions occupied by them. They are the Dasas and Dasyus of the Rayeda, the owners of the big forts, great wealth, vast riches and the superior knowledge of sciences and humanities and the successors of a great spiritual heritage This picture of the past has wrongly been reflected on the image of the present. The advanced state of the present-day Dravidians over their proto-Australoid compatriots has misled the scholars to construct that image. The yeal of this ignorance has started to be lifting owing to the researches in the Austric culture, civilization, language and traditions. A comparative understanding, hence, of the Austric and the Dravidian cultures and civilizations would be fruitful.

The Austrics and the Dravidians

On the material side, the Austria's had inventer the art of pottery and agriculture. They knew the cultivation of rice and cotton, raising of some important vegetables and the manufacture of sugar from the cane. The art of weaving silken and cotton cloths was invented by them. They had learnt to domesticate and train the elephant. They knew the uses of charcoal and wood. Moustaches beautified their faces. They knew a variety of brids and animals. They knew counting by twenties. They knew the sciences of mathematics, medicine and astronomy. The Austrics were a peaceful democratic people. They had no kings dwelling in palaces and ruling

over small districts and their inhabitants. The Dravidians. on the otherhand, of the socalled pre-Aryan age, as given by Bishop Caldwell, had kings dwelling in strong houses ruling over small districts of country. They knew alphabetical characters written with a style on palmyra leaves bundled together in a book They were armed with bows, arrows and spears and knew the horse. They delighated in war. Agriculture, weaving and medicine were also known to them. They knew canoes, boats and ships, 23 Horse was quite unknown to the pre-Aryan people of Bharata. The invention of alphabet is conventionally dated circa 1000 B. C. These two significant factors make these Dravidians a people of the first millennium B C. The institution of kingship is a feudal phenomenon which began to take its shape all over the world by the middle of the first millennium B C. These Dravidians appear to be the post-Brahmaryan intruders of Bharata.

The Austrics had names for all the parts of Bhārata designated by special nomenclature. The geographical names of the different parts of Bhārata depicted by the words Palinda-Kulinda, Mekala-Utkala (with the group Udra, Pundra, Munda), Kośala-Tośala, Anga-Vanga, Kalinga-Itinga form the links of a long chain which extends from the eastern confines of Kashmir upto the centre of the penisula. These are the non-Āryan non-Dravidian Austric words. ²⁸ Udumbaras were the Austric people of northern India. ²⁸ Kāmarūpa and Tāmralipti belonged to the east. ²⁹ Drāvidi does not give us any geographical names of any part of Bhārata.

The philosophical beliefs of these two ethnic stocks are divergently different. The Austrics believed in the plurality of souls; they had some notions of future life and had active

- These observations are based on S. K. Mukerji's chapter "Race Movements and Pre-historic culture" in Vedic Age,
- Sylvain Levi; Pre-Aryan and Pre-Dravidian in India (op. cit.); page 95.
- 25. J. Perzyluski; op. cit. (P. A. P. D. 1) page 160.
- 26. Sylvain Levi; op. cit., page 112.

faith in the doctrine of transmigration of soul. ²⁷ The Dravidians believed in the existence of god whom they styled ko or king, a realistic title little known to orthodox Hinduism. They created to his honour a "temple" which they called Ko-il, god's house (koyil or kovil) They had also developed the Püjä ritual. ²⁸ This Püjä ritual is distinct from the Brahmäryan yajfine magico-ritualism and the Austric spiritualism. The philosophical beliefs of the Dravidians are superior than those of the Brahmäryans but inferior than those of the Austric people.

Myth of Palaeo-Mediterraneans

Two arguments are advanced to prove the pre-existence of the palaeo-Mediterraneans or the so-called Dravidians before the Aryan military invasion. One is anthropological and the other is linguistic. The anthropological argument is based upon the discovery of certain Mediterranean skeletal remains at Mohenjodaro. The anthropologists are veering round the view that the mixture of the Mediterraneans with the proto-Australoids in the Indus Valley region occurred fairly early 29 and both became amalgamated. It would, therefore, be presumptuous to accept the existence of the pure Mediterraneans at Moheniodaro before the Arvan invasion. This anthropological factum has further been otherwise corroborated by the archaeological evidence. The Deccan has yielded several sites representing chalcolithic cultures before the violent intrusion of the Megalithic folk. The beginning of the chalcolithic culture is dated at circa 1500 B. C at Nevasa, 50 It continues at Brahmagiri between 1000-200 B C. The Megalithic culture here superimposes itself after circa 200 B. C. 31 It reigns at Nasik between 400 B. C.

- 27. S. K. Chatterp; op. cit. (I A. H.), page 39.
- 28 S. K. Chattery, op. cit. (R. M. P. C), page 159-160
- 29. 1) N. Majumdar; op. cit; page 36.
- H. D. Sankalia; From History to Pre-History at Nevasa; 1960pages 67-68.
- R. E. M. Wheeler; Brahmagiri and Chandravali 1947; Mcgalithic and other cultures in Mysore state; Ancient India no 4-page 202.

and 1000 or 1500 B. C. 32 It existed upto the first half of the first millennum B. C. at Maheswar and Navadatoli, 33 The excavations on the numerous chalcolithic sites in the Deccan have yielded similarities of form and fabric between the chalcolithic cultures of Nāvadātoli, Nāgdā, Prakāša, Bahal, Navasā-jorve. Māskī, Piklihal, Sangankallu, Brahmagiri in the upper and lower Deccan. Ahar in the Rajputana and Rangpur, etc. in Saurashtra It appears that Saurashtra, Rajasthan, Malwa and the Deccan were definitely in contact with each other at a certain period in their life. We may recognise the vague Harappan influences in certain form in them " This peninsular chalcolithic complex has been located along with the Harappan and the Painted Grey-Ware sites in the Sataswott region of the Ganganagar District of Rajasthan which projects itself as the proto-historic trijunction which appears to be some sort of rendezvous with various elements apparently converging but in fact diverging from it. 15 This is the meeting place of the East, the West and the South. It appears that this region, the heart of Brahmavarta, was the prime capital of the foreign intruders from where three routes diverged to the east to the Ganjetic basin, to the south to the Deccan and to the west to Indus. The annihilated Harappans had their kith and kin in all these, three regions. When the Brahmaryans settled in this region in the beginning of the first millennium B. C., they were surrounded by their adversaries in the east and the south.

The people of the south had enjoyed continued charcolithic culture till at least 500 B C. when they were violently subjected by the iron-using megalithic people. The introduction of iron, hence, assumes great significance here in the beginning of the first millennium B. C. The Harappans and

H. D. Sankalia; Report on The Excavations at Nasik and Jorwe 1950-1951; 1955, page 29.

H. D. Sankalia; The Excavations at Maheswar and Navdatoli 1982-1983; 1958; page 21.

^{34.} H. D. Sankalia, op. cit. (M. & N.); page 247-249.

B, Subbarao; The Personality of India; 1958; page 111 Fig.
 facing page 113.

the invading Arvans did not know the use of iron. The Dāśarājňa War was fought without iron weapons of war. Iron reached the borders of Pakistan by circa 1000 B. C. and it reached central India by the middle of the first millennium B. C. None of the megalithic remains can be reasonably dated prior to 500 B. C. 36 It appears that the iron-using megalithic people reached the Deccan at the earliest by 500 B. C. Now the greatest riddle of the present problem faces us. If the Harappans and their kinsmen, the southern chalcolithic people are of the Mediterranean or Dravidian ethnic stock, who, then, are these iron-using new imposters? Are they non Mediterraneans or the another wave of Mediterraneans? If they are non-Mediterraneans, who, then, are they? The chalcolithic culture is so distinct from the Megalithic culture that both the people cannot belong to one stock so as to regard their contacts an interneding event. Nobody proposes them to be the Brahmaryans. The linguists agree that the Dravidian language superimposed itself on the Austric language. If the chalcolithic people were Dravidian-speaking, what language, then, did these megalithic people speak and did their language also super-impose itself on the chalcolithic people's Dravidian language? The factum of the foreign intrusion of the iron-using megalithic people, itself, solves this Dravidian problem. They, then, are the people different and distinct from the people of the north. The chalcolithic people, hence, could not be the Mediterranean or the Dravidian ethnic group. The imposters on their culture were the another wave of the Mediterraneans who later became the Dravidas of the Bharattva history.

Archaeology affords us evidence of the Iranian contacts with the central India somewhere in the later part of the second millennium B. C. or the first part of the first millennium B. C. The north had welcomed a mass Mediterranean wave in the beginning of the third millennium B. C. but there is no evidence that this wave penetrated the south. The southern Austric ethnic stock, unlike its counterpart of the north,

^{36.} B, Subbarne; op. cit; page 113-114, 121.

hence, remained, in this respect, pure from any Mediterranean mixture. These original, not aboriginal, Austric people of Bhärata populated the Deccan before the intrusion of the Mediterranean people. They are the Nāgas, Pre-historic Āndhras, Pulindas and Šabaras of the Attareya fame and the Ahis of the Rgwedic fame. Māhiṣmati, the modern Maheswar in central India was the seat of the Nāgas, 37 the successors of the Ahis; and the other chalcolathic people being their kinsmen; we may safely assign the pre-iron-using-megalithic chalcolithic people of the Deccan to the Ahi ethnic stock—the pre-Dravidan Austric people.

Delusion of the Linguists

The linguists, like the anthropologists, have tentatively accepted the existence of the Dravidian-speaking people in Sindh and the Punjab before the Aryan invasions. They have been deluded to this hypothesis; like the Bharativa philosophy deluded by Brahma-Maya and the anthropology by Skull-Mava: by the Vak-Mava of the existence of the Brahut language in Baluchistan in the vicinity of Sindh. Chatterii advances only this Brahmi linguistic argument for his presumption of the habitation of the Dravidians along with Austric people in north Indian plains. 38 He later adds to this argument the further argument of the presence of a strong Dravidian element in the Arvan language from the Vedic downwards. 39 Burrow accepts the Brahmi argument but concedes that the main influence of the Dravidian on the Indo-Aryan was concentrated at a particular period of history, namely, between the Late Vedic and the formation of the classical Sanskrit. The majority of these influences are post-Vedic, 40 The Dravidian of Chatteris knew the horse. Ghutra is a word formed from the oldest Dravidian speech of India. 41 The horse was first introduced in Bharata by the Brahmaryan invaders.

- 37. H. D. Sankaha; op. cit (M. &. N.); page 5-6, 252.
- 38. S. K. Chatterji; op. cit. (N. A. I. A.); page 46-47.
- S. K. Chatterji; op. cit. (R. M. P. C.); page 155.
 T. Burrow; The Sanskrit Language; 1954, page 38".
 - T. Burrow; The Sanskrit Language; 1954, page 38:
 S. K. Chatterii; ep. cit. (N. A. I. A.); page 49.

The Harappan people did not know the horse. This Dravidian word Ghutra appears to be a loan from the Sanskrit speechword Ghods. The existence of the Brahmt language does not warrant the conclusion that its parent Dravidian language ruled over the whole north India from Sindh to Bengal before the Arvan invasions. The Brahmi language may, at best, be related to Dravidt which cannot be dated earlier than the fifth century B C. Its existence may as well be explained by the intrusion of the Mediterranean people in the southern fringes of Baluchistan and Sindh in the seventh or eighth centuries B. C. from where it travelled to the Deccan via coastal regions of Guiarata. This theory may also explain the post-Vedic Dravidian influences on the Indo-Aryan, as suggested by Burrow. The remarks of Professor Antoine Meillet that the basis of the Rgveda's literary speech was a western dialect in the Aryan-speaking tracts, assume significance in this context. This basic dialect of the Vedic speech had only the sound-Indo-European r and I both featuring in it as r- as in Old Iranian (Old Persian and Avestan). The matter of r and l formed an important point in dialectical diversity in the Old Indo-Aryan speech. There was thus one dialect that of the west, which had no l, but only r. There was another, which seems to be represented by Classical Sanskrit and Pali in this matter, which had both r and l. And there was a third dialect of the Indo-Arvan which eliminated the rand possessed only I: this dialect was probably of the extreme east, and it was pushed on further into the interior of the country as far as eastern Uttarapradesh and Bihar Province of the present-day, before the second stage of Aryan expansion and Arvan linguistic development, and became the Asokan Eastern Prakrt (which is believed to be the older form of the Ardha-Magadhi Prakrt of the Jainas) and the later Magadhi Prakrt, both of which had no r but only 1, 42 The dialectical diversity referred to here refers to two distinct and probably antagonistic linguistic systems. This is not an internal phenomenon of the one language, the Old Indo-Arvan speech. The r and I denoted the dividing line of the two warring speech

^{42.} S. K. Chatterjis op. cit, (N. A. I. A.); page 51.

families, the former representing the Brahmaryan language and the latter the language of their adversaries who spoke an unintelligible speech. The victorious Brahmaryans finally succumbed to the language of their adversaries and adopted their Vak or language.43 The Rgveda informs us that this speech was spoken by the Dasas and the Dasyus, the Mrdhravachah or the Vadhrivāchah, the adversaries of the invading Brahmarvans. It was this Vak, the Sindh-Punjab language or the proto-Brahmi language, which was the parent language of the Asokan Präkrt language and the Dravidi language. When the Brahmarvans came into Bharata, they, undoubtedly, brought with them a number of hymns and other poetry. The tradition continued in Bharata and when non-Aryan speakers louned the Aryan fold; it may be supposed that their poets also essaved hymns in this ready made literary speech. They also learnt the art of writing from their non-Arvan compatriots, or that the people of mixed Arvan and non-Aryan origin adopted the non-Aryan system of writing obtaining in India from the beginning to the Arvan (Brahmaryan) language. 44 This parental language and script, the proto-Prakrt and the proto-Brahmi, is the mother of all the languages and scripts of later India. Its culture-contacts with the Dravidian languages have left some marks on the latter but it has its distinct and independant personality. The Dravidians, like the Brahmarvans, adopted for their literary use the proto-Brahmi script in the beginning. Their distinct Dravidi script separated from the mother script in the fifth century B. C. as noted earlier.

It has been emphasised that numerous words in the Rgyeda are not connected with any known Indo-European roots and were evidently borrowed from the natives, 45 the original masters of Bhárata. The Dravidians, like the Āryans, had miposed their language on Bhárata. The plains of the Punjab and the valley of the Ganges which are pre-eminently the lands

^{43.} Satapatha Brahmana; 3, 2, 1, 23-24.

^{44.} S. K. Chatterji; op. cit. (N. A. I. A.) Pages 52, 46.

^{45.} A. L. Basham; The Wonder that was India; 1957; page 28.

of Sanskṛt had been occupied by the non-Dravidian languages before the Indo-European (Brahmāryan) invasion. The pre-Dravidian Mundā or Austric language could provide the sabstratum to such Rgwedic or Āryan speech as it did to the Dravidian speech 46. The Vedic language has not been examined from this point of view. If the influence of the Austric language on the Vedic language is chronologically studied from this aspect, it may reveal more possibilities than we can even possibly dream today.

Megalithicians = Dravidians

It has been suggested that the megalithic culture moved from north to south. Megalithic culture has been reported from Bainchistan, Pakistan, Rajputana, Uttarapradesh, Bihar, Kashnur and Nagpur. The archaeological evidence suggests their southward movement and their longer survival in Gneissk areas of south India. The question of its movement straight to south India by sea is not supported by our present knowledge since none of the megalithic remains can reasonably be dated prior to 500 B. C. 47. These megalithic people appear to have moved towards north India from south India after the disintegration of the Adokan empire after 200 B. C. 48. This period is also marked by the historic contacts of the Āryans of the north with the Dravidians of the south.

This survey points us to certain tentative canclusions. The invanithic Mediterranean people came by sea upto the ports of Baluchistan by circa 800 B.C. They moved eastwards along the southern borders of the Indus Valley to Gujarat. There they might have come in contact with the blukar people, the Bhrgus of the Induan tradition. Some of them might have migrated also to the north but the bulk, sensing the powerful hegemony of the new masters of the land, preferred rather more peaceful and attractive southern route. No part of these immigrants advanced towards the Gangetic

⁴⁶ Jules Bloch; op, cit; page 49, 36, 58, 59,

^{47.} B Subbarao; op. clt. pages 120-121.

^{48.} R. E. M. Wheeler, op. cit. (Ancient india no. 4); page 202.

basin. They might have come in contact with the proto-Präkrt language and the proto-Brähmit script in the Indus Valley region. This was the region still predominantly occupied by the pre-Brahmäryan original people of the land, the Austrics and their Brahmäryan compartions in minority. They might have made the Narmadā region their base for further political consolidation. These megalithic Mediterraneans are the Dravidians of later Bhäratiya history who firmly implanted themselves on the Bhäratiya soil by circa 500 B. C.

Military conquest of the Deccan

The problem that now faces us is whether these Dravidians peacefully penetrated the region or did they make themselves the masters of the region by violent occupation? The latter, is my answer Parsauräma was the hero of this Dravidian military conquest of southern Bhārata.

Paraśu and Parasurāma

The Rgveda, the post-Rgvedic Samhítās, and the Brāhmana literature knows Parašu, in the sense of hatchet, axe and battie-axe; 9- but not the welder of the axe, the Parašurāma. Pānini knows Paršu as a weapon and Pāršavah as a class of Parašu-wielders, the warrior-class (Ayudhajīvi Samāha) and Pāršavah as an individual weapon-wielder. 9- Pānini also does not know Parašurāma in the fourth century B. C. Pataājali in 150 B. C. does not know Parašurām or Parašurāma nor Bhārgava Parašurāmā though he knows the Bhīgu caste. 11- Parašurāma remains completely unknown to the

```
49. (1) Rgveda 1, 19, 1, 2; 6 1 3, 4; 7, 6 18, 21; 9, 3, 7, 30; 3, 4, 18, 22; 4, 1, 6, 8; 1, 19, 4, 4,
```

⁽²⁾ Atharvaveda; 3, 19, 4; 7, 28, 1; 20, 17, 9; 8, 4, 2.

^(3) Taitteriva Samhita 3, 2, 4, 1

⁽⁴⁾ Altareya Brāhmana 2, 35. (5) Chhāndogya Upanişad 6, 16, 1; 6, 16, 2,

^{50. (1)} Pānin: 5. 3. 117.

⁽²⁾ S. C. Vanu; The Aştädhyäyt of Pänini; 1962; Vol. II. 980-981.

^{51.} B. N. Puri; India in the time of Pataffjall; 1957; page 109,

Brahmarşıs till the redaction of Mahābhārata where he surprisingly enough springs into prominence, without any historical foundation whatsoever.

We may put these biographical bits of Parasurāma in order for the purpose of our present enquiry. He was son of Bhārgawa Jamadagni from his wife Renukā, daughter of Prasenjit, the Aikṣvāka king of Ayodhyā. The principal military conquest of Parasurāma was achieved against a noble, rightcois brave king Kārtavīrya, king of Ahūpadeša. (Malwa), of the Yādava Hahaya tribe. Then he went to Sūrpāraka, capital of Aparānta country and the South sea. He annihilated Kṣatriyas twentyone times. He performed an Aśvamedha for the atonement of his sins of violence and donated all his land and wealth but still could not completely become sinless. He then undertook severe austerities and attained the final aim. The result of his activities was to strengthen the Dravidians of the South. Se

The Vedic literature knows Jamadagni as a Bhārgava-Aurva priest. ⁵⁵ The descendants of Jamadagni, the Jāmadagnis are also known. ⁵⁴ Parašurāma has no relation,

- 52 A. D. Pusalkar, Traditional History from the Farliest Time to the Accession of Parikita (in Vedic Age 1, 1957; pages 280-283.
- 53. (1) Reveals 3, 5, 9, 18, 8, 10, 8, 8, 8, 3, 2, 24, 9, 3, 5, 25; 9, 6, 1, 51, 3, 4, 15, 15; 3, 4, 15, 16; 7, 6, 7, 3; 8, 10, 9, 4.
 - (2) Atharvaveda 1, 8, 3, 15, 16; 2, 32, 3, 4, 19 3; 6, 137, 1,
 - (*) Taittiriya Samhitt 2, 2, 12, 4; 5, 2, 10, 5; 4, 3, 2, 2; 3, 1, 7, 3; 5, 4, 11, 3, 3, 5, 2; 7, 1, 8, 1; 8, 10, 9, 4,
 - (4) Brhadaranyaka Upanişad 2, 2, 4.
- (5) Aitareya Brahmona 7. 16; 4 26; 6. 33.
- (1) Sukla Yajurveda; 3, 62; 13 56.
 (2) Taittiriya Samhita: 7, 1, 9, 1.
 - (3) Panchavimia Brähmana 21, 105, 6-7; 13, 5, 15; 2', 7, 2; 9, 4, 14; 13, 5, 15,
 - (4) Brhadkranyaka Upanisad 2. 2. 4.

whatsoever, of blood or otherwise with the Bhrgus, the Auravas, Jamadagni or Jāmadagnis. Jamadagni may be placed prior to 1000 B. C. His descendants continued his line upto 600 B. C. but Parasurāma does not figure anywhere. Jamadagni's wife Renukā was the daughter of the Iksvāku king of Ayodhya, Prasenjit who flourished in the sixth century B. C. ²⁵ Jamadagni could not possibly live for more than five hundred years to marry any daughter of Prasenajit or any other prince of the sixth century B. C. Renukā, hence, was neither the wife of Jamadagni nor the mother of any Parasūrāma. The alleged parentage of Parasūrāma does not stand historical tests.

History of Dravidian conquest of the Deccan

The summum bonum of the life of Parasurama is his alleged famous battle with Hathaya king Sahasrabahu Arjuna, son of Kriayīra of Anūpadeśa. Arjuna is a great and virtuous Ksatriya warrior prince. He attacked Lanka, shot 500 arrows which bewildered it and made Ravana, the ford of Lanka, his captive. He conquered the Karkotaka-Sabha in the city of Mahismati (together with) the thousand Nagas Along with bow and arrow. Khadga (sword) was also his weapon. He was a great king, a Rajarsi, 54 following righteous path. 56 Vāyu-Purāņa knows Paraśu 17 and Paraśurāma, 84 He is an expert in archery and a great annihilator of Ksatriyas. But Vāyu-Purāna does not depict him as a conquerer of Arjuna. His annihilation of the whole Ksatriva order twentyone times has also not been narrated here and also in the Brahmanda Purana "9 which is so powerful a theme in the Mahabharata, a favourite slogan with the bards of the great epic. 60 This

⁵⁵ H. C Roychowdhari; op cit. (P 11. A. I.); page 102

D. R Patil; Cultural History from the Väyu-Puiāņa; 1946;
 pages 10, 22, 48, 52, 105

^{57.} Vāyu-Purāņa 30. 124.

^{58.} Vāyu Purāna 91. 91.

^{59,} D R Patil; op. cit, page 137.

V. S. Sukathankar; Epic Studies (VI); A. B. O. R. I. Vol. 18, page 6.

omission raises a great doubt in the historicity of battle between these two warring groups but a majority of the Purāṇas do give this story of great battle, hence we can not dismiss it as a mere fiction. It must have had some historical nucleus though not exactly the same as given by the Purāṇas and the Mahābhārata.

The iron-using megalithic people were war-like people who intruded Bharata through Baluchistan. The remains of the megalithic people have been noted in Shah Billawal in Baluchistan and also in the bills on the direct road from Karachi to Kotri, They are generally known as Kaffir's graves. Megaliths have also been noted at Deosa in Jaipur district of Rajasthan, Deodhoora in Almora district of Uttarapradesh. Leh in valley of Ladakh, Delhi, Mirzapur and Orissa, 61 The Baluchistan and Pakistan megaliths lie on the route of these intruders. The other north Indian megaliths are only later stray instances of late contacts. The megalithic culture is most conspicuous in south India in the provinces of Mysore, Madras and Travancore-Cochin. These megalithic people subjugated the original inhabitants by military conquest. They used weapons of war made of iron. The megalithic culture that displaced the chalcolithic culture is distinguished from the latter by the possession of the iron weapons. Iron daggers and axes have been excavated at Brahmagiri and Chandragiri, 62 Chingleput 68 and Maski, 64 Maheswar has yielded iron weapons of war used by the people who displaced the chalcolithic people. Object M 734 on figure 112, may well be the remnant of an iron axe. 65 shafe-hole axe though of copper and of iron, has been excavated at Jhukar.66

^{61.} R. E. M. Wheeler; op. cit (A. I. no. 4); page 302-303.

^{62.} R. E M, Wheeler; op cit (A. I. no. 4); page 254-283,

N. R. Banerji; The Mogalithic Problem of Chingleput in the Light of Recent Exploration; Ancient India no. 12: p. 26.

B. K. Thapar; Maski 1954; A chalcolithic site of the southern Deccan Ancient India no. 17; page 115-119.

^{65.} H. D. Sankalia; op. cit. (E. M. N.); page 211-215.

^{66.} Stuart Piggot; op, cit. (P. H. I.); page 227.

The presence of war-weap on and of Axe from Sindh to Cochin in the middle of the first millennium B. C. is of great historical significance.

Ariuna Kartavirva, the Sahasrabahu, the renowned Yadava (Haihava) hero, the leader of the Ksatriya adversaries of Parasurāma Bhārgava, ruled at Māhismatī, the capital city of Antipadesa, when his encounters with Parasurama began, The history of Mahismati is shrouded in great secrecy. The Puranas know only two masters of Avanti before its occupation by the Hathaya Yadu power. Forty-eight sons of Iksyaku ruled Daksmapatha. Among them his tenth son Dasasva reigned at Mahismati on the river Narmada, 67 Then we know its Naga rulers who were annihilated by Ariuna Kartavirva. He conquered Mähismati from the Karkotaka Nagas. 68 The Puranas abound in the various narrations of the glorious rule of the Haihavas over Mahismati, and chiefly by Ariuna. 40 The country of Anupa was situated on the river Narmada in the vicinity of the country of Avanti, 70 The Buddhist literature of middle first millennium B. C. mentions two capitals of Avanti, Uliavini and Mähismati. Ullavini was ruled over by king Chanda Pradvota of Avanti and Mahismati by king Vessabhū. D. R. Bhandarkar accounts for this discrepancy by the assumption that the country of the Avantis was divided into two kingdoms, one placed in the Daksinapatha having Mahismati for its capital and the other, i. e. the northern kingdom, having its capital at Ujiavini. The north and south Avanti was divided by the river Vetravati. 71 The Mahabhārata distinguishes between Avantī and Māhismatī, 72 It appears that the Mahismatl region was not included in the

^{67.} F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. I. H. T.); page 257.

⁶⁸ Vayu-Purana; 24-28.

F. E. Pargiter; op. cit. (A. 1, H. T.); page 153, 155, 242 262-263, 266, 280, 288.

⁷⁰ D C, Sircar; Studies in the Geography of Ancient and Medieval India; 1960; page 35 Note 4, 214-215.

B. C. Law, North India in the Sixth century B, C, (in The Age of Imperial Unity); 1952; page 13.

^{72.} B. C. Law; Tribes in Ancient India; 1943; page 387.

Avanti country. It was also not included in the sixteen Mahājanapadas of north India known to the Jaina and the Buddhist literature. The Mahismati region was included, then, in the Deccan and the Purānic bards coined the word Anūpa for its country which we do not come across in the more trust-worthy Jaina or Buddhist literature.

The Yadus had been annihilated in the Dāśarāina War. They had witnessed the efficacy of horse in war which was an important part of the Brahmaryan military machine. Some of them might have adopted the horse for military purposes and they came to be known as Horse-riding or Haihaya Yadus. Some of them might have migrated to the south after meeting their misfortune in the north and established themselves in the Narmada region. The megalithic Mediterranean people might have come in contact during their advance with the Jhukar people, known to Vedic literature as the Bhrgus. 78 These Bhrgus 74 were very popular with the indigenous races of Bharata and had established their hegemony upto the regions of Bhrgukachchha. The megalithic mediterraneans appear to have forged some sort of alliance with the Bhrgus which facilitated their easy advance upto the Narmada regions. To the east of Bhrgukachchha region, lay the minghty kingdom of Avanti of Chanda Pradvota who had his alliances and engagements with the kingdoms of Kosala, Vatsa and Magadha. They might have also forged an alliance with their mighty Avanti neighbour thus associating themselves with the Kosalans. We may reasonably assume that one of the best of these people might have won a princess in matrimonial alliance from an insignificant Kośalan prince Prasenjit who gave birth to the most important military hero. The Pradvotas of Avanti were engaged in their struggles with the Magadhans and the Vatsas. They had no eye on the Deccan. This political

⁷³ R. C. Jain, The Bhrgus (Supra).

A. D. Karmarkar, Dr. V. S. Sukathankar's Theory of the Bhrguisation of the Original Bhärata and the Light it Throws on the Dravidian Problem; A. B. O. R. I; Vol. XX page 23.

situation afforded golden opportunity to these megalithic people to violently force their way in the Deccan. Mahismati held the key to Deccan, otherwise unconnected and unconcerned with the north. Hence the main brunt of the megalithic violence fell on Mahismati. It was burnt but it did not yield. It was burnt the second time but it still held steadfast. It was burnt the third time. Three conflagrations have been noticed at Mahismati. To These repeated military on-staughts annihilated the Mahismati power. The key was won and the gates to the Deccan opened

The megalithic army, then, under the military commindership of Parasurama advanced southwards and made Surpāraka, their military base. Sūrpāraka is modern Supara in Thana District of Bombay. It was the capital of Aparanta.76 His military onslaughts then covered the whole south He carried on his military invasions to various strategic vantage points of Andhra, Karnataka, Tamil-deśa and Kerala, Brahmagiri, Chandragiri, Chingleput, Sangankallu, Piklihal, Sanur, Porkalam, Adichchanaliur, Puddukotai, Cochin and other megalithic sites of the iron-weaponed megalithic invaders are only a few representative witnesses out of the hundreds of the vanquished chalcolithic towns and cities The megalithic conquest went right upto Kanyakumari in the farthest south where the purpose of the supreme axe achieved fulfilment. Parasurāma threw away his Parasu at Kanyākiimārī. Then the supreme spiritual knowledge dawned upon him. The light of wisdom was imported to him by the Nagnika or Nagna or Naga virgins.77 He forsook the cult of violence, accepted the cult of non-violence, and took to severe austerities at Mahendra Parvata, the modern Eastern Ghats mountains. 78 That climax gave relief to a great materialistic

^{75.} R. Subbarao; op. cit, page 107.

B. C. Law; Historical Geography of Ancient India; 1954; page 299.

Mahabharata (Gita Press; Southern Recension Version); 1955; page 793.

^{78. (1)} Mahabharata (Cr. Ed.) 1, 58, 4.

^(2) D. C. Sircar; op. cit. page 54.

violent career. That is the permanent influence, continuing since eternity on everything gross and material. This is what the historians know as the unbroken continuity of the Bhāratiya culture. The physically vanquished culture celebrates its spiritual triumph.

Dravidāvarta

The Deccan history proper opens circa 230 B. C. with the establishment of the Sătavăhana power, 79 The megalithic invaders had consolidated their conquests by then. The chalcolithic culture survives at least till circa 500 B C, and the megalithic culture can not be pushed at the present state of our knowledge beyond 400 B. C. It is safe to assume that the Megalithic foreign invaders won and consolidated their military conquest between 500-225 B. C. The history repeats itself. After the Brahmarvan victory in the north circa 1100 B C. in the Dasarajña War, Trasadasyu, the Puru, became supreme power. After the Megalithic or Dravidian victory in the south circa 500 B. C. and after the receding of the chaos and turmoil wrought by extensive battles; the Ändhra or Ändhrabhrtya Sātavāhanas assumed supreme power. The victors and the vanquished coalesced together and gave birth to a new culture and civilization in the south. The Deccan becomes the Megalith-avarta or the Dravidavarta.

The conquest of the Decean by the Dravidians, after the conquest of western Bhārita by the Brahmāryans, within less than a millennium, is the greatest event of history of ancient Bhārita. The Rgreda is the epic of the destruction of one of the great culture of the ancient world, 20 the Harappa or the Indus Valley or the Bhāratiya culture and civilization. Where are we to look for the great epic of destruction of that great culture in the Decean? Is there any literary monument to this Draviding violence and destruction

K. A. Nilkantha Shastri; A History of South India; 1958; page 88.

Jacquetta Hawkes and Leonard Woolley; Pre-History and the Beginnings of Civilization; 1963; page 389.

The Deccan knows two heroes: Parasurāma and Agastya. Agastya as of the Ryevdio fame. He is the real brother of Vasigha. Agastya cocurs in the Vedic and the Brāhmana literature as a priest, also as the husband of Lopāmudrā. He does not at all occur in the principal Upanisads. Agastya and Lopāmudrā become associated with the Deccan in the Mahābhāratic age between circa 200 B C.—200 A. D. He is made the father of Tamil language in the ninth century A. D. This false assertion of the derivation of the entire culture of the Tamil country as derived from a Vedic seer met with counter-assertion and opposition. §1 The superficial case of Agastya may be dissimissed in limine.

The case of Parskurāma stands on a stronger footing Parskurāma's mother Repukā is worshipped as the Dravidian deity Ellanimā *** Several places in the Deccan are associated with the name of Parskurāma, *** Almost all the exploits of Parskurāma are associated with the Deccan. His famous weapon, the axe, has only been excavated in the Deccan. It has not at all been discovered in the Saraswait region with which the legends associate him. The accumulated burden of all the available evidence make Parskurāma the greatest hero of the Deccan. His deeds are great. This great event of Bhāratīya history could not and has not gone unrecorded. Megalithism or Dravidianism has also got its epic of destruction of the great Bhāratīya eavilization and culture.

Draviquisation of Bharata

The Rgweda records the Brahmäryan wars and battles with their adversaries, the Bhärattya people. The bards and rhapsodists topsy-turved the events and names of the original combatants and transmitted the Jaya epic with transmissions and transpositions. The continuous and growing coalescences of the victors with the vanquished people changed the character of these events and personalities time and again. The

^{81,} K. A. N. Shasiri; op. cit., page 72, 75.

^{82.} A. P. Karmarkar, op. cit., A. B. O. R. I., Vol. XX page 24.

V. S. Sukathankar; op. cit., A. B. O. R. I., Vol. XVIII. page 2.

Jaya epic completely transformed itself in the Bhārata epic. Bharata epic of Vassampavana included in itself only the events and characters pertaining only to north India. This character of the Bharata epic continued till circa 200 B. C. When the coalesced Brahmana society arvanised the Deccan, the whole picture of the society further changed. There were further coalescences and amalgamations. There were mutual fusions of legends, traditions, myths and ideas. This dialectical development stirred fresh literary activities. The limited north Indian Bharata epic had to be made broadbased and all-inclusive. Deccan was asserting itself and the circumstances forced the due recognition of the entire revision of the epic. The literary activity in this age had become monopolised in the hands of the Bhrgu poets who maintained their supremacy amongst the Brahmanas and also wanted to be liberal and responsive to the original people. They had also earlier assimilated the Sramanic culture of the land. This gave them great power from both sides. They made the great Dravidian hero Parasurama, the best of the Bhrgus. He along with Varna, gotra and caste also needed a parentage. The Bhrgu rhapsodists brought down the Rgyedic Jamadagni to sixth century B. C., wedded him Renuka, daughter of Prasenut, king of Kosala, at his capital-city Avodhya and attached their parentage to Parasurama. These Bhrgu bards have sung the Bhrguised Parasurama's twentyone annihilative battles with the Ksatrivas, times without number in the Mahābhārata. They could have as well sung fotry-two or more annihilitative battles because that was a historical truth. The iron-axe-using Dravidians had given more than twentyone battles to the Austric people of the Deccan. These Austric people are none else than the Ahis or the Dasa-Dasyus of the Rgveda and the Nagas of later history who could finally be annihilated only in the Gupta age in the fifth century A. D. The Mahabharata represents the story of the Aryo-Dravidian militarism. We may call it the Bhrguisation of the Bharata epic. But we would be nearer truth if we call it the Dravidăisation of the Bharata epic. The Aryan and the Dravidian militarists completed the annihilation of the spiritual Austric

people of Bhārata. The Mahābhārata is the great epic of destruction of the great culture and civilization of Bhārata from Afghanistan, Baluchistan to Bengal and from Himālaya to Kanyākumār. The Austric people are the true Indians to whom the Āryans⁵⁴ and the Dravidians owe an explanation at the bar of history for the destruction of their great spiritual culture and civilization.

^{84.} J. Hawks and L. Woolley; op. cit., page \$89, 406.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

I. ORIGINAL TEXTS.

- 1 Aitareva Āranvaka.
- 2 Astareva Bråhmana.
- 3 Amarkoša.
- 4 Apastamba Dharma Sütra.
 - 5 Astādhyāyī.
 - 6 Atharvaveda Pariśista.
- 7 Atharvayeda Sambită.
- 8 Baudhävana Dharma Sütra.
- 9 Bhāgavata Purāna.
- 10 Brhadaranyaka Upanisad.
- 11 Chhandogya Upanisad,
- 12 Gopatha Brāhmana.
- 13 Iātaka
- 14 Kauşıtakî Brāhmana.
- 15 Kausitaki Upanisad.
- 16 Mahābhārata (cr. ed.)
- 17 Mahābhārata (Gita Press)
- 18 Mahāparınibbāņa Sutta.
- 19 Majjhima Nikāya.
- 20 Manusmṛti.
- 21 Nirukta.
- 22 Panchavimsa Brahmana.
- 23 Rgveda Samhitā.
- 24 Rgveda Samhitā (Sāyaņa Bhaşya)
- 25 Sadvimsa Brāhmaņa.
- 26 Sānkhyāyana Srauta Sūtra.
- 27 Satapatha Brāhmaņa.28 Sukla Yajurveda.
- 29 Tattirīya Brāhmana.
- 30 Tattirīva Samhitā.
- 31 Uttarādhyayana Sūtra.
- 32 Uväsagadasao Sūtra.

- 33 Vasistha Dharma Sütra.
- 34 Vāyu-Purāņa.
- 35 Vedānta Sūtra.
- 36 Visnu Purāna.

II. REFERENCES WORKS.

- 1 Agrawal, V. S.; India as known to Pāṇini, 1252; University of Lucknow, Lucknow.
- 2 Ambedkar, B. R.; The Untouchables; 1948; Amrit Book Co., New Delhi.
- 3 Ambedkar, B. R; Who are the Shudras ?; 1947; Thaker & Co. Ltd., Bombay.
- 4 Banerji-Shastri, A-; Asura India; 1926; Patna.
- 5 Basham, A. L.; The wonder that was India; London.
- 6 Bhandarkar, R. G.; Early History of the Deccan; 1957;
 Shushil Gupta (India) Ltd., Calcutta.
 7 Bloomfield, M; The Atharvaveda; 1899; Varlage Von
- Bloomheld, M; The Atharvaveda; 1899; Variage Von Karl J. Trubner. Strassburg.
 Bloomfield. M; The Life and stories of Jaina Saviour
- Pārsvanātha; 1919; Johns Hopkins Press Baltimore.
- 9 Bollee, W. B.; Sadvimsa Brāhmaņa; Gobren Te Haarlem. 10 Brough-John; The Early Brāhmaṇical Gotra and
- Pravāra; 1953; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

 11 Buhlar, G.: The Laws of Manu. 1886: S. B. E. Series:
- 11 Buhlar, G.; The Laws of Manu, 1886; S. B. E. Series; Vol. XXV; Claredon Press, Oxford.
- 12 Burrow, T.; The Sanskrit Language; 1954; London.
- 13 Calland, W.; Pañchavimsa Brāhmaņa; 1931; Asiatic society of Bengal, Calcutta.
- 14 Chatterji, S.K.; Indo-Aryan and Hindi; 1960 Firma K.L. Mukhopadhyaya, Calcutta.
 - 15 Chawdhari, S. B.; Ethnic Settlements in Ancient India; 1955; General Printers and Publishers, Calcutta.
 - 16 Childe, V. Gordon; New Light on the Most Ancient East; 1958; Routledge and Kagan Paul, London.
 - 17 Das, A. C.; Rgvedic Culture; 1925; R. Cambray & Co., Calcutta.
 - 18 Davids, Rhys; Buddhist India; 1959; Shushil Gupta (India) Ltd., Calcutta.

- 19 Eggling, J.; Śatapatha Brāhmaņa Five Volumes; 1963; Motilal Banarsidas, Delhi.
- 20 Filliozat, I; Political History of India, 1957; Shushil Gupta (India) Ltd., Calcutta.
- 21 Gokhale, B. G.; Ancient India; 1959; Asia Publishing House, Bombay.
- 22 Gordon, D. H.; The Pre-historic Background of Indian culture, 1958; Bhulabhai Memorial Institute, Bombay.
 23 Griffith, Palah T. H. The Human of the Athermorder.
- 23 Griffith, Relph T. H.; The Hymns of the Atharvaveda; 1916; E. J. Lazarns & Co., Benares.
- 24 Griffith, Relph T. H.; The Texts of the White-Yajurveda; 1957, E. J. Lazarns & Co., Benaras.
- 25 Hawkes, Jacquetta and Leonard Woolley, Pre-history and the beginnings of the civilization; 1963; George Allen & Unwin Ltd, London.
- 26 Heras, H.; Studies in Proto-Indo-Mediterranean culture; 1953; Indian Historical Research Institute, Bombay.
- 27 Herzseld, Ernest E; Iran in the Ancient East; 1941; Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 28 Jacobi, Hermann; Jaina Sütras Vol. 22 and 45; S. B. E. Series: Clarendon Press. Oxford.
- 29 Jain, R. C.; The Most Ancient Aryan society; 1964; The Institute of Bharatalogical Research, Ganganagar.
- 30 Jayaswal, K. P; Hindu Polity; 1955; Bangalore Printing & Publishing Co. Ltd. Bangalore.
- Johnson, Helen m.; Trišastišalākā Puruşa Charita Vols. I, II; M. S. University of Baroda, Baroda.
- 32 Kaushalayana, B. A.; Jātaka; 1951; Sahitya Sammelan, Allahabad.
- 33 Keith, A. B.; The Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and the Upanisads 1925; Harward University Press, Massachusetts.
- 34 Khabardar, A. F.; New Light on the Gathas of Holy Zarthusthra; 1951; Author; Bombay.
- 35 Krishna Iyer, L. A. and K. K. Balaratnam; Anthropology of India; 1961; Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.
- 36 Lsw, B. C.; Historical Geography of Ancient India, 1954; Societic Asiatique de Paris, France.

- 37 Law, B. C.; Magadhas in Ancient India; 1946; Royal Asiatic Society, London.
- 38 Law, B. C.; Mahāvīra; 1937; Luzav & Co, London.
- 39 Law, B. C.; Tribes in Ancinet India; 1943; Bhandarkar Oriantal Research Institute, Poona.
- 40 Levi, Sylvain; Pre-Āryan and Pre-Dravidian in India; 1929 Calcutta University Press, Calcutta.
- 41 Maccrindle, I. W; Ancient India as described by Magasthemes and Arrian; 1960; B. D. Chakravarty, Calcutta.
- 42 Macdonell, A. A.; A History of Sanskrit Literature, 1958 Munshilal Manohorlal, Delhi.
- 43 Macdonell & Keith; Vedic Index Vol I & II, 1958; Motilal Banarsidas Delhi.
- 44 Majumdar, R. C. & Others. An Advanced History of India 1958; Macmillan & Co. Ltd, London.
- 45 Majumdar, R. C. and A. D. Pusalkar; Vedic Age; 1957; Bhāratīya Vidya Bhavan; Bombay.
- 46 Majumdar, R. C.; The Age of Imperial Unity; 1953;
 Bhāratīya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.
 47 Majumdar, R. C. and A. L. Pusalkar; The Age of
- 47 Majumdar, R. C. and A. L. Pusalkar; The Age of Imperial Kananj; 1955; Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan Bombay.
- 48 Majumdar R. C. & others; The struggle for Empire; 1957; Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.
- 49 Majumdar R. C. & others; The Delhi Sultanate; 1669; Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.
- 50 Majumdar R. C. & others; An Advanced History of India; 1958; Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.
- 51 Maxmuller, F; The Vedas; 1958; Shushil Gupta (India) Ltd. Calcutta.
- 52 Mehta, Ratilal N; Prebuddhist India; 1939; Bombay.
- 53 Misra, Yogendra; An Early History of Vaisālī; 1962; Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi.
- 54 Monier-Williams; Sanskrit-English Dictionary; 1956; Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 55 Moscati; Sabatmo; The Face of the Ancient Orient; 1960; Routlege & Kagan Paul, London.

- 56 Mukherji, Radhakumud; Ancient India; 1956; Indian Press (Publication) Private Limited, Allahabad.
- 57 Nilkantha, Shastri K. A. A. History of South India; 1958: Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 58 Pande, G. C.; Studies in the Origins of Buddhism; 11957: University of Allahabad, Allanabad.
- 59 Pande, R B.; Indian Palaeography; 1957; Motilal Banarsi das. Delhi.
- 60 Pargiter; F. E.; Ancient Indian Historical Tradition; 1962; Mottlal Banarsidas, Delhi.
- 61 Pargiter, F. E.; The Purāna Texts of the Dynasties of the Kali Age; 1962; Chawkhamba Sanskrit Series, Benares.
- 62 Patil, D. R.; Cultural History from the Väyu Puräna; 1946; Deccan College Postgraduate & Research Institute, Poona.
- 63 Piggot, Stuart, Pre-historic India; 1950; Penguin Books.
- 64 Pradhan, Sitanath; Chronology of Ancient India; 1927, Calcutta University Press, Calcutta.
- 65 Pritchard, James B.; Ancient Near Eastern Texts; Relating to Old Testament; 1955; Princeton University Press, U. S. A.
- 66 Puri, B. N.; India in the Time of Patanjali; 1957; Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.
- 67 Pusalkar, A D.; Siśna-deva in the Rgveda and Phallus worship in the Indus Valley; 1954; Visvesvarnand Vedic Research Institute, Hoshiarpur.
- 68 Pusalkar; A. D.; Studies in Epics and Purāņas; 1955; Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay.
- 69 Radhakrishanan, A. S.; Principal Upanişads; 1953; George Allen & Unwin Ltd. London.
 - 70 Rapson, EJ; Cambridge History of India (Vol. I) (Ancient India) 1955; S. Chand & Co, Delhi.
- 71 Roychowdhari; H. C. Political History of Ancient India; 1950; University of Calcutta, Calcutta.
- 72 Roychowdhari; H. C. Studies in Indian Antiquities; 1958; University of Calcutta, Calcutta.
- 73 Rydh, Hannah; Rangmahal; 1959; C. W. K. Publishers Lund (Sweden),

- 74 Sankalia, J. D.; Excavation at Maheswar and Navadatoli; 1958 Deccan College Post-Graduate and Research Institute, Poona.
- 75 Sankalia, H. D. & others; Report on the Excavation at Nasik and Jorve; 1955; Deccan College & Post-Graduate & Research Institute, Poona.
- 76 Sankalia, J. D. others; The Egcavations at Maheswar and Navadātoli; 1958; Deccan College Postgraduate & Research Institute. Poona.
- 77 Sankalia, H. D.; & others; From History to Pre-history at Nevasa; 1960; Deccan College Postgraduate & Research Institute Poona.
- 78 Sankalia, H. D.; Studies in the Historical and culture Geography and Ethnography of Gujerat; 1949; Deccan College Postgraduate & Research Institute, Poona.
- 79 Sarup, Laxman; The Nighantu and the Nirukta, 1962; Motilal Banarsidas. Delhi.
- Shaffer, R.; Ethnography of Ancient Indus; 1954;
 Otto Harrassowitz, wiesbaden, Germany.
- 81 Sheth; H. G. Pai-Sadda-Mahannavo; 1928; Author, Calcutta.
- 82 Sircar, D. C.; Studies in the Geography of Ancient and Meideval India: 1960; Motilal Banārsidas, Delhi.
- 83 Subbarao, Personality of India; 1958; M. S. University of Baroda, Baroda.
- 84 Sykes, Percy; A History of Persia; 1958; 2 Vols. Macmillan & Co. Ltd, London.
- 85 Thibaut; George; Vadanta Sütras; 1962; S.B. E. Series; Motifal Banarsidas, Delbi.
- 86 Varma, Siddheswar; The Etymologies of Yāska; 1953; V. V. Research Institute, Hoshiarpur.
 - 87 Vasu, S. C.; The Astadhyāyī of Pāṇini; 1962; Motilal Banarsidas, Delhi.
 - 88 Vijayondra Suri; Achārya; Tirathamkar Mahāvīra; 1960 Yashodharma Mandir, Bombay.
- 89 Wadia, D. N.; Geology of India; 1958; Macmillan & Co. Ltd, London.
- Weber, A.; The History of Indian Literature; 1961;
 Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series, Benares.

- Wheeler, Mortimer; The Indus civilization; 1953; University Press, Cambridge.
- 92 Whitney; W. D.; Atharvaveda-Samhitä; 1962; Motilal Banarsidas, Delhi.
- 93 Wilson, H. H.; Rgveda (Translation) Five Volumes; Ashtekar & Co, Poona.
- 94 Winternitz, M.; A History of Indian Literature; 1959; University of Calcutta, Calcutta.
 - 95 Woolley, Leonard; A Forgotten Kingdom; 1953; Penguin Books.
 - 96 Woolley, Leonard; Excavations at Ur; 1955; Ernest Benu Ltd. London.
 - Wooliey, Leonard; History Unearthed; 1958; Ernest Benu Ltd. London.

III. JOURNALS

- 1 Ancient India Numbers 2, 3, 4, 9, 10-11, 12, 13.
- 2 Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute Vols. 12, 18, 20, 21, 30, 32, 36, 40, 41, 43.
- 3 Bhāratīya Vidyā Vol. 18.
- 4 Indian Studies: Past and Present Vol. I.
- 5 Jain Bharati Vol. 10, 11.
- 6 Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal Vols, LX (1891) 4(1908) 7(1911) 16(1920); 18(1922).
- 7 Journal of the Bombay Branch of Royal Asiatic Society Vol. 23, 26.
- 8 Journal of the Oriental Institute Vol. XI.
- 9 New Indian Antiquary Vol. 5, 6,
- 10 Proceedings and Transaction of the All India Oriental Conference sessions 1, 6.
 - 11 Proceedings of Indian History Congress, sessions 22, 23,
 - 12 Purana Vol. 3.

GENER AL INDEX

THE ETHNOLOGICAL TERMS HAVE NOT BEEN INDEXED

Axc 199

Abrahma 66 Ayodhya 17, 129, 305

Abu 120 Anarta 9

Adichandallur \$20 Apays 80, 224 Agean Region 283, 284, 286 Arasta 137

Abar 290 Arva-Varna 226, 231, 248, 250,

Abistbala 121, 126, 139, 223 253, 264, 266, 269, 272

Ajanābhakbanda 17 Aryan-Yajña 257 Alausa 24 Asandivat 126, 223, 224

Akhaioi 24 Atman 132, 238 Alepo 182

Alwar 120 Babylonia 24, 184, 185, 186, 190 Amarkantak 130

Ragbdad 61 Atga 20, 100, 101, 118, 127, Bahal 290

135, 136, 137, 145, 201 Baluchistan 67, 186, 284 Anga-Vanga 23, 288

Bastar 130 Angalke 168 Beas 25 Априламатрана 50

Bengal 20 Atesrs 163, 178 Bharatpur 120 Anatolia 181, 182, 185, 203 Bharua-chcha 202 Anau 181, 182, 189

Baleya-Kaetra 136 Aniah 261, 262, 268 Bharata-Agni 179 Antyaias 260

Bharata cosc \$05 Arachoria 20, 41, 55, 185 bharata Janapada 213 Aravali 120 Bharatavarsa 31 Asi 131

Askint 117, 187 Bhygukachchba 196 Asura-Āryans 161 Boghaz-keur 97, 155, 184, 193, Asura-Mays 3

Bhrguisation of epic 305

Asura-Vidva 260 Brahman 6, 7, 66, 149, 226, 228,

Assapura 145 224 Assyria 24 Brahmkura 162

Aśvamedba 112, 142, 148, 173, Brahm#gmi 289, 290, 299, 302

Brahmasaspatis 231-239 Austro-Asiatic Language 23, 139 Brahmsvarta 30, 51, 98, 99, 100,

Avanti 200 126, 139, 141, 211, 224, 227

Brahma-Mays 269, 291 Brabma-Varna 255, 266 Brahmartideia 120, 141 Br#hmansigation 131, 132, 135, 141, 221 Brahmi 281, 282, 283, 286, 292 Brithmana-Varna 255-269 Brahmanicide 162 British Rule 276 Broken Men 263, 268 Buddhism 274 Bundelkhand 143 Castelam 270 Champs 137, 139 Chandraguri 299, 302 Chanhu-daro 187, 190, 191 Chhatisgarh 130 Chingleput 299, 302 Cochie 302 Convert Brahmas 228 Counter-Reformation 274 Crete 24, 284 Cutch 10 Cyprus 284 n Dabarkot 167, 188 Daddarpura 145 Daltya 9, 13, 20, 35 Diob 115 Dandaka 17 Dardistan 145 Dravidian Language 22 Dasyu-Varua 272 Dinava 9, 12, 13, 20, 21, 33, 35, 36, 37, 133, 162, Disa-mgvs 53 Dzez-Varua 53, 62, 231, 248, 250, 258, 264, 266 Dziarzjia War 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 13, Ghagghar 191-194 18, 19, 24, 28, 28, 29, 31, Glynn 181

43, 68, 99, 100, 102, 115, 119, 120, 135 144, 145, 156, 170, 176, 193, 198, 204, 212, 215, 248, 268, 291, 301, 303 Düst-viáab 54 Deccan 280 Dendhoors 299 Deva-Arvana 161 Deva-Asuras 153, 155, 156, 157, 160 Digambara-Muni \$2 Dravidians 4, 5, 21, 22 Dravidianism 287 Dravidauation 304 DravidHimtion of epic 305 Dravidavārta 303 Dravidt 280, 281, 282, 283, 288, 798 Dradvatt 30, 98, 114, 122, 139, 141, 101, 224 Dysoars-age 204 E Egypt 24, 203, 286 Elam 181, 204 Elamite Script 294 Ekwesh 24 G Gama 147, 148, 227, 244 Gandak 129 Ganes 100, 146, 294 Ganganagar 99, 122, 192, 290 Ganapatis 148, 172, 173, 231, 239 Gamarajas 182 Gampardas 22 SGaura 122 Gändhära 112 Gedrosia 20, 41, 55, 185

Godffvart 101,	Karachi 186
Great Coalescence 234	Karaņa 22
Grey-Ware 191, 192	Kashmura 20, 55, 63
H	Kassites 148, 183, 184, 186
Harappa 25, 26,61, 114, 127, 181,	Kathenotheism 148
182, 186, 191, 103, 199, 290	Kauizmbi 146
Harappan civilization 97, 98	Киматира 288
Harappan State 98, 190	Kaplia 112
Hariyûpîya 25, 26, 114, 181, 195,	Kuraskara 137
199	Kair 20, 101, 131, 135
Hastinspura 97, 191, 211, 213,	Khasa 22
224	Ko-1 289
Hathipura 145	Kokala-Mekala 201
Henothelam 148	Kolarians 4
Himavarşa 17	Kofala 20, 129, 135, 213
Humar 181, 182, 189, 190	Kofala-Tofala 23, 201, 288
Hittites 148, 204	Kṛṣṇa 101
Homodertheism 238	Kşatriya-Varşa 269
Hurrians 148, 183, 184	Kubhs 110
1	Kunar 112
Indo-European Language 23	Kuru Janapada, 45, 146, 203,
Indus-Valley Script 282	206, 213
Irivati 116	Kurukşetra, 141
Iryah 115	Kulinger 180
Ifikku 24	Kuştha 18
Islamic conquest 275	L
1	Leb 299
Jainiam 274	Lohumjodaro 182, 189
Jaipur 120	Loksyatus 275
Jana-republics 42, 59	M
Jaya-epic 304, 305	Madhuvana 9
Jäti-Dharma 271	Madbyadeia 211, 218
JEtivada 269, 271, 272, 277, 279	Magadha 100, 137
Jhukar 181, 189, 190, 194, 195,	Magadhan Empire 141
198, 200, 295, 299	Magic 169
K	Magico-rituality 174, 251, 277,
Kali-age 204	259
Kalinga 127, 185, 186	Mahanadi 130
Kalinga-Tilinga 23, 201, 288	Mahajanapada 108, 111, 140, 145
Kanyakumari 202	Mahakofalas 22
Kapija-followers 275	Mahiniga Dynasty 154
	i memorale synasty 134

Mahthhärata 305 Mabeshwar 200, 299 Makran 62 Marki 290, 299 Materialistic culture 149 Mathura 140 Matriarchy 36, 42, 55, 60, 114, Matsya 141, 143, 144 Maurya-Brithmi 282 Maurya-Dynasty 134 Madbyamika 104 Mahismatt 292, 298, 300, 302 MEYE 9, 155 Meghaliths 282, 286, 291 Meghalithicians 295, 299, 300 Megalith-Evaria 303 Mekala-Utkala 23, 288 Mesopotamia 182, 184, 286 Mirzapur 299 Mithila 130 Mittani 183, 205 Moghul kule 276 Mohenjodaro 61, 63, 129, 187, 190, 193, 199, 204, 289 Morphomotheism 239 Mrdhravschah 30, 58, 68 Mukube 183 Munds-Language 23, 61, 295 Muni 162, 196 Nagda 290 Nagna 24, 60, 62, 67

Nagna 24, 60, 62, 67 Nal 167, 185 Narmadā 196, 300 Nasik 289 Nata 22 Navadatoli 290 Nāgaputra 111, 138 Nāgarī 106 Nātikas 275 Nevasa 289 Ntoas 22

Non-Violence 145
Odra 101

Original Masters 268

Painted Grey-Ware 97, 99, 290

Pañchajātāḥ 70 : Pañchāgais 178 · Pañchajanāḥ 18, 21, 28, 68, 199,

261 Psāchsla 22, 26, 120, 140, 145

Panchamas 260 Paraiu 296, 298

Parasurama 296, 297, 298, 304,

305 Parusai 25, 26, 115, 125, 187, 195, 215 Pasusas 274

Piklihal 790, 302 Parkalam 302 Prajūpati 173, 248 Prakash 290 Prayūga 141, 142

Prachys 126, 133, 134, 139, 218 Praciyousa 127

Puddukotat 302 Pulinda-Kulinda 23, 201, 288 Purandara 264

Pura-Pati 264 Purohita 178, 206

Puruşamedha 172, 173, 259, 260 Puşpadba 22

Pürva-devas 152

Rana Chundaii 167, 188 Rangmahal 192 Rangpur 290 Rav: 25 Russian Turkistan 182, 188

S Sadantra 129 Sangankallu 290, 302 Santtenf-Hindu 271 Sasur 302 Sarasvatī 21, 30, 98, 112, 122, 139, 141, 144, 178, 186, 191, 196, 204, 224, 290 Sarpa-Vidva 260 Satlus 25, 191, 194 Satya-age 204 Sauvira 137 Saivas 275 Saktas 275 Sesa 22 Shah: Tump 181, 182, 187, 188, 190, 198 Shaft-bole Axes 198 Sthapura 145 Sindb 20, 55, 62, 284 Sindhu 117, 187 Sifnadeva 62, 64, 66, 162 Siéunaga Dynasty 133 Skulla-Maya 29 2 Sohar-Dumb 167, 185 Soma-Drinking, 151 South-Russia 204 South-Uralic Region 263 Spiritualism 289 Sramana 6, 24, 33, 196 Sramanic Culture 149, 150, 163, 172, 206, 238 Sramspired Devas 160 Sumer 24, 61 Supara 302

Suratgarh 122

Süra-paraka 302

Sta 22

Sudras 4, 114

Sura-Drinking 150, 169

Sutkajendor 61, 187, 188

Sudra-Varaa 226, 266, 269 Sutudri 43, 114, 176, 186 T Takman 113, 114 Tamralinti 139, 288 Tapasvi 162 Taxila 224 Tamralinga 23 Transmigration of soul 289 Treta-age 204 TI Udantva 201 Udichya 213 Udra-Pundra-Munda 23 Unavini 300 Untouchables 260, 262, 275 Upanayana 262, 266, 272 Ur 186 Utkala 136 Uttarakuru 182 Uttarapäächäla 145 v Vaisait 20, 124, 130 Vasíyaic Yajíla 257 Vaisya-Varsa 266, 269 Vani 132, 137 Vak 236, 237 6Vak-Maya 292 Vanga 101, 127, 135, 136, 137, Varna 226, 262, 264, 266, 269, 270, 277 Varna-Dharma 271 Varnic Exploitation 261 Varună 131 Vertigast 131 Videha 20, 135 Vip# 43, 114, 176, 187

Vitast# 63, 187

Vratyas 22, 135, 261

Yamunz 9, 100, 112, 125, 144, 146 West Asia 285 Yati 197 ¥ Yavanas 22 Yajān 6, 7, 9, 13, 37, 49, 58, 66, 107, 122, 124, 127, 143, 144, Yavykvati 114, 115 Yatudhans 154, 233 145, 167, 168, 230, 236, 256, 267 z Zero-grade 200, 203 Yaida-Agent 240 Yaifis Ritualisation 171, 172, 173, Zhob-Ware 185 174, 231, 237, 268 Zoroastrianusm 158



वीर सेवा मन्दिर पुस्तकालय

954 JAj